

Messenger RNA Vaccines May Cause Damage to the Cardiovascular System



Transcript of Dr. Bridle interview on *On Point* with Alex Pierson

Alex Pierson: Talking about a lot of science these days! It's coming out as fast and furious. And a lot of people asking a lot of good questions, you know, the vaccines, are they safe for kids? Certainly, there's a big push to get kids as young as 12 the shot as soon as possible, but, but everyone's confident about it, even if you're not an anti-vaxxer, there are a lot of parents who are kind of nervous about putting something into their kids.

And then I read that there have been several dozen cases of heart problems in teens and young adults, which Israel is now looking into. And what they're looking into which they'll release the results of are why mostly males, not all, but around 22 years of age and younger, are getting heart inflammation. So one to four days after getting a shot, they get shortness of breath, fatigue, and some very specific chest pain. It's mild, so no one's gotten really sick or died. But you want to know what you don't know if you're going to put something into your kids.

Let us bring in Dr. Byram Bridle. He's an associate professor of viral immunology at the University of Guelph (Ontario, Canada). Doctor, you've been very, you know, very open on this whole issue. And you know, you're not an anti-vaxxer by any stretch, but what do you think about this inflammation in the heart, and is it an actual threat?

Dr. Bridle: Yeah, thanks for having me on, Alex. Yeah, as you said, I'm very much pro-vaccine, but always making sure that the science is done properly, and that we follow the science carefully before going into public rollout of vaccines. I hope you'll run let me run with this a little bit, Alex. I'll forewarn you and your listeners that the story I'm about to tell is a bit of a scary one. This is cutting-edge science.

There's a couple of key pieces of scientific information that I have become privy to just within the past few days that has made the final link. So we understand now, myself and some key international collaborators, we understand exactly why these problems are happening. And many others

associate these vaccines. And the story is a bit of a scary one. So just to brace you for this, but I'm going to walk you through this. The science that I'm gonna be talking about. I don't have the time here to describe exactly the scientific data. But let me assure you that everything that I'm stating here that I'm gonna state right now is completely backed up by peer-reviewed scientific publications, and well-known and well-respected scientific journals.

I have all of this information in hand, I'm in the process of mildly trying to put it all into a document that I can hopefully circulate widely. So your listeners are going to be the first to hear the public release of this conclusion, and I can vouch for the science. So this is what it is.

The SARS-coronavirus 2 has a spike protein on its surface. That spike protein is what it allows it to infect our bodies. That is why we have been using the spike protein in our vaccines. The vaccines we're using get our cells in our bodies to manufacture that protein. If we can mount an immune response against that protein, in theory, we can prevent this virus from infecting the body. That's the theory behind the vaccine. However, when studying the disease, severe COVID-19, everything that you've just described heart problems, lots of problems with the cardiovascular system, bleeding and clotting is all associated with severe COVID-19.

And looking and doing that research, what has been discovered by the scientific community is the spike protein on its own is almost entirely responsible for the damage to the cardiovascular system if it gets into circulation. Indeed, if you inject the purified spike protein into the blood of research animals, they get all kinds of damage to the cardiovascular system, and it can cross the blood-brain barrier and cause damage to the brain.

Now at first glance, that doesn't seem too concerning because we're injecting these vaccines into the shoulder muscle. The assumption all up until now has been that these vaccines behave like all of our traditional vaccines that they don't go anywhere other than the injection site, so they stay in our shoulder. Some of the protein will go to the local draining lymph node in order to activate the immune system. However, this is where the cutting edge science has come in this and this is where it gets scary.

Through a request for information from the Japanese regulatory agency, myself and several international collaborators have been able to get access to what's called a bio-distribution study. It's the first time ever that scientists have been privy to seeing where these messenger RNA vaccines go after vaccination. In other words, is it a safe assumption that it stays in the shoulder muscle?

The short answer is absolutely not. It's very disconcerting.

The spike protein gets into the blood, circulates through the blood in individuals, over several days post-vaccination. It accumulates once it gets to the blood and accumulates in a number of tissues such as the spleen, the bone marrow, the liver, the adrenal glands. One particular concern for me is

it accumulates at quite high concentrations in the ovaries. And, and then also a publication that was just accepted for a scientific paper just accepted for publication that backs this up, looked at 13 young healthcare workers that had received the Moderna vaccine which is the other messenger-RNA-based vaccine we have in Canada. And they confirm this They found the spike protein in circulation in the blood of 11 of those 13 health care workers that had received the vaccine.

What this means is, so we have known for a long time that the spike protein is a pathogenic protein, it is a toxin, it can cause damage in our body if it gets into circulation. Now, we have clear cut evidence that the vaccines that make our bodies, our muscles or the cells in our in our deltoid muscles, manufacture this protein, that the vaccine itself, plus the protein gets into blood circulation. When in circulation, the spike protein can bind to the receptors that are on our platelets and the cells that line our blood vessels.

When that happens, it can do one of two things. It can either cause platelets to clump, and that can lead to clotting. That's exactly why we've been seeing clotting disorders associated with these vaccines, it can also lead to bleeding. And of course, the heart involved. It's part of a key part of the cardiovascular system. That's why we're seeing heart problems.

The protein can also cross the blood-brain barrier and cause neurological damage. That's why also in the fatal cases of blood clots many times is seen in the brain. And also of concern is there's also evidence of a study – this has not yet been accepted for publication yet, this one – they were trying to show that the antibodies from the vaccine get transferred through breast milk. And the idea was this may be a good thing because it would prefer some passive protection to babies. However, what they found inadvertently was that the vaccines, the messenger RNA vaccines, actually get transferred through the breast milk. So the delivering the vaccine vector itself into infants that are breastfeeding. Also what this note we know spike protein gets into circulation, any proteins in the blood will get concentrated in breast milk. Looking into the adverse event database in the United States, we have found evidence of suckling infants experiencing bleeding disorders in the gastrointestinal tract.

Alex Pierson: So okay, let me pause you there. There are only about 45 seconds left.

Dr. Bridle: Sure, I'll wrap it up this message.

So this has implications for blood donation. Right now Canadian Blood Services are saying that people who have been vaccinated can donate. We don't want the transfer of these pathogenic spike proteins to fragile patients who were being transfused with that blood. This has implications for infants that are suckling. And this has serious implications for people for whom SARS Coronavirus 2 is not a high-risk pathogen, and that includes all of our children.

In short, the conclusion is, we made a big mistake, we didn't realize it

until now, we thought the spike protein was a great target antigen, we never knew the spike protein itself was a toxin and was a pathogenic protein. So by vaccinating people, we are inadvertently inoculating them with a toxin. And some people this gets into circulation. And when that happens in some people, they can cause damage, especially in the cardiovascular system. And I have many other, I don't have time, but many other legitimate questions about the long term safety, therefore, of this vaccine, for example, with it accumulating in the ovaries, one of my questions is, will we be rendering young people infertile, some of them infertile? So I'll stop there. I know it's heavy heading...

Alex Pierson: I'm up against the clock. I need like an hour when I talk to you because you have so much information and of course your one opinion of many, but you know, it's interesting because you have a different look at it. And certainly, the time will tell on this but we'll have you on again because I always get an interesting and different perspective from you. Doctor, thank you.

Dr. Bridle: It was my pleasure. Take care.

[In Thee Shall All Families of the Earth Be Blessed](#)



As you see from the meme, the title of this post is taken from Genesis 12:3. Here it is in context:

Genesis 12:1 ¶Now the LORD had said unto Abram, Get thee out of thy country, and from thy kindred, and from thy father's house, unto a land that I will shew thee:

2 And I will make of thee a great nation, and I will bless thee, and make thy name great; and thou shalt be a blessing:

3 And I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed.

To whom was that promise made?

John Hagee, a prominent Christian Zionist pastor says of Genesis 12:2-3:

No pronouncement of scripture is clearer or more decisive. God smiles on the friends of the descendants of Abraham, and they enjoy heavenly favor. In contrast, God will answer every act of anti-Semitism with harsh and final judgment
Final Dawn Over Jerusalem, page 20

Pastor Hagee is applying those Scriptures to the Jews and the modern nation of Israel. But does the Word of God itself apply it that way? Absolutely *not*! That promise does not apply to the Jews / Israelis today! How do I know that? The Apostle Paul in Galatians chapter 3 clearly says the promise of God of Genesis 12:2,3 was made to Abraham and his Seed! That Seed is Christ and those in Christ, those who hold Jesus of Nazareth to be Christ!

Genesis 28:14 adds additional insites.

*Genesis 28:14 And thy seed shall be as the dust of the earth, and thou shalt spread abroad to the west, and to the east, and to the north, and to the south: and in thee and **in thy seed** (singular) shall all the families of the earth be blessed.*

And the Apostle Paul in Galatians chapter 3 makes it abundantly clear to whom these promises are referring to.

*Galatians 3:16 Now to Abraham and his seed were the promises made. He saith not, And to seeds, as of many (plural); but as of one (singular), And to **thy seed, which is Christ.***

The King James Version of the Bible uses the archaic form of you, the word "thee" and its possessive form "thy" which is singular. And it also uses the word "you" which, when you see it in the KJV, you should know it's always plural. And it uses grammatical forms of you we don't have today such as "ye" which is plural. A great example of this is John 3:7.

*John 3:7 Marvel not that I said unto **thee**, **Ye** must be born again.*

The "thee" of John 3:7 was the person who Jesus was talking to, Nicodemus, and the "ye" is everybody else, us, the people of the world.

The NIV translation is John 3:7 is

You should not be surprised at my saying, 'You must be born again.'

The NIV is not as clear as the KJV when it uses the word "you" in John 3:7. The KJV is true to the original Greek which uses both the singular and plural form of the Greek word for you in John 3:7.

Why I am talking about English grammar in this Bible study? Because the key to understanding Genesis 12:3 and Genesis 28:14 is to know the words "thee" and "thy" are referring to Abraham and his seed singular, not plural.

*Genesis 12:3 And I will bless them that bless **thee**, and curse him that curseth **thee**: and in **thee** shall all families of the earth be blessed.*

God today blesses those who bless Abraham's seed.

*Genesis 28:14 And **thy seed** shall be as the dust of the earth, and thou shalt spread abroad to the **west, and to the east, and to the north, and to the south**: ...d*

Genesis 28:14 clearly is applicable to the Gentiles who have received Christ as their Lord.

*Galatians 3:29 And **if ye be Christ's, then are ye Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise.***

Combining Genesis 12:3 with what the Apostle Paul teaches in the Book of Galatians, we can clearly see God's promise to Abraham refers to people who bless Christ and Christians, those who acknowledge that Jesus of Nazareth is the Christ, the Messiah! And all the families of the earth who have received Jesus of Nazareth as the Christ, the Messiah, have been blessed. It's not at all talking about blessing the Jews or the modern nation of Israel. In fact, the Bible doesn't even call them Jews!

*Romans 2:28 For he is **not a Jew, which is one outwardly**; neither is that circumcision, which is outward in the flesh:
29 But he is a Jew, which is one inwardly; and circumcision is that of the heart, in the spirit, and not in the letter; whose praise is not of men, but of God.*

In other words, only those in Christ are Jews in God's eyes!

Should we bless the Jews who do not believe in Jesus of Nazareth as their Messiah? We should rather pray for them! Pray that their eyes be opened to the light of the Gospel of Christ!

Just the other day I had a wonderful conversation with a lady who calls herself Jewish. She was born in Israel and raised in Guam. She went to both a synagogue and a Christian school. I shared the Gospel with her and she listened.

I hope you see why it is important to read from a Bible translation such as the KJV that makes a difference between the singular and the plural. Most languages of Europe still use different words to denote a singular and a plural you. I know Russian does, and therefore probably all the Slavic languages, and I know Spanish does, and therefore probably all the Romance languages do. I don't know about the Germanic languages because I haven't studied them as I have Spanish and Russian. I heard that English dropped the singular words, "thee", "thou", "thy" and "thine" because they can be used in a condescending way. This is true of other European languages as well, but the singular form of the word you still remains part of their modern-day language.

Who Are The True Citizens of Israel? Those in Christ Jesus!



The saints are those people who are sanctified in Christ Jesus be they Jews or Gentiles!

Hardware Upgrade from a Dell Inspiron 1545 to a Dell Latitude 7480




It's been a while since I posted anything related to PC on this website. I love to share useful information and I think some visitors to this website will appreciate this.

For a little more than two years I had been using a Dell Inspiron 1545 laptop

to update this website. It has a Pentium CPU, 4 gigabytes of DDR2 RAM. Some websites such as Facebook loaded very very slowly on it. At the time of this post, a Dell Inspiron 1545 is 11 years old!

A few months ago the monitor developed a black spot as you see in the photo below.



I had been using a much more powerful desktop PC to do my work. It has an Intel i5 CPU and 8 gigabytes of DDR3 RAM with two HDDs one of which is an SSD. I stopped using it for my PC work when the monitor for it also developed bad pixels. I now use it only to view YouTubes and videos on a 52 inch Smart

TV connected by an HDMI cable. It's not easy to use it to do PC work with such a large screen.

It was time to get a new laptop. I considered buying an Apple MacBook Pro with an M1 ARM processor, but because of the expense and the fact the M1 is still relatively new which means a lot of software is not yet written for it, I opted to stay with a machine I could continue to use my favorite software on a Linux OS. My favorite distros are Linux Mint and Fedora.

I found a fantastic deal on eBay: A Dell Latitude 7480 with an Intel i7 CPU and 16 gigabytes of DDR4 RAM. And for only \$399.00! And though this model is already 4 years old, the laptop was *not used* before! I can tell because there was no dust anywhere, and the battery is in pristine condition. It holds a charge for hours. The keyboard is great! The performance is great! You can find it here:




[Click the image to see it on Ebay](#)

This is a significant upgrade from the old Dell Inspiron! And the new laptop is much lighter, less than half the weight of the old Dell laptop.


I didn't want to buy a laptop with Windows 10 but since it came with Windows, I decided not to get rid of the Windows installation altogether but install Linux with a dual boot. I did this because someday in the future I may give it to somebody else who may prefer Windows to Linux.

Windows 10 made it easy for me to shrink the Windows partition with its Disk Management tool to make room for Linux. I shrunk the Windows partition to a little less than half what it was and then installed Fedora 34 Linux from a DVD drive connected to a USB port and used automatic partitioning. It was so easy and the installation went without a hitch!



My Dell Latitude 7480 with Fedora 34 Workstation and Gnome 4.0 Desktop

The Communist Takeover of America – By G. Edward Griffin



G. Edward Griffin (born November 7, 1931) is an American author, filmmaker, and conspiracy researcher. He is the author of *The Creature from Jekyll Island* (1994), which exposes the Federal Reserve System as a private banking cartel designed to undermine the economy of America.

I took the time to transcribe the text of the YouTube because I consider it quite insightful and important for the American public to know. I retain knowledge better when I read rather than just listening to a speaker.

The first part of the talk was given in 1969. I think any reasoning person ought to be able to see clearly just from the daily news how the communists have implemented their plans through the years.

At the time of this post, G. Edward Griffin is alive and 89 years old.

Another speaker is Hollywood producer Aaron Russo who gave a famous interview about his friendship with one of the Rockefellers who confided with him the Rockefeller plan for one-world government. Russo died within the year of giving that interview!

G. Edward Griffin Communist Take Over – A 1969 Lecture

(G. Edward Griffin) As early as 1928, the Communists declare that the racial differences among our people, constituted the weakest and most vulnerable point in our social fabric. By constantly probing and straining at this one spot, they calculated that eventually the cloth could be torn apart, and that Americans could be divided, weakened, and perhaps even set against each other in open combat.

We mustn't kid ourselves into thinking that the communists have placed their agitators only into the black communities. They're working both sides of the street. They want hatred, violence and bloodshed between the races, and they don't care how they get it or whom they use, even children if necessary. That the communist blueprint calls also for white retaliation and violence in the black communities. It's a very important objective for the Communist Party.

So far, they've only been able to involve a small percentage of our Negro people in this war of national liberation, the great majority want no part of it in any form. But the one sure way to change that is to have white vigilante groups striking into the Negro sections, supposedly, to seek revenge.

Ladies and gentlemen, the plans and preparations for a communist revolution of force and violence are far advanced. The organization behind these preparations has almost unlimited financial resources, and it provides both training and leadership based upon years of experience in many other countries. Our enemies are deadly serious about their task. And it's nothing short of national suicide, for us to continue to ignore their plans and their progress.

The strategy of the proletarian revolution calls for the quiet conversion of our government into a communist regime, but **under the banner of socialism.**

What is Socialism?

Well, what is socialism? Alright, let's define it. According to the dictionary, socialism is a political concept based upon the principle of government ownership and control of property, the means of production, and the avenues of commerce. Under socialism, those who run the government – and the communists are confident that in America, they eventually will be the ones who do so – those who run the government will know who is to get something, and who has to wait, and **that represents control over human beings.**

What is all this to do with the communist revolution in America? Well, ladies and gentlemen, it has everything to do with it, because **the building of socialism is the communist revolution in America.** It represents the process, whereby **our country can be moved gradually toward communism, without the people even being aware of it.** No matter what grievance we may have real or imagined, no matter what national problems we may face, the communists seize upon these as excuses to build socialism. They have one and only one solution for all problems, more government, more government, and then more and more, until its total government. And forgive me for saying it one more time. **Total government is communism.**

How Communists Respond When They Lose An Argument

In 1943, the following directive was issued from party headquarters to all communists in the United States. It read,

"When certain obstructionists become too irritating, label them after

*suitable buildups as fascist or Nazi or anti semitic, and use the prestige of anti fascist intolerance organizations to discredit them. **In the public mind, constantly associate those who oppose us with those names, which already have a bad smell. The association will after enough repetition, become fact in the public mind.** “*

Truth is a Far Superior Weapon Than Deceit

But because they are lying, it's possible to expose them. And this is their Achilles heel. By comparison, we have nothing to hide, therefore, we have no reason to lie. And we wouldn't want to even if we could, truth is a far superior weapon than deceit. It's a weapon which is denied to them. And in the end, it will be the decisive weapon that destroys them completely.

A World Government Based on Collectivism

(Hillary Clinton:) We get a lot of advice from the Council (on Foreign Relations, CFR). So this will mean I won't have this far to go to be told what we should be doing and how we should think about the future.

(G. Edward Griffin:) People like Hillary Clinton, know. Even at that elevated position Hillary Clinton is say one of the big movers and shakers. Compared to the Council on Foreign Relations, she's not. She's a small fish. And she knows that she's got to get the approval of the CFR.

(Aaron Russo: I had a friend, Nick Rockefeller, okay, who is one of the Rockefeller family. The ultimate goal that these people have in mind is to create a one world government. And this is given me straight from Rockefeller himself as what they want to accomplish.

(G. Edward Griffin:) Not just any world government, but a world government based on the model of collectivism. In other words, **big powerful centralized world government**. If it were a world government based on the principles of freedom, and freedom of choice, freedom of culture, low intervention, if no intervention in the lives of normal human beings, it might be a wonderful thing, but that's not the kind of world government, the left and the right have in mind. They're talking about total world government with all major decisions being made at the top and people at the bottom being peasants basically in a high tech feudalism.

[The Abomination of Desolation of Matthew 24: Jerusalem Compassed with Armies](#)



The early Protestant Reformers asserted that "Scripture interprets Scripture", which means the Bible is its own best interpreter. With that in mind, let's look at the Olivet Discourse as told in two of the three synoptic Gospels of Matthew 24 and Luke 21. I am not including Mark 13 because it's similar to Matthew 24.

Matthew 24:15 **When ye therefore shall see the abomination of desolation**, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place, (whoso readeth, let him understand:)
16 Then let them which be **in Judaea** flee into the mountains:
17 Let him which is on the housetop not come down to take any thing out of his house:
18 Neither let him which is in the field return back to take his clothes.
19 And woe unto them that are with child, and to them that give suck in those days!
20 But pray ye that your flight be not in the winter, neither on the sabbath day:
21 For **then** shall be **great tribulation**, such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time, no, nor ever shall be.

The parallel passage of the Olivet Discourse in the Book of Luke adds more detail to what Matthew wrote.

Luke 21:20 And **when ye shall see Jerusalem compassed with armies**, then know that **the desolation thereof** is nigh.
21 Then let them which are **in Judaea** flee to the mountains; and let them which are in the midst of it depart out; and **let not them that are in the countries enter thereinto**.
22 For these be the **days of vengeance**, that all things which are written may be fulfilled.
23 But woe unto them that are with child, and to them that give suck, in those days! for there shall be **great distress** in the land,

and wrath upon this people.

24 And they shall fall by the edge of the sword, and shall be led away captive into all nations: and **Jerusalem shall be trodden down of the Gentiles**, until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled.

How Luke 21 explains Matthew 24

Let's compare Matthew 24 verse by verse with Luke 21 and see what we can learn.

Matthew 24:15 When ye therefore shall see the abomination of desolation, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place, (whoso readeth, let him understand:)

*Luke 21:20 And when ye shall see Jerusalem compassed with armies, then know that **the desolation thereof is nigh**.*

Luke 21:20 tells us what Matthew 24:15 doesn't. It explains clearly the final prophecy of Daniel 9:27:

Daniel 9:27b ... and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.

The "overspreading of abominations" is the Roman army. "He shall make it desolate" is the destruction of Jerusalem and the Temple. Notice Luke 21:20 says, "the desolation thereof is nigh"? The desolation of what? Clearly from the context, it's talking about Jerusalem. And when? In 70AD by General Titus.

*Matthew 24:16 Then let them which be **in Judaea** flee into the mountains:*

*Luke 20:21 Then let them which are **in Judaea** flee to the mountains; and let them which are in the midst of it depart out; and **let not them that are in the countries enter thereinto**.*

See how Luke adds more detail to the Olivet Discourse compared to Matthew? Not only does it tell the people of Judea to flee to the mountains, but it tells those who live outside Judea not to try to enter it! And why? Because if you do, the Romans will kill you! Do you see how this prophecy applies only to the people Jesus was talking to at the time? It's cannot possibly be an Endtime prophecy unless you include 70 AD as part of the Endtime. Jesus was telling who to flee? The people who lived in Jerusalem and Judea, not anywhere else in the world. And who obeyed Jesus' warning? The Jewish Christians! Every one of them was saved from the slaughter of the Romans! The ones who were killed were the ones who believed the false prophets and fled to the Temple to be saved.

*Luke 24:22 For these be the **days of vengeance**, that all things which are written may be fulfilled.*

This verse is not included in Matthew 24. It explains why Rome was attacking

Jerusalem. The "days of vengeance" are God's wrath on the unbelieving Jews who rejected Jesus of Nazareth as the Christ, the Messiah. Jesus Himself brought the Roman army to destroy Jerusalem and the Temple to show that God is done with the Jews as a people. True Israel today consists of both Jews and Gentiles! The Epistles of Paul and the Book of Hebrews make this abundantly clear.

Galatians 3:26 For ye are all the children of God by faith in Christ Jesus.

27 For as many of you as have been baptized into Christ have put on Christ.

28 There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus.

29 And **if ye be Christ's, then are ye Abraham's seed**, and heirs according to the promise.

Hebrews 8:8 For finding fault with them, he saith, Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, when **I will make a new covenant** with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah:

9 Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt; because they continued not in my covenant, and I regarded them not, saith the Lord.

13 In that he saith, **A new covenant, he hath made the first old.** Now that which decayeth and waxeth old is ready to vanish away.

*Matthew 24:21 For then shall **be great tribulation**, such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time, no, nor ever shall be.*

*Luke 21:23b ... for there shall be **great distress** in the land, and wrath upon this people.*

When was the "then" of Matthew 24:21? It was when Rome was attacking Jerusalem. The "days of vengeance" are God's wrath on the unbelieving Jews who rejected Jesus of Nazareth as the Christ, the Messiah. Those days are over. There was never such tribulation of the Jews as there was in 70 AD!

Notice that Matthew 24 says "great tribulation" and Luke 21 says "great distress"? There are both the same thing! And Luke goes further and says, "wrath upon this people". Upon which people? Upon the unbelieving Jews who did not obey Jesus' warning to flee Jerusalem and Judea! They were killed by the Romans. According to pastor Chuck Baldwin of Liberty Fellowship, General Titus did not want to totally destroy the city of Jerusalem and the Temple. His army was so enraged against the Jews his couldn't stop them! The soldiers therefore must have been divinely inspired to do what they did! Not even their own general could stop them from destroying the city and the Temple.

I hope I have given you enough food for thought in case you believe in the

Futurist doctrine of a coming third Temple of Solomon and a future Antichrist occupying it for a seven-year peace pact with the Jews. The Temple may be rebuilt in the future, but I would not call it a fulfillment of prophecy. And I certainly wouldn't call it the "holy place" of Matthew 24:15! It would be an abomination to God because it would reflect yet the further rejection of the Blood of Christ in preference of the blood of animal sacrifices. God is finished with animal sacrifices! How do I know that?

Daniel 9:27 ...he (the Messiah) **shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease...**

Hebrews 9:11 But **Christ** being come an high priest of good things to come, by a greater and more perfect tabernacle, **not made with hands, that is to say, not of this building;**
12 **Neither by the blood of goats and calves, but by his own blood** he entered in once into the holy place, having obtained eternal redemption for us.

"Ok James Japan! So you're saying there won't be great tribulation in the Endtime?" I'm not saying there won't be tribulation caused by the enemies of Christ resulting in persecution of Christians. And I certainly do not believe that the Lord will rapture the Saints out of the midst or even before the beginning of great persecution. Nowhere does the Bible say that. If you think it does, please state the Scriptures that say so in the comments below. What I am saying is we do not know how long such a tribulation will last. It may be much shorter than 3 and a half years. It may be much longer than that. We cannot go by a final seven years scenario as I was taught because such a doctrine is based on a false interpretation of Daniel 9:27.

"So what will happen, James Japan?" I don't know! We only know that Christ will return for us. When? We don't know. Did any prophet of God predict that antichrists would bring the entire world into bondage and many into economic hardship by engineering the coronavirus scare which resulted in pandemic phobia and leading to greater government control of the people through vaccinations and vaccination passports? NO! NOT ONE PROPHET OF GOD PREDICTED THAT! Am I wrong? If I am, please educate me in the comments below. Thank you!

[COVID-19 mRNA Injections are Legally Not Vaccines! – By Dr. David E. Martin](#)



This is a talk by David E. Martin Ph.D. He is the developer of several innovation-based quantitative indices of public equities and the founder of the Purple Bridge Funds and M-CAM International. He has worked closely with the United States Congress and numerous trade and financial regulatory agencies in the United States. Dr. Martin is also a Batten Fellow at the University of Virginia's Darden Graduate School of Business Administration. (Quoted from <https://z3news.com/w/david-martin-presents-evidence-corona-virus-manmade/>)

Transcript of David Martin's talk

(Dr. Martin:) January 11, 2021, at least got the date, right? We're not in December anymore.

Today, hey, by the way, thanks, everybody, for the last six weeks that that series has been shared a lot, we've gotten a lot of great feedback. So thank you, everybody, who has been a huge part of our six-week series on the integral accounting view of what's next. That's been a really wonderful experience. Today, we're diving back into some really important stuff. And there are a number of you who have been asking questions about the status of legal proceedings, and so forth. And, and today, I'm going to give give you a little window into a couple of the approaches that are going on in various lawsuits. We're not commenting specifically on lawsuits right now that are active and pending simply because that's just not an appropriate thing to do. Other than to say, there are active and pending cases.

But today is a really weird one, people. And I have to say, you know, back in the early 1990s, I was doing clinical trials at the University of Virginia medical school. And I happened to be working in a very controversial medical technology area called electromagnetic field therapy or EMF. And historically, I mean, if you go back for decades, there's been all kinds of disputes around whether or not that technology works or not.

And so we were doing a multi-center clinical trial for a Japanese company. And I became very aware of 15 US Code Section 41, which is part of the Federal Trade Commission Act, that specifically has to do with advertising that a product or service can prevent, treat or cure human disease unless you

possess competent, reliable scientific evidence, including when appropriate, well-controlled human clinical studies substantiating that the claims are true at the time they're made. So there's a rule under the Federal Trade Commission Act, and it has been used to shut down alternative medicine for years like you know, people who have been naturopaths, people who have been chiropractors, people who have been in any of the what are considered to be kind of alternative medical spaces have become very familiar with this, because it's the way the Federal Trade Commission shuts people down. confiscates materials, confiscates businesses, does some really egregious acts. And it occurred to me that no one seems to be talking about this when it comes to what is being promoted in the current regime!

And so, I thought, we're going to take a look into 15 US Code Section 41 today. And the reason for this is twofold. Number one is because it's important. Number two, is because I think that we need to call out a very important thing. So there's a part of the Federal Trade Commission Act, which also has to do with what's called deceptive labeling practices. It's when you use terms or phrases or words where you know that you are using them with an intent to deceive, deceptive medical practices. And so today, we're going to take apart a couple of the deceptive medical practices, and suggest that if any of you, and this is now a specific challenge, people, listen, we've been doing 39 of these videos.

Somebody who's watching this video knows an elected official, somebody watching this video knows a prosecutor, whether that's a US Attorney, whether that's an Attorney General in a state, somebody in the viewing of this video knows somebody who needs to listen to this video. And I'm going to really encourage you to share this, because it's actually super important. Listen, if this law can be applied to shut down people of goodwill, who are trying to help other people, it certainly should be equally applied when we know deceptive medical practices are being done in the name of public health. And we're going to get to that.

But Kim, let's start off with both of us worked in hospitals. Both of us worked in medical centers. As a condition of employment, you had to have shots, right?

(Kim:) Yeah, definitely had to have shots that have hep B and a few others. That was a bit way back in the day. But yeah, we had to have, all of us had to have as to be a nurse. You had to have shots done.

(Dr. Martin:) Yeah, I mean, at the Medical Center at the University of Virginia, you know, hep B was a standard. If you didn't have hep B, you know, you had no patient interaction. How about when you were in your own business?

(Kim:) No, not when I was running my own business, because I wasn't employed by a hospital. I did my own assessments of my own group of nurses. And we didn't have to because I was the boss.

(Dr. Martin:) Yeah. But there are people. I mean, let's be really clear. There are people for whom vaccines and various medical measures are a requirement for employment. And that's a really interesting problem where you

get forced into doing something, and that made me think. Somethings troubled me. And the thing that's troubled me is that both Pfizer and Moderna have been promoting what they call a "vaccine".

Now, for those of you who don't really think about it, you have thought about it, because the public thinks that when you say vaccine, one of at least two things is happening. First is, whatever you're getting is going to keep you from being infected by some sort of pathogen. So that's assumption number one. Assumption number two, is that somehow or another, if you get that vaccine, somehow you're doing your public health bit to make sure that you don't transmit it to other people, right? Am I going out on a limb here? The word "vaccine" kind of means that.

And let's let's go back in history a little bit, shall we? Let's go back to 1905, to the very, very, very, very, very famous Jacobson case in Massachusetts (Jacobson v. Massachusetts Ref: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jacobson_v._Massachusetts), the Supreme Court 1905. And I'm going to read the quote, because I think it's important,

"This Court has more than once recognized as a fundamental principle, that persons and property are subjected to all kinds of restraints and burdens in order to secure the general comfort, health, and prosperity of a state."

So what the Supreme Court and Jacobson said was that the police powers the public health powers were legitimate if they secured the general comfort, health and prosperity of the state. That implies that the individual benefit is superseded by a collective benefit, that somehow or another, the individual is not the point when it comes to public health laws, it's about the community, which would then suggest that to meet a vaccine public health standard, and quite frankly, a vaccine public health definition, something about either you are immune from getting a pathogen or and potentially and, you are not going to transmit the pathogen, must be part of what lives inside that definition. That couldn't be more straightforward than the Supreme Court of the United States in 1905, which, by the way, happens to be a decision that I have yet to even meet many attorneys who have bothered reading it. They talk about vaccine litigation. But I haven't heard many that can actually quote the majority opinion out of Jacobson, which is a very dangerous problem that we have.

But here's where it gets more interesting. So when Moderna was started, and if you go back and look at their SEC filings, and we've gone through all their SEC filings, they make a point of saying that their technology is a gene therapy technology, gene therapy technology, you'll notice that they don't say vaccination, they actually say gene therapy technology. And it was set up to be a cancer treatment. So this is gene therapy, chemotherapy.

Now, let's just stop for a minute. Let's just ask the question. If Anthony Fauci got up and said to everybody, "Hey, we want you to take chemotherapy for the disease that you may or may not ever have." There wouldn't be a single person raising their hand, the prophylactic chemotherapy, you wouldn't be doing it. You know why you wouldn't be doing it? Because it's a dumb idea, that's why you wouldn't be doing it. And, States wouldn't be able to mandate

it and employers wouldn't be able to mandate it, no employer would be able to mandate a chemotherapy for a disease that you don't have. That would not be a legal thing to do. But they called their technology, gene therapy technology. They made a big point of saying that this was not investigational new drugs, this was gene therapy technology. This belonged in the Center for Biologics, potentially even the CDRH, the Center for Device and Radiological Health, because let's think about what they actually do. And by the way, this is super important. All the references are going to be in this video on the YouTube channel. And I'm going to put a bunch of the references actually, in the comments section on Facebook Live so that you can go see this yourself.

But what they're doing is they're putting together a synthetic fragment of nucleic acid, it's not mRNA. It's not natural. It's not even a natural component of a fragment. It's a synthetic fragment, it's a technology embedded within a fat carrier, a peg carrier, and that is being introduced into the cell not to induce a immunity from infection with a SARS COVID virus, and it's not to block transmission of it. It's actually to lessen symptoms associated with the S1 spike protein, not even the virus itself. So it gets better and or worse, depending on your point of view. The fact of the matter is this thing is actually not a vaccination.

Now, why would I say it's not a vaccination? People go, "Dave? Why would you say it's not a vaccination?" Well, let's look at the legal standard for what a vaccination is. And let's start with the Center for Disease Control's own definitions, why not start with the people who run the racket?

Two important operative definitions: Immunity is protection from an infectious disease, protection from an infectious disease. If you are immune to a disease, you can be exposed to it without becoming infected. Now, by definition, neither Pfizer nor Moderna even claims that to be the case. That's straight-up, not their definition. So immunity, you can be exposed to a pathogen infectious disease without becoming infected. Not only is that not the case, we're going to get to this in a minute, but in their clinical trials, they specifically say they're not going to test that. So stay with me on that.

And then the CDC says a vaccine is a product that stimulates a person's immune system to produce immunity, see the definition above, to a specific disease protecting the person from that disease. And then it says vaccines are usually administered through needle injections, but also can be administered by mouth and sprayed in the nose. Now, that's the CDC.

So let's just stipulate for the sake of this conversation, that the CDC his own definition, and what Pfizer and Moderna are doing, do not match.

And by the way, you should be thinking somewhere in this video, hold on a second. If this isn't a vaccine, why are they calling it a vaccine? That's a question. You should be thinking in your head because we're going to come to that in a second.

But I thought, okay, CDC, CDC is not the law. CDC is an expression of an agency, empowered by the law, but it's actually not the law. So why don't we

actually look at the laws where vaccine has been defined? And it turns out, that's a much harder exercise than you would think. Because vaccine The term is actually not a legally defined term in an enormous number of statutes that govern vaccines, which is actually a really interesting thing. But let's look at some examples. And I just pulled a couple examples from the Iowa code. Vaccine, and I'm quoting means a specially prepared antigen administered to a person for the purpose of providing immunity.

Immunity once again, the operative definition, which is to say that when exposed to a pathogen, you are not susceptible. That's the Iowa code. How about Washington State? You know, the State that has been absolutely tyrannical and all of its interventions around Coronavirus, allegedly the birthplace of the US experience with Coronavirus, State of Washington. Vaccine legally defined term now, this is in the statute, means a preparation of a killed or attenuated living microorganism or fraction thereof, just Just stop right there, vaccine means a preparation of a killed or attenuated living microorganism. Stop right there. And mRNA synthetically developed by Moderna and Pfizer do not meet this definition. bOr a fraction thereof, it's not a fraction of a living thing or a killed thing, because it's neither living nor killed. They are explicitly synthetic gene therapies. **They are not vaccines as defined by the statute that upon administration stimulates immunity.** There we have it, again, that stimulates immunity, meaning that you do not get a thing when exposed to that pathogen, right? That protects us against disease and is approved by the Federal Food and Drug Administration and safe and effective. Now, that's the State of Washington statute. And by the way across the board, that's the definition of vaccine, which means immunity is a defined term vaccine is a defined term.

But here comes a really big problem. The big problem is that if we look at the clinical trials that were approved for what was called the SARS-CoV2 COVID-19 vaccine program under the operation warp speed, listen very carefully to what the primary endpoint is.

The primary endpoint is the prevention of symptomatic COVID-19 disease. Now, let's pause and unpack that starting with COVID 19 disease.

As you all know, if you've been watching this show at all, you know that in February, the World Health Organization, and the CDC and the Department of Health and Human Services made a very clear distinction. They wanted to make sure that COVID-19, which was a series of clinical symptoms, which included things like fever, muscle pain, aches, loss of smell, certain radiologic findings in the lungs, a whole host of things, there's a laundry list of things that all got subsumed within this thing called COVID-19, which by the way, has been now redefined as to pick which is pneumonia, influenza COVID, as a combined set of things so that we can catch more people allegedly dying of this thing. But **COVID-19 disease is actually not a disease, it is a set of symptoms.**

And they were very clear on this, by the way. They tried to make a causal statement, they tried to say sorry, COV2 causes COVID-19. But then there was a tiny problem. Most of the people who tested positive using the RT PCR method had no clinical presentation, which means you can't make a causal

statement. You can't say the virus causes a disease, because unfortunately, most people with a positive PCR test for a fragment of what was called SARS-CoV-2, didn't have any problem. In fact, well over 80% had no problem. Which then leads us to the question of, okay, so we can't say it's causal, but it's more problematic than this, the primary endpoint for the vaccine trial was actually not a vaccine endpoint.

A vaccine endpoint has to do with immunity. And a vaccine endpoint has to do with transmissibility. And neither of those were measured, and it gets worse.

And I'm quoting right now straight out of Moderna's own statement. "Key secondary endpoints include prevention of severe COVID-19 disease, and prevention of infection by SARS-CoV-2." But here comes a tiny little problem. By their own admission in their clinical study, it was and I'm quoting, "impractical to measure infection." That's right, you heard me correctly. It's impractical to measure infection. So there is no evidence inside the phase three clinical trials, that any of the gene therapy had anything to do with the infection or not of SARS-CoV-2.

You cannot have a vaccination. It's not under the legal definition of vaccination, you cannot have a vaccination. That is in fact, not meeting either an immune or a transmission standard. And their clinical trial was set up specifically so that it could not measure either of those things.

And then, let's quote, shall we, from *The New England Journal of Medicine*, and from [The Lancet](#). And this is October, and this is December respectively. And I'm just going to go ahead and read this quote:

"At the time of this writing, no correlate of protection from SARS-CoV-2 has been established." (Ref: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2028436>)

Maybe you misheard what I just said. **As of this writing, no correlative protection for SARS-CoV-2 has been established.** In other words, not a shred of evidence from the clinical trials said anything about protection from infection with SARS-CoV-2, which means every single person who has value signaled their vaccination because they're doing their part not to be infected with SARS-CoV-2, and not to get COVID-19, newsflash, you have been violating the Federal Trade Commission Act by deceptive practices. You've been telling people that there is a protection that the data itself does not afford. But maybe that was just one report. So why don't I read from the second report? And I quote, and this is the *Lancet* and *New England Journal of Medicine*, quote,

"No existing vaccines have been shown to be effective against infection with any betacoronavirus, the family that includes SARS-CoV-2, which causes Covid-19." (Ref: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMe2034717>)

People, this isn't my opinion, this is not me selectively choosing to take a spin on facts. This is in fact, fact. Which then begs the question, Kim. What would motivate Pfizer and Moderna and more importantly felonious Fauci, the unsavory, what would motivate them and CDC and others to lie to the American people about this being a vaccine, because vaccines in the ordinary course of

the use of that term, invokes within the listener, a presumption of protection against infection, and protection against transmission, neither of which have been established at all? Why would they use the term vaccine?

And here's where we have to depart from the facts. And we have to go into conjecture because that's the only thing we have available to us. As recently as 2018, Moderna was insisting that they were not making vaccinations, they were insisting that this is gene therapy technology, and it was cutting edge and it was all this kind of nonsense. And suddenly, courtesy of SARS-CoV-2, it suddenly became a vaccine company. It wasn't a vaccine company before. It's not a vaccine company now, **it's a gene therapy technology company with an unproven gene therapy.** That's what it is.

So when asked to ask the question, okay, so why, why do we keep hearing about vaccines? Ah, well, here's the reason I think we keep hearing about vaccines. And once again, my opinion here, people, this is not based on information that I have readily available, but it is my opinion. I think that if Anthony Fauci if Moderna and Pfizer, and others, public health authorities around the country and around the world, actually called this gene therapy chemotherapy, number one, people wouldn't want to take it. And they wouldn't want to take it for a good reason. Because experimental gene therapy is a bad idea, no matter who it is, no matter what it is, no matter where it is. Experimental gene therapy should not be relentlessly and recklessly distributed to a population, that shouldn't happen. So that's number one.

But number two is the 1986 liability exclusion. And this is what I think it really is. See, as long as Mr. Alex M. Azhar, the director or the Secretary of Department of Health and Human Services, as long as Alex Azhar keeps the state of emergency going, as long as every governor and every mayor and every unelected official keeps the state of emergency going under emergency use authorization rules, liability is a shield that's afforded to people like Pfizer and Moderna and others. So they're making billions of dollars on the back of something for which they have no liability. But, if this was not a vaccine, then all a sudden the liability shield would vanish, because there is not a liability shield under the 1986 Act for a medical countermeasure that is gene therapy.

Which means we're probably having governors, and the Health and Human Services and CDC and others, maintaining the illusion of a state of emergency not because there's a state of emergency. They're maintaining it, because it maintains the illusion of the liability shield. Remember that if you suspended the state of emergency today, like, I don't know, if you're the governor of South Dakota, and you ever have anybody who remotely cares about this matter, listening to this video, lift the state of emergency because on the day you do it, RT PCR can't be used. Because RT PCR is not a diagnostic, it has never been approved, and it does not and cannot diagnose and treat a disease, which means every time Bloomberg, Gates Foundation and Zuckerberg foundation COVID-19 dashboard reports that there is a another case of COVID-19 because of a positive RT PCR test, they are violating the 15 US code Federal Trade Commission Act. You cannot diagnose a thing that cannot diagnose the thing. That's a misrepresentation. That is a deceptive practice under the Federal Trade Commission Act. And they're liable for deceptive

practices. Because it turns out, you do not have a waiver of liability under deceptive practices, even in a state of emergency.

So maybe the reason why they're calling it a vaccine is because they can count on the fact that neither you nor I will ever have this video, you and I will never do this independent inquiry you and I will never ask the question, is it possible that the entirety of what operation warp speed was, was nothing but propaganda? Which leads me to my conclusion.

Some of you know this, some of you don't. But operation warp speed has a middleman. And the middleman is a company called ATI, a defense contractor out of North Carolina. And it turns out that that defense contractor is the one that clears the billions of dollars of orders for vaccines. And that defense contractor is the one that then is supposed to be controlling the rollout of the vaccines, which has been a total train wreck, even setting aside all of the ethical, legal moral obligations of the things that we just talked about. But ATI also has another contract, also with the Department of Defense, and other government agencies, and that contract is for propaganda and misinformation.

"Hold on a minute! You mean that the company that actually got the contract to officially manipulate public media to officially convey the propaganda of the United States government, you mean that company is also the company in charge of operation warp speed, Dave? Is that what you're saying?" And the answer is, you got it! That's exactly what I'm saying. This thing does not stink like rotten fish because I'm making up some sort of stench. It stinks like rotten fish because it is rotten fish. That's why it smells of rotten fish.


So listen, this is a pretty straightforward situation. You're being lied to. Your own government is violating its own laws. 15 US code is not my interpretation. They have thrown this book at more people than I can count. They have shut down practitioners around the country, time and time again for violating what are called deceptive practices and medical claims. Guess what? They're doing exactly that thing. Right now.

You need to send this video to your US Attorney in your state. You need to send this to your attorney general in your state. You need to actually do something with this video. Don't just share it among your friends don't preach to the converted. This is a violation of federal statutes perpetrated by defense contractors by gene therapy companies, not vaccine manufacturers, by gene therapy companies who are doing experimental trials and doing them under deceptive medical practices. That's what this is.

And by the way, every statement that I have made before I went to my opinion, and my opinion is pretty grounded, because if you really examine why I think that the liability shield is the point, look at how many times the Department of Defense wanted to confirm that they were shielded under the immunity act of the emergency use authorization. They would not play this game if they didn't get the liability shield. And that liability shield came from the emergency use authorization.

So we're very, very, very desperately in need right now as a civilization to make sure we interrupt this. And the reason is because real people are being harmed. Real people are actually having significant side effects. Some people may in fact be dying. The doctor in Florida, who two weeks after receiving the vaccine, dropped over dead, very healthy guy, a couple days after he got the vaccine started having splotches and blotches and everything else, and then at the end of two weeks died, his death is being investigated, are you ready for this? By the CDC and by Pfizer! That's like asking a bank robber to investigate their own bank heist. It is beyond insane people. And it's time for each one of you not to just like this, not to just share this, but send it to law enforcement in your state, because somebody somewhere out there in these 50 states is going to actually care about following the law. Somebody is, or this is not America. It's on you. Do something with this. I'm doing the work. You can help me carry the burden. So make sure you share this and we'll see you again next week. Thanks very much.

God's Word of Encouragement to Cain Before He Killed Abel



Today, May 5th, 2021, I learned I have been misinterpreting Genesis 4:7 my entire life!

Genesis 4:6 ¶And the LORD said unto Cain, Why art thou wroth? and why is thy countenance fallen?

7 If thou doest well, shalt thou not be accepted? and if thou doest not well, sin lieth at the door. And unto thee shall be **his** desire, and thou shalt rule over **him**.

Who or what is the “his” and “him” of Genesis 4:7? I always thought it was referring to sin. But when listening to an audio version of Genesis 3:16, it struck me how similar the words are which the Lord used to speak to both Eve and Cain.

Genesis 3:16b ... and thy desire shall be to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee.

Then I read what the 18th century Bible commentator Adam Clarke had to say about Genesis 4:7.


Unto thee shall be his desire, &c. – That is, Thou shalt ever have the right of primogeniture (the exclusive right of inheritance belonging to the eldest son), and in all things shall thy brother be subject unto thee. These words are not spoken of sin, as many have understood them, but of Abel’s submission to Cain as his superior, and the words are spoken to remove Cain’s envy.

Wow! God was telling Cain he shouldn’t be envious of his younger brother Abel! Cain was the firstborn and he was Abel’s superior. Cain had the birthright, not Abel. But in spite of the Lord’s encouragement to him, his envy of his younger brother still got the best of him and he killed him. In spite of the fact the Lord tried to lift up Cain’s spirit so he wouldn’t sin, Cain’s pride and jealousy caused him to sin anyway! So sad!



God speaking to Cain.

Is Doctrine Important? Tagalog Version



doctrine (n.)

late 14c., "the body of principles, dogmas, etc., in a religion or field of knowledge," from Old French doctrine (12c.) "teaching, doctrine" and directly from Latin doctrina "a teaching, body of teachings, learning," from doctor "teacher" (from <https://www.etymonline.com/word/doctrine>)

It's been my personal observation of Pentecostal-Charismatic type of Christians that they seek experiences with the Holy Spirit which result in emotional highs and don't care so much about biblical doctrines. And the ironic thing is, though they assert that teaching doctrine from the Word of God is not as important as being filled with the Spirit, they have developed their *own* doctrines which are patently false and unscriptural!

One pastor of a Pentecostal church boasted to me how *little* Bible he knew or memorized and yet he is "filled with the spirit." I tend to agree with him that he's full of some spirit, but the question is, which spirit? Is it really the Holy Spirit? My Bible says,

John 6:63b ...the **words** that I speak unto you, **they are spirit**, and **they are life**.

Ang Espiritu ang nagbibigay-buhay; hindi ito magagawa ng laman. Ang mga salitang sinabi ko sa inyo ay espiritu at ito ang nagbibigay-buhay.

One "brother" told me once, "We have Jesus, we don't need the Bible." But how are we going to have Jesus without the Bible? NO WAY! The Bible is the only authority a child of God by faith in Christ Jesus can stand on. And if he or she cannot explain their faith in Christ directly from Bible verses, I wonder if they have any real faith at all. The Bible says the source of true faith is through hearing the Word of God. The Bible is the only sure source of the written Word of God.

Romans 10:17 So then faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God.

Kaya't ang pananampalataya ay bunga ng pakikinig, at ang pakikinig naman ay bunga ng pangangaral tungkol kay Cristo.

I once wanted to share the historicist interpretation of Daniel 9:27 to an elderly Pentecostal lady I was helping in setting up her new house. She told me flat out she wasn't interested in hearing it. She introduced me to Todd White who teaches doctrines such as, "the Bible doesn't tell you to rebuke the Devil." And she introduced me to Heidi Baker who sometimes appears before a congregation acting so drunk as if she had just drank several bottles of wine!

In showing the list of Scriptures in the Bible with the word "doctrine" I will be commenting on the number of times it appears in certain sections of Scripture. I've been influenced by the teaching of Pastor Michael Hoggard who believes numbers in the Bible and specifically in the King James Version of the Bible, are significant because it shows that the KJV is a translation that can be only inspired by the Holy Spirit. For example, the word "godhead" appears exactly 3 times in the KJV signifying the truth of the doctrine of the Trinity. Other English translations do not do so. The New King James version in the Book of Acts uses the words "divine nature" instead of godhead. That's wrong and a different meaning entirely!

Scriptures with the Word Doctrine

The word doctrine appears six times in the Old Testament.

Deuteronomy 32:2 My **doctrine** shall drop as the rain, my speech shall distil as the dew, as the small rain upon the tender herb, and as the showers upon the grass:

Pumatak nawang gaya ng ulan ang aking ituturo, ang salita ko nawa'y tulad ng hamog na namumuo;

Job 11:4 For thou hast said, My **doctrine** is pure, and I am clean in thine eyes.

Ipinipilit mong tama ang iyong paniniwala, at sa harap ng Diyos ika'y malinis na lubos.

Proverbs 4:2 For I give you good **doctrine**, forsake ye not my law.

Pagkat tiyak na mabuti itong aking sinasabi, kaya't aking mga katuruan huwag mong isantabi.

Isaiah 28:9 Whom shall he teach knowledge? and whom shall he make to understand **doctrine**? them that are weaned from the milk, and drawn from the breasts.

Ganito ang sinasabi nila laban sa akin:

"Ano kaya ang palagay ng taong ito sa atin;

sino bang nais niyang turuan at pagpaliwanagan?

Ang sinabi niya'y para lamang sa mga batang musmos na nangangailangan pa ng gatas.

Isaiah 29:24 They also that erred in spirit shall come to understanding, and they that murmured shall learn **doctrine**.

Magtatamo ng kaunawaan ang mga napapalayo sa katotohanan, at tatanggap ng pangaral ang mga matitigas ang ulo."

Jeremiah 10:8 But they are altogether brutish and foolish: the stock is a **doctrine** of vanities.

Silang lahat ay pawang hangal at mangmang.

Ano ang maituturo sa kanila ng mga diyus-diyosang kahoy?

It appears 12 times in the four Gospels. It's interesting that Jesus chose 12 Apostles!

Matthew 7:28 And it came to pass, when Jesus had ended these sayings, the people were astonished at his **doctrine**:

Namangha kay Jesus ang mga tao nang kanilang marinig ang kanyang pagtuturo

Matthew 16:12 Then understood they how that he bade them not beware of the leaven of bread, but of the **doctrine** of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees.

At naunawaan nila na sila'y pinag-iingat niya sa mga katuruan ng mga Pariseo at mga Saduseo, at hindi sa pampaalsang ginagamit sa tinapay.

Matthew 22:33 And when the multitude heard this, they were astonished at his **doctrine**.

Nang marinig ito ng mga tao, namangha sila sa kanyang katuruan.

Mark 1:27 And they were all amazed, insomuch that they questioned among themselves, saying, What thing is this? what new **doctrine** is this? for with authority commandeth he even the unclean spirits, and they do obey him.

Ang lahat ay namangha kaya't sila'y nagtanungan sa isa't isa, "Paanong nangyari iyon? Ito ay isang kakaibang katuruan! Makapangyarihan niyang nauutusan ang masasamang espiritu, at sumusunod naman ang mga ito sa kanya."

Mark 4:2 And he taught them many things by parables, and said unto them in his **doctrine**,

at sila'y tinuruan niya ng maraming bagay sa pamamagitan ng mga talinghaga.

Ganito ang sinabi niya:

Mark 11:18 And the scribes and chief priests heard it, and sought how they might destroy him: for they feared him, because all the people was astonished at his **doctrine**.

Narinig ito ng mga punong pari at ng mga tagapagturo ng Kautusan. Buhat noo'y humanap na sila ng paraan upang patayin si Jesus. Subalit natatakot sila sa kanya dahil humahanga ang lahat sa kanyang mga turo.

Mark 12:38 And he said unto them in his **doctrine**, Beware of the scribes, which love to go in long clothing, and love salutations in the marketplaces, Sa kanyang pagtuturo, sinabi ni Jesus, "Mag-ingat kayo sa mga tagapagturo ng Kautusan na mahilig magpalakad-lakad na suot ang kanilang mahahabang damit at gustung-gustong binabati nang may paggalang sa mga pamilihan.

John 7:16 Jesus answered them, and said, My **doctrine** is not mine, but his that sent me.

Kaya't sinabi ni Jesus, "Hindi sa akin ang itinuturo ko, kundi sa nagsugo sa akin.

John 7:17 If any man will do his will, he shall know of the **doctrine**, whether it be of God, or whether I speak of myself.

Kung talagang nais ninumang sumunod sa kalooban ng Diyos, malalaman niya kung ang itinuturo ko'y mula nga sa Diyos, o kung ang sinasabi ko ay galing lamang sa akin.

It appears four times in the Book of Acts. There are a lot of combinations of "fours" in the Bible, four Gospels, four beasts (Revelation 6:1,6), four angels, four corners, four winds (Revelation 7:1)

Acts 2:42 And they continued stedfastly in the apostles' **doctrine** and fellowship, and in breaking of bread, and in prayers.

Inilaan nila ang kanilang mga sarili upang matuto sa turo ng mga apostol,

magsama-sama bilang magkakapatid, magsalu-salo sa pagkain ng tinapay, at manalangin.

Acts 5:28 Saying, Did not we straitly command you that ye should not teach in this name? and, behold, ye have filled Jerusalem with your **doctrine**, and intend to bring this man's blood upon us.

"Hindi ba't mahigpit namin kayong pinagbawalang mangaral sa pangalan ng taong iyan?" sinabi niya. "Ngunit tingnan ninyo ang inyong ginawa! Laganap na sa Jerusalem ang inyong itinuturo at nais pa ninyo kaming papanagutin sa pagkamatay ng taong iyan!"

Acts 13:12 Then the deputy, when he saw what was done, believed, being astonished at the **doctrine** of the Lord.

Sumampalataya ang gobernador nang makita ang nangyari, at humanga siya sa katuruan tungkol sa Panginoon.

Acts 17:19 And they took him, and brought him unto Areopagus, saying, May we know what this new **doctrine**, whereof thou speakest, is?

Siya'y isinama nila at iniharap sa kapulungan ng Areopago at tinanong, "Maaari bang malaman namin kung ano itong bagong aral na itinuturo mo?"

It appears 21 times in 12 chapters of the Pauline Epistles! The number 21 can be factored to 3 X 7, both significant numbers in the Bible. And of course, 12 is a significant number.

Romans 6:17 But God be thanked, that ye were the servants of sin, but ye have obeyed from the heart that form of **doctrine** which was delivered you.

Ngunit salamat sa Diyos, kayong dating mga alipin ng kasalanan ay taos pusong sumunod sa katotohanan na nasa aral na ibinigay sa inyo.

Romans 16:17 Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the **doctrine** which ye have learned; and avoid them.

Mga kapatid, nakikiusap ako sa inyo: mag-ingat kayo sa mga pasimuno ng mga pagkakampi-kampi at sanhi ng pagtalikod dahil sa pagsalungat nila sa aral na tinanggap ninyo. Iwasan ninyo sila.

1 Corinthians 14:6 Now, brethren, if I come unto you speaking with tongues, what shall I profit you, except I shall speak to you either by revelation, or by knowledge, or by prophesying, or by **doctrine**?

Kaya, mga kapatid, kung pumunta man ako riyon at magsalita sa inyo sa iba't ibang mga wika, ano ang mapapakinabang ninyo sa akin? Wala! Makikinabang lamang kayo kung tuturuan ko kayo ng mga pahayag ng Diyos, ng kaalaman mula sa kanya, ng mga mensahe mula sa Diyos, at ng mga aral.

1 Corinthians 14:26 How is it then, brethren? when ye come together, every one of you hath a psalm, hath a **doctrine**, hath a tongue, hath a revelation, hath an interpretation. Let all things be done unto edifying.

Kung nagpapasalamat ka sa Diyos sa pamamagitan ng espiritu lamang, paanong makakapagsabi ng "Amen" ang isang taong naroroon ngunit walang gayong kaloob, kung hindi niya nauunawaan ang iyong sinasabi?

Ephesians 4:14 That we henceforth be no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of **doctrine**, by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive;

Nang sa gayon, hindi na tayo magiging tulad sa mga batang madaling matangay ng sari-saring aral. Hindi na tayo maililigaw ng mga taong ang hangad ay dalhin tayo sa kamalian sa pamamagitan ng kanilang katusuhan at panlilinlang.

1 Timothy 1:3 As I besought thee to abide still at Ephesus, when I went into

Macedonia, that thou mightest charge some that they teach no other **doctrine**,
Gaya ng ipinakiusap ko sa iyo bago ako pumunta sa Macedonia, nais kong
manatili ka sa Efeso upang utusan mo ang ilang tao diyan na huwag magturo ng
maling aral,

1 Timothy 1:10 For whoremongers, for them that defile themselves with
mankind, for menstealers, for liars, for perjured persons, and if there be
any other thing that is contrary to sound **doctrine**;

Ibinigay rin ang Kautusan para sa mga mahihilig sa kahalayan at
nakikipagtalik sa kapwa lalaki, para sa mga kidnaper, para sa mga sinungaling
at sa mga bulaang saksi. Ang Kautusan ay ibinigay para sa lahat ng mga
sumasalungat sa mabuting aral.

1 Timothy 4:6 If thou put the brethren in remembrance of these things, thou
shalt be a good minister of Jesus Christ, nourished up in the words of faith
and of good **doctrine**, whereunto thou hast attained.

Kung ituturo mo sa mga kapatid ang mga bagay na ito, ikaw ay magiging
mabuting lingkod ni Cristo Jesus. At habang itinuturo mo ito, dinudulutan mo
rin ang iyong sarili ng pagkaing espirituwal mula sa mga salita ng
pananampalataya at sa tunay na aral na sinusunod mo.

1 Timothy 4:13 Till I come, give attendance to reading, to exhortation, to
doctrine.

Habang wala pa ako riyan, iukol mo ang iyong panahon sa pagbabasa ng
Kasulatan sa harap ng mga tao, sa pangangaral at sa pagtuturo.

1 Timothy 4:16 Take heed unto thyself, and unto the **doctrine**; continue in
them: for in doing this thou shalt both save thyself, and them that hear
thee.

Pakaingatan mo ang iyong sarili at ang iyong pagtuturo. Patuloy mong gawin
ang mga ito sapagkat sa paggawa mo nito ay maliligtas ka, pati na ang mga
nakikinig sa iyo.

1 Timothy 5:17 Let the elders that rule well be counted worthy of double
honour, especially they who labour in the word and **doctrine**.

Ang mga matatandang pinuno ng iglesya na mahusay mamahala ay karapat-dapat
tumanggap ng paggalang at kabayaran, lalo na ang mga masigasig sa pangangaral
at pagtuturo ng salita ng Diyos.

1 Timothy 6:1 Let as many servants as are under the yoke count their own
masters worthy of all honour, that the name of God and his **doctrine** be not
blasphemed.

Ang mga alipin ay dapat magpakita ng buong paggalang sa kanilang mga amo
upang walang masabing masama laban sa pangalan ng Diyos at sa ating aral.

1 Timothy 6:3 If any man teach otherwise, and consent not to wholesome words,
even the words of our Lord Jesus Christ, and to the **doctrine** which is
according to godliness;

Kung nagtuturo ang sinuman ng ibang katuruan at di sang-ayon sa mga tunay na
salita ng Panginoong Jesu-Cristo at sa mga aral tungkol sa pagiging maka-
Diyos,

2 Timothy 3:10 But thou hast fully known my **doctrine**, manner of life,
purpose, faith, longsuffering, charity, patience,

Ngunit sinunod mo ang aking itinuro sa iyo, ang aking ugali at layunin sa
buhay. Tinularan mo ang aking pananampalataya, pagtitiyaga, pag-ibig at
katapatan.

2 Timothy 3:16 All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is
profitable for **doctrine**, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in

righteousness:

Ang lahat ng Kasulatan ay kinasihan ng Diyos, at kapaki-pakinabang sa pagtuturo ng katotohanan, sa pagsaway sa kamalian, sa pagtutuwid sa likong gawain at sa pagsasanay para sa matuwid na pamumuhay,

2 Timothy 4:2 Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhort with all longsuffering and **doctrine**.

ipangaral mo ang salita ng Diyos; pagsikapan mong gawin iyan napapanahon man o hindi. Himukin mo at pagsabihan ang mga tao, at palakasin ang kanilang loob sa pamamagitan ng matiyagang pagtuturo.

2 Timothy 4:3 For the time will come when they will not endure sound **doctrine**; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears;

Sapagkat darating ang panahong hindi na sila makikinig sa wastong katuruan; sa halip, susundin nila ang kanilang hilig. Maghahanap sila ng mga tagapagturo na walang ituturo kundi ang ibig lamang nilang marinig.

Titus 1:9 Holding fast the faithful word as he hath been taught, that he may be able by sound **doctrine** both to exhort and to convince the gainsayers.

Kailangang matatag siyang nananalig sa mga tunay na aral na natutunan niya, upang ito'y maituro naman niya sa iba at maipakita ang kamalian ng mga sumasalungat dito.

Titus 2:1 But speak thou the things which become sound **doctrine**:

Kaya naman ituro mo ang mga bagay na angkop sa wastong aral.

Titus 2:7 In all things shewing thyself a pattern of good works: in **doctrine** shewing uncorruptness, gravity, sincerity,

Sa lahat ng paraan, maging halimbawa ka ng mabuting ugali at maging tapat ka at kagalang-galang sa iyong pagtuturo.

Titus 2:10 Not purloining, but shewing all good fidelity; that they may adorn the **doctrine** of God our Saviour in all things.

ni kupitan man. Dapat silang maging tapat sa lahat ng pagkakataon, upang maipakita nila sa lahat nilang ginagawa ang kagandahan ng katuruan ng Diyos na ating Tagapagligtas.

It appears five times in four verses in the rest of the Epistles.

Hebrews 6:1 Therefore leaving the principles of the **doctrine** of Christ, let us go on unto perfection; not laying again the foundation of repentance from dead works, and of faith toward God,

Kaya't iwan na natin ang mga panimulang aralin tungkol kay Cristo at magpatuloy tayo sa mga aral na para sa mga may sapat na gulang na. Tigilan na natin ang muling paglalagay ng pundasyon tungkol sa pagtalikod sa mga gawang walang kabuluhan at tungkol sa pananampalataya sa Diyos,

Hebrews 6:2 Of the **doctrine** of baptisms, and of laying on of hands, and of resurrection of the dead, and of eternal judgment.

tungkol sa mga iba't ibang seremonya ng paglilinis at pagpapatong ng mga kamay, at tungkol sa muling pagkabuhay ng mga patay at sa hatol na walang hanggan.

2 John 1:9 Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the **doctrine** of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the **doctrine** of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son.

Ang hindi nananatili sa turo ni Cristo kundi nagdaragdag dito, ay wala sa

kanya ang Diyos. Sinumang nananatili sa turo ni Cristo ay nasa kanya ang Ama at ang Anak.

2 John 1:10 If there come any unto you, and bring not this **doctrine**, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed:

Sinumang dumating sa inyo na ibang turo ang dala ay huwag ninyong tanggapin sa inyong bahay, ni huwag ninyong batiin, li sapagkat ang bumabati sa kanya ay nagiging kaisa niya sa masamang gawain.

It appears three times in three verses in the Book of Revelation.

Revelation 2:14 But I have a few things against thee, because thou hast there them that hold the **doctrine** of Balaam, who taught Balac to cast a stumblingblock before the children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto idols, and to commit fornication.

Subalit may ilang bagay na ayaw ko sa iyo: may ilan sa inyo na sumusunod sa katuruan ni Balaam na nagturo kay Balac upang mahikayat ang mga Israelita na magkasala. Kaya't kumain sila ng mga pagkaing inihandog sa mga diyus-diyosan at nakiapid.

Revelation 2:15 So hast thou also them that hold the **doctrine** of the Nicolaitans, which thing I hate.

May ilan din sa inyong sumusunod sa katuruan ng mga Nicolaita.

Revelation 2:24 But unto you I say, and unto the rest in Thyatira, as many as have not this **doctrine**, and which have not known the depths of Satan, as they speak; I will put upon you none other burden.

"Ngunit para sa ibang mga taga-Tiatira, na hindi sumusunod sa katuruan ni Jezebel at hindi natuto ng tinatawag na 'malalalim na lihim ni Satanas,' ito ang sinasabi ko sa inyo: hindi ko kayo bibigyan ng ibang pasanin,

Is love also needed when teaching doctrine?

Absolutely! We should not become like the scribes and Pharisees with a holier than thou attitude when we teach doctrine from the Bible. The Bible says,

1 Corinthians 13:2 And though I have ... all knowledge; ... and have not charity, I am nothing.

Kung ako man ay may kakayahang magsalita ng mensahe mula sa Diyos at umunawa sa lahat ng hiwaga, kung nasa akin man ang lahat ng kaalaman at lahat ng pananampalataya, anupa't nakakapagpalipat ako ng mga bundok, ngunit wala naman akong pag-ibig, wala akong kabuluhan.

2 Timothy 2:25 **In meekness** instructing those that oppose themselves; if God peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth;

Mahinahon niyang itinutuwid ang mga sumasalungat sa kanya, baka sakaling sila'y bigyan ng Diyos ng pagkakataong magsisi't tumalikod sa kanilang mga kasalanan at malaman nila ang katotohanan.

We are to teach doctrine from the Bible in a spirit of love and meekness and


not in an arrogant condescending attitude. When we know our own weaknesses and faults, it's easier to remain humble. Remember, Jesus came not for the righteous, but to bring sinners to repentance. We are ALL sinners. The problem with some people is they don't think of themselves as a sinner.

1 John 1:8 Kung sinasabi nating tayo'y walang kasalanan, dinadaya natin ang ating sarili at wala sa atin ang katotohanan. 9 Subalit kung ipinapahayag natin ang ating mga kasalanan, patatawarin tayo ng Diyos sa mga ito, at lilinisin tayo sa lahat ng ating kasalanan, sapagkat siya'y tapat at matuwid. 10 Kung sinasabi nating hindi tayo nagkasala, ginagawa nating sinungaling ang Diyos, at wala sa atin ang kanyang salita.

Conclusion

From this study, would you say that teaching correct doctrine is important? Or would you say, "**Doctrine divides!** All Christians should be united as one! Let's continue on with ecumenicalism and unite with ALL religions, e.g., the Roman Catholic Church, the Orthodox Church, any Christian religion that claims to be Christians such as Mormons, Jehovah Witnesses, etc. Don't we all worship the same God?" My answer to that would be a resounding NO! Catholics include Mary in the Godhead.

Are Bible Doctrines Important to Teach and Know? Or is "All You Need is Love"?



doctrine (n.)

late 14c., "the body of principles, dogmas, etc., in a religion or field of knowledge," from Old French doctrine (12c.) "teaching, doctrine" and directly from Latin doctrina "a teaching, body of teachings, learning," from doctor "teacher" (from <https://www.etymonline.com/word/doctrine>)

It's been my personal observation of Pentecostal-Charismatic type of Christians that they seek experiences with the Holy Spirit which result in emotional highs and don't care so much about biblical doctrines. And the ironic thing is, though they assert that teaching doctrine from the Word of God is not as important as being filled with the Spirit, they have developed their *own* doctrines which are patently false and unscriptural!

One pastor of a Pentecostal church boasted to me how *little* Bible he knew or memorized and yet he is "filled with the spirit." I tend to agree with him that he's full of some spirit, but the question is, which spirit? Is it really the Holy Spirit? My Bible says,

John 6:63b ...the **words** that I speak unto you, **they are spirit**, and **they are Life**.

One "brother" told me once, "We have Jesus, we don't need the Bible." But how are we going to have Jesus without the Bible? NO WAY! The Bible is the only authority a child of God by faith in Christ Jesus can stand on. And if he or she cannot explain their faith in Christ directly from Bible verses, I wonder if they have any real faith at all. The Bible says the source of true faith is through hearing the Word of God. The Bible is the only sure source of the written Word of God.

Romans 10:17 So then faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God.

I once wanted to share the historicist interpretation of Daniel 9:27 to an elderly Pentecostal lady I was helping in setting up her new house. She told me flat out she wasn't interested in hearing it. She introduced me to Todd White who teaches doctrines such as, "the Bible doesn't tell you to rebuke the Devil." And she introduced me to Heidi Baker who sometimes appears before a congregation acting so drunk as if she had just drank several bottles of wine!

In showing the list of Scriptures in the Bible with the word "doctrine" I will be commenting on the number of times it appears in certain sections of Scripture. I've been influenced by the teaching of Pastor Michael Hoggard who believes numbers in the Bible and specifically in the King James Version of the Bible, are significant because it shows that the KJV is a translation that can be only inspired by the Holy Spirit. For example, the word "godhead" appears exactly 3 times in the KJV signifying the truth of the doctrine of the Trinity. Other English translations do not do so. The New King James version in the Book of Acts uses the words "divine nature" instead of godhead. That's wrong and a different meaning entirely!

Scriptures with the Word Doctrine

The word doctrine appears six times in the Old Testament.

Deuteronomy 32:2 My **doctrine** shall drop as the rain, my speech shall distil as the dew, as the small rain upon the tender herb, and as the showers upon

the grass:

Job 11:4 For thou hast said, My **doctrine** is pure, and I am clean in thine eyes.

Proverbs 4:2 For I give you good **doctrine**, forsake ye not my law.

Isaiah 28:9 ¶Whom shall he teach knowledge? and whom shall he make to understand **doctrine**? them that are weaned from the milk, and drawn from the breasts.

Isaiah 29:24 They also that erred in spirit shall come to understanding, and they that murmured shall learn **doctrine**.

Jeremiah 10:8 But they are altogether brutish and foolish: the stock is a **doctrine** of vanities.

It appears 12 times in the four Gospels. It's interesting that Jesus chose 12 Apostles!

Matthew 7:28 And it came to pass, when Jesus had ended these sayings, the people were astonished at his **doctrine**:

Matthew 16:12 Then understood they how that he bade them not beware of the leaven of bread, but of the **doctrine** of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees.

Matthew 22:33 And when the multitude heard this, they were astonished at his **doctrine**.

Mark 1:22 And they were astonished at his **doctrine**: for he taught them as one that had authority, and not as the scribes.

Mark 1:27 And they were all amazed, insomuch that they questioned among themselves, saying, What thing is this? what new **doctrine** is this? for with authority commandeth he even the unclean spirits, and they do obey him.

Mark 4:2 And he taught them many things by parables, and said unto them in his **doctrine**,

Mark 11:18 And the scribes and chief priests heard it, and sought how they might destroy him: for they feared him, because all the people was astonished at his **doctrine**.

Mark 12:38 ¶ And he said unto them in his **doctrine**, Beware of the scribes, which love to go in long clothing, and love salutations in the marketplaces,

Luke 4:32 And they were astonished at his **doctrine**: for his word was with power.

John 7:16 Jesus answered them, and said, My **doctrine** is not mine, but his that sent me.

John 7:17 If any man will do his will, he shall know of the **doctrine**, whether it be of God, or whether I speak of myself.

John 18:19 ¶ The high priest then asked Jesus of his disciples, and of his **doctrine**.

It appears four times in the Book of Acts. There are a lot of combinations of "fours" in the Bible, four Gospels, four beasts (Revelation 6:1,6), four angels, four corners, four winds (Revelation 7:1)

Acts 2:42 And they continued stedfastly in the apostles' **doctrine** and fellowship, and in breaking of bread, and in prayers.

Acts 5:28 Saying, Did not we straitly command you that ye should not teach in this name? and, behold, ye have filled Jerusalem with your **doctrine**, and intend to bring this man's blood upon us.

Acts 13:12 Then the deputy, when he saw what was done, believed, being

astonished at the **doctrine** of the Lord.

Acts 17:19 And they took him, and brought him unto Areopagus, saying, May we know what this new **doctrine**, whereof thou speakest, is?

It appears 21 times in 12 chapters of the Pauline Epistles! The number 21 can be factored to 3 X 7, both significant numbers in the Bible. And of course, 12 is a significant number.

Romans 6:17 But God be thanked, that ye were the servants of sin, but ye have obeyed from the heart that form of **doctrine** which was delivered you.

Romans 16:17 Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the **doctrine** which ye have learned; and avoid them.

1 Corinthians 14:6 Now, brethren, if I come unto you speaking with tongues, what shall I profit you, except I shall speak to you either by revelation, or by knowledge, or by prophesying, or by **doctrine**?

1 Corinthians 14:26 How is it then, brethren? when ye come together, every one of you hath a psalm, hath a **doctrine**, hath a tongue, hath a revelation, hath an interpretation. Let all things be done unto edifying.

Ephesians 4:14 That we henceforth be no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of **doctrine**, by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive;

1 Timothy 1:3 As I besought thee to abide still at Ephesus, when I went into Macedonia, that thou mightest charge some that they teach no other **doctrine**,

1 Timothy 1:10 For whoremongers, for them that defile themselves with mankind, for menstealers, for liars, for perjured persons, and if there be any other thing that is contrary to sound **doctrine**;

1 Timothy 4:6 If thou put the brethren in remembrance of these things, thou shalt be a good minister of Jesus Christ, nourished up in the words of faith and of good **doctrine**, whereunto thou hast attained.

1 Timothy 4:13 Till I come, give attendance to reading, to exhortation, to **doctrine**.

1 Timothy 4:16 Take heed unto thyself, and unto the **doctrine**; continue in them: for in doing this thou shalt both save thyself, and them that hear thee.

1 Timothy 5:17 Let the elders that rule well be counted worthy of double honour, especially they who labour in the word and **doctrine**.

1 Timothy 6:1 Let as many servants as are under the yoke count their own masters worthy of all honour, that the name of God and his **doctrine** be not blasphemed.

1 Timothy 6:3 If any man teach otherwise, and consent not to wholesome words, even the words of our Lord Jesus Christ, and to the **doctrine** which is according to godliness;

2 Timothy 3:10 But thou hast fully known my **doctrine**, manner of life, purpose, faith, longsuffering, charity, patience,

2 Timothy 3:16 All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for **doctrine**, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness:

2 Timothy 4:2 Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhort with all longsuffering and **doctrine**.

2 Timothy 4:3 For the time will come when they will not endure sound **doctrine**; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers,

having itching ears;

Titus 1:9 Holding fast the faithful word as he hath been taught, that he may be able by sound **doctrine** both to exhort and to convince the gainsayers.

Titus 2:1 But speak thou the things which become sound **doctrine**:

Titus 2:7 In all things shewing thyself a pattern of good works: in **doctrine** shewing uncorruptness, gravity, sincerity,

Titus 2:10 Not purloining, but shewing all good fidelity; that they may adorn the **doctrine** of God our Saviour in all things.

It appears five times in four verses in the rest of the Epistles.

Hebrews 6:1 Therefore leaving the principles of the **doctrine** of Christ, let us go on unto perfection; not laying again the foundation of repentance from dead works, and of faith toward God,

Hebrews 6:2 Of the **doctrine** of baptisms, and of laying on of hands, and of resurrection of the dead, and of eternal judgment.

2 John 1:9 Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the **doctrine** of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the **doctrine** of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son.

2 John 1:10 If there come any unto you, and bring not this **doctrine**, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed:

It appears three times in three verses in the Book of Revelation.

Revelation 2:14 But I have a few things against thee, because thou hast there them that hold the **doctrine** of Balaam, who taught Balac to cast a stumblingblock before the children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto idols, and to commit fornication.

Revelation 2:15 So hast thou also them that hold the **doctrine** of the Nicolaitans, which thing I hate.

Revelation 2:24 But unto you I say, and unto the rest in Thyatira, as many as have not this **doctrine**, and which have not known the depths of Satan, as they speak; I will put upon you none other burden.

The plural of the word, doctrines, appears five times in five verses in the Bible.

It's very interesting to me that in each case the plural word is used in a negative sense meaning bad or false doctrines!

Matthew 15:9 But in vain they do worship me, teaching for **doctrines the commandments of men**.

Mark 7:7 Howbeit in vain do they worship me, teaching for **doctrines the commandments of men**.

Colossians 2:22 Which all are to perish with the using;) after the **commandments and doctrines of men?**

1 Timothy 4:1 ¶Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and **doctrines of devils;**

Hebrews 13:9 Be not carried about with divers and **strange doctrines**. For it is a good thing that the heart be established with grace; not with meats, which have not profited them that have been occupied therein.

Words Modifying Doctrine

Deuteronomy 32:2 **My (God's) doctrine** shall drop as the rain

Proverbs 4:2 For I give you **good doctrine**

Matthew 7:28 when Jesus had ended these sayings, the people were astonished at **his doctrine**:

Matthew 22:33 And when the multitude heard this, they were astonished at **his doctrine**.

Mark 1:22 And they were astonished at **his doctrine**: for he taught them as one that had authority, and not as the scribes.

Mark 4:2 And he taught them many things by parables, and said unto them in **his doctrine**,

Mark 11:18 And the scribes and chief priests heard it, and sought how they might destroy him: for they feared him, because all the people was astonished at **his doctrine**.

Mark 12:38 And he said unto them in **his doctrine**, Beware of the scribes, which love to go in long clothing, and love salutations in the marketplaces,

Luke 4:32 And they were astonished at **his doctrine**: for his word was with power.

John 18:19 The high priest then asked Jesus of his disciples, and of **his doctrine**.

1 Timothy 6:1 ¶Let as many servants as are under the yoke count their own masters worthy of all honour, that the name of **God and his doctrine** be not blasphemed.

Mark 1:27 (Jesus') **new doctrine**

Acts 2:42 And they continued stedfastly in the **apostles' doctrine**

Acts 17:19 May we know what this **new doctrine**,

Ephesians 4:14 every **wind of doctrine**

1 Timothy 1:10 **sound doctrine**

1 Timothy 4:6 the words of faith and of **good doctrine**

2 Timothy 4:3 **sound doctrine**

Titus 1:9 Holding fast the faithful word as he hath been taught, that he may be able **by sound doctrine**

Titus 2:1 But speak thou the things which become **sound doctrine**

Hebrews 6:1 the **doctrine of Christ**

2 John 1:9 the **doctrine of Christ**

Is love also needed when teaching doctrine?

Absolutely! We should not become like the scribes and Pharisees with a holier than thou attitude when we teach doctrine from the Bible. The Bible says,

1 Corinthians 13:2 And though I have ... all knowledge; ... and have not charity, I am nothing.

2 Timothy 2:25 **In meekness** instructing those that oppose themselves; if God peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth;

We are to teach doctrine from the Bible in a spirit of love and meekness and not in an arrogant condescending attitude. When we know our own weaknesses and faults, it's easier to remain humble. Remember, Jesus came not for the righteous, but to bring sinners to repentance. We are ALL sinners. The problem with some people is they don't think of themselves as a sinner.

1 John 1:8 ¶If we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.

9 If we confess our sins, he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness.

10 If we say that we have not sinned, we make him a liar, and his word is not in us.

Conclusion

From this study, would you say that teaching correct doctrine is important? Or would you say, "**Doctrine divides!** All Christians should be united as one! Let's continue on with ecumenicalism and unite with ALL religions, e.g., the Roman Catholic Church, the Orthodox Church, any Christian religion that claims to be Christians such as Mormons, Jehovah Witnesses, etc. Don't we all worship the same God?" My answer to that would be a resounding NO! Catholics include Mary in the Godhead.

[The New Testament Jesus Referred to is the Covenant with Many of Daniel 9:27](#)

Matthew 26:28 NASB

for this is My blood of the **covenant**, which is poured out **for many** for forgiveness of sins.

Daniel 9:27a NASB

And he will make a firm **covenant with the many** for one week, but in the middle of the week he will put a stop to sacrifice and grain offering;

I was impressed by this meme but I don't like the NASB translation. This post is to show that the KJV teaches the same things.

In the verses below, the words "testament" and "covenant" are translations of the same Greek word, διαθήκη, transliteration: diathēkē, pronunciation: dee-ath-ay'-kay. In Strong's concordance, it is numbered G1242.

*Matthew 26:28 For this is my blood of the new **testament**, which is shed for many for the remission of sins.*

*Mark 14:24 And he said unto them, This is my blood of the new **testament**, which is shed for many.*

*Luke 1:72 To perform the mercy promised to our fathers, and to remember his holy **covenant**;*

*Luke 22:20 Likewise also the cup after supper, saying, This cup is the new **testament** in my blood, which is shed for you.*

*Acts 3:25 Ye are the children of the prophets, and of the **covenant** which God made with our fathers, saying unto Abraham, And in thy seed shall all the kindreds of the earth be blessed.*

*Acts 7:8 And he gave him the **covenant** of circumcision: and so Abraham begat Isaac, and circumcised him the eighth day; and Isaac begat Jacob; and Jacob begat the twelve patriarchs.*

The Greek word *diathēkē* is translated as

- covenants in Romans 9:4
- covenant in Romans 11:27
- testament in 1 Corinthians 11:25
- testament in 2 Corinthians 3:6
- testament in 2 Corinthians 3:14
- covenant in Galatians 3:15
- covenant in Galatians 3:17
- covenants in Galatians 4:24
- covenants in Ephesians 2:12
- testament in Hebrews 7:22
- covenant in Hebrews 8:6
- covenant in Hebrews 8:8
- covenant in Hebrews 8:9 (two occurrences)
- covenant in Hebrews 8:10
- covenant in Hebrews 9:4 (two occurrences)
- testament in Hebrews 9:15 (two occurrences)
- testament in Hebrews 9:16
- testament in Hebrews 9:17
- testament in Hebrews 9:18
- testament in Hebrews 9:20
- covenant in Hebrews 10:16
- covenant in Hebrews 10:29
- covenant in Hebrews 12:24
- covenant in Hebrews 13:20
- testament in Revelation 11:19

I hope I have proved to you that the words “covenant” and “testament” are translated from the same Greek word and used interchangeably. They are used interchangeably especially in the Book of Hebrews which uses insightful adjectives to modify the words covenant and testament.

- Hebrews 7:22 better testament
- Hebrews 8:6 better covenant
- Hebrews 8:8 new covenant
- Hebrews 9:15 new testament
- Hebrews 10:29 the blood of the covenant
- Hebrews 12:24 new covenant
- Hebrews 13:20 everlasting covenant

I, therefore, believe it to be absolutely correct to change the meme that appears at the beginning of this article by using the KJV translation of God's Word. And though the word covenant of Daniel 9:27 is not translated from Greek but from Hebrew, I think the Book of Hebrews clearly shows that both the Hebrew word and the Greek word have the exact same meaning because it refers to the Old Testament Covenant many times.

The New Testament words "testament" and "covenant" are translations of the same Greek word, διαθήκη, transliteration: *diathēkē*, and are used interchangeably in the New Testament. The Book of Hebrews connects the Old Testament covenant with the new covenant made by Christ .


Matthew 26:28

For this is my blood of the new testament, which is shed for many for the remission of sins.

Daniel 9:27a

And he (the Messiah of verse 26) shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease... (By Jesus' death on the Cross)

The Ultimate Purpose of the Lockdowns: The Creation of a New Economic System Run by the Global Elite



“Catherine Austin Fitts (born 1950) is an American investment banker and former public official who served as managing director of Dillon, Read & Co. and as United States Assistant Secretary of Housing and Urban Development for Housing during the Presidency of George H.W. Bush. She has widely written and commented on the subject of public spending and has alleged several large-scale instances of government fraud.” (Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catherine_Austin_Fitts)

This video is an interview with Catherine Austin Fitts. I transcribed the first half of the 48 minutes interview and a gentleman in the Netherlands transcribed the second half. You can read the text quickly to grasp the importance and insightfulness of what Ms. Fitts is saying. I myself prefer reading text because I gain information faster that way than I would by listening to someone on video.

Planet Lockdown

My name is Catherine Austin Fitts. I’m the publisher of the [Solari Report](#) and managing director of Solari Investment Advisory Services.

Interviewer: And what do you think is happening economically as a result of all this?

Catherine Austin Fitts: So what is happening, I just published a huge study called [“The State of our Currencies”](#), and what I describe is the fact that for many decades the dollar has been the reserve currency. And the system is what I would describe as *long in the tooth* (meaning getting old, often too old for a particular activity or purpose). And the central bankers are trying to bring in a new system but it’s not ready to go yet. And we’re in a period of great change and uncertainty where the central bankers are trying to keep

the dollar system going and accelerate. So they're trying to lengthen the dollar system and then they're trying to accelerate bringing in the new system. And they have to bring in the new system without anybody quite realizing exactly what it is.

So we've had a global reserve currency system, the dollar, and it needs to evolve and change. And it's long in the tooth. There's lots of unhappiness with the system and the central bankers are trying to bring a new system. And to do it they're trying to extend the old and accelerate the new. And it makes it a very chaotic thing since much of the new was being tested and tried and prototyped. And it involves many different industries.

So I describe the new system as the **end of currencies**. We're not bringing in a new currency, we're essentially bringing in a new *transaction system* that will be **all digital** and essentially end currencies as we know them. So what they're trying to do is **involves essentially all the money on the planet**. So it's big, it's complicated, it's messy, and the challenge they have is how do you market a system that if people understood it nobody would want? And of course, the way you do that is **with a health care crisis**.

Interviewer: And why is the health care crisis good for that?

Catherine Austin Fitts: Because generally if a few people want to control the many, the question is how can you herd all the sheep into the slaughterhouse without them realizing it and resisting? So **the perfect thing is invisible enemies**.

We had the war on terrorism, you know, with invisible terrorists. And then now **a virus is perfect because it's invisible. You can't prove that it doesn't exist because it's invisible**. So invisible enemies are always the preferred ones **particularly if they scare people. If you can use fear and introduce significant fear, then people will need the government to protect them from the invisible enemy**.

Then the second tactic which is very effective is divide and conquer. And so in the meantime, if you can use the media, the media plays a very important role. If you can turn men and women against each other, and Black and White against each other. And one of the reasons you import a lot of immigrants into Europe is turn the general population against the immigrants. And then you need the government to be in the middle. So these are all whether it's divide and tactic, or invisible enemies, these are all ways to institute fear and get people to go along with things.

And of course, the invisible virus allows you to do enormous control mechanisms. You can stop people from gathering, you can stop people from organizing, you can stop people from getting together and talking about what's going on, et cetera et cetera. And if you digitize it with contract tracing, then you can control who's talking to whom. If you can get them to do all their work and education online, you can literally listen to everything they're saying. So **you can institute extraordinary amounts of surveillance all in the theory that we're protecting you from the invisible virus**.

It's very clever. And as you can see it's working with many people, not everybody but many people, to me a lot. And I don't want to us underestimate the ability of the leadership to introduce pathogens that will kill people. And I don't want to suggest that people aren't getting sick, but essentially what you're trying to do is **you're trying to get people to buy into a solution before they see where it's ultimately going to go**. Because you're talking about a transaction system that is no longer a currency, it's a control system.

It's like the credit at the company store. If every central bank comes out with a digital central bank currency, they have the ability to turn your money on and off. So if you don't behave, that's it. And of course, as we know they want to combine this with transhumanism which means literally I take injections that can institute the equivalent of an operating system in my body. And so I'm hooked up to the financial system literally, physically.

Interviewer: What is the actual effect of the lockdown measures?

Catherine Austin Fitts: So what you're doing is you're trying to ... I used to call the Patriot Act the concentration in control of cash flow act. And this is a very similar process. You're trying to dramatically centralize economic and political control.

Let me give you an example. We have 100 small businesses on main street in a community. You declare them non-essential, shut them down. Suddenly Amazon and Walmart and the big box stores can come in and take away all the market share. In the meantime, the people on main street have to keep paying off their credit cards or their mortgage. So they're in a debt entrapment and they're desperate to get cash flow to cover basically their debts and their day-to-day expenses. In the meantime, you have the Federal Reserve institute a form of quantitative easing where they're buying corporate bonds and the and the guys who are taking up the market share can basically finance at zero to one percent, or their bank scan at zero to one percent when everybody in main street is paying 16 to 70 percent of their credit cards without income. So basically now you've got them over a barrel and you can take away their market share. And generally, they can't afford to do what they say because they're too busy trying to find money to feed their kids.

In the 2016 election cycle, we saw the general population support candidates who represented populism in a variety of different ways. Bernie Sanders was a populist relative to the other candidates. Donald Trump was a populist relative to the other candidates. And literally, what the sort of global capital class realized was they had a problem that could be solved by destroying the independent income of small business and sole practitioners and people who had independent forms of income. If you're a doctor, if you're a lawyer, if you're a CPA, and you have your own practice, you are generally gonna support the populist candidates. And so the way to shut the populist candidates down and just shut off their income and support which is you put main street out of business. And then there's nobody to finance a Bernie Sanders or Donald Trump. There's nobody to support him.

Interviewer: So do those the lockdown measures appear to be more of an

economic thing than a virus mitigation plan?

Catherine Austin Fitts: So this is an economic war and you've basically had sort of the top one percent. Since April we've seen global billionaires increase their net worth by 27%. Now what that says is this has been a very successful global economic work because what you're having is this sort of global capitalist class, and I shouldn't call it capitalism because it's not. It's much more totalitarianism. It's economic totalitarianism. What you've seen is they've been able to consolidate fantastic amounts of economic wealth not just by deleting the income of the middle class and consolidating it into their companies, but by significantly improving the wealth and power of the largest G7 developed countries and China vis-a-vis the emerging markets. So the countries with the most advanced technology and the access to AI and software, and to the sort of digital systems including through space, are dramatically consolidating economic power vis-a-vis the weaker nations. So we're seeing a consolidation of economic power centralization both into the wealthier and the more powerful nations, the basically top one percent who control them.

So I would describe what Covid-19 is, it's the institution of controls necessary to convert the planet from democratic process to technocracy. What we're watching is a change in control and an engineering of new control systems. Think of this as a coup d'etat. It's much more like a coup d'etat than a virus.

For 20 some years in the United States we've had a financial coup d'etat. And we knew in at the end of 1995, a decision was made to move much of the assets and money out of the country. That was part of sort of bubbling the global economy of globalization. And they knew that once they'd finished moving all those assets, that they would have to consolidate and change the fundamental system. So after the financial coup, you've stolen all the money in the pension funds, you've stolen all the money in the government. And now rather than turn and tell people, well, we stole your money, you need an excuse that will allow you to consolidate and change the fundamental system. And so you have a Magic Virus. And the Magic Virus is we have to fundamentally change the system. And thanks to the Magic Virus, there's no money in Social Security. Thanks to the Magic Virus, there's no money in the treasury. And you have your perfect magic excuse.

Interviewer: Everything can be blamed on the Magic Virus.

Catherine Austin Fitts: Yeah, the Magic Virus. It's amazing because every implication of the financial coup has been magically solved by the Magic Virus! It's crazy!

If you're a financial person and you look at the world through the mathematics of time and money, it's quite amazing that anybody believes it, but they do. It's part of joining the what CJ Hopkins calls the convenient cult. You join the cult and you say oh yeah yeah yeah well the Magic Virus took all the money from Social Security, the Magic Virus caused our pension funds to not be sufficient blah blah blah blah.

Interviewer: So what do you think the technocracy that we're being towards is gonna look like?

Catherine Austin Fitts: The technocracy that they're pushing towards us is what is called transhumanism. So essentially what you do is you use injections to inject materials into the body that create the equivalent of an operating system. Everybody knows the idea of Microsoft causing you to download an operating system in your computer. That gives Microsoft and a variety of other players a back door into your computer. (Webmaster: That's why I prefer to use GNU/Linux!) And every month or two or three you've got to update it because there are viruses, right? It's back to the Magic Virus that can solve all problems. And so this is a similar system for your body. You inject materials into your body that essentially create the equivalent of an operating system and a receiver, and you can literally hook everybody up to the Cloud. And that includes hooking them up in a way that their transaction system, you know, the Bible calls it the Mark of the Beast – is one way people know this stuff – but you're basically talking about being able to digitally identify and track people in connection with their financial transactions. So it's a world of zero privacy.

But more importantly what's important to understand is if you then institute one or more central bank cryptos, you're now talking about a system where every central bank in the world can shut you off individually from transacting if they don't like the way you're behaving.

So many people are familiar with the social credit system in China, it's very similar. If you install the smart grid in their car, their community, and now in literally in their body, you've got 24/7 surveillance. And if people don't do what you say and behave the way you want, they can and will shut off your money.

And they'll also have spatial control. If they say you can't travel more than five miles, that's it, because you're in a complete digital control system, and it's controlled by the central bankers through the money. We're digitizing everything but it includes the human body as well and the human mind. So this system comes with complete control, not only of your ability to transact financially which is hooked up to your body but very sophisticated mind control technology through the media and those cloud connections. So basically you're talking about hooking up into the "borg" (contraction for cybernetic organism) if you will. And so transhumanism and technocracy go hand in hand.

Now I would describe this as a slavery system. We're talking about shifting out of freedom where we have freedom to roam and freedom to say what we want into a complete control system 24/7 including mind control. Now the challenge before us is, if the sort of the committee that runs the world, my nickname being Mr. Global, if Mr. Global wants to go to a slavery system, and we want to remain a human civilization, then we have a fundamental disagreement. And that is the disagreement before us.

Interviewer: And we get to the diagrams here.

Catherine Austin Fitts: Okay, so if you look at what's going on, we have the tech people building the clouds and the telecommunications, we have the military doing space and operation warp speed. So they're putting up the satellites, okay, then we have big pharma which is making the injections that are full of these mystery ingredients and change and modify your DNA, and for all we know to make you infertile. And then we have the media pouring out the propaganda. And then we have the central bankers engineering the crypto, the central bank cryptosystems. So you have these different pillars and it's very important when you look at what's going on day to day, particularly in the media. They're trying to keep them separate so that you can't see how they're going to come together in an integrated system which is basically integrated into your body and your mind.

Interviewer: For what purpose?

Catherine Austin Fitts: To institute the slavery system. So in other words, if I am going to do everything through a smart grid and I need to run the smart grid into your neighborhood, and then I need to run the smart grid into your body, the question is how am I going to build it out in your neighborhood and build it on your body without you seeing the trap, right? So that's why you try and keep these different lines separate.

So if you listen to the central bankers, they try as hard as they can to stay away from these conversations. So it was interesting, I was watching an IMF presentation on cross-border payments, and the Federal Reserve chairman, the head of the IMF mentioned the digital global ID system. And the Federal Reserve chairman almost blanched! You could energetically feel him moving like a galaxy away like, "no no no no"! Because they're 325 million Americans, and they're more than 325 million guns, and he doesn't want everybody to see this until the trap is thrown and it's too late.

Interviewer: We've been put in a trap this year?

Catherine Austin Fitts: Yes right, but the door hasn't shut. So that's why the reason we're talking is because **transparency can blow the game.**

It's interesting because in the beginning of the year when I wrote the article *Injection Fraud* and said Bill Gates is trying to download an operating system in your body just the way you download it your mind, and use the virus as an excuse to have to update it to to make it work for his back door every day, three months later (James) Corbett did a great series on Gates, and several people came out and sort of reaffirmed this. And it was in the Fall Yahoo Finance did a poll and published a poll saying that 44 of Republicans thought Bill Gates wanted to chip them, and I said, "okay we're making progress!" (Laughs) So and that's exactly when Gates sort of disappeared. And they brought out operation warp speed because they needed, and interestingly enough, the person they chose to run operation warp speed was an expert on injectable brain-machine interface. He used to head research at GlaxoSmithKline (a British multinational pharmaceutical company) and he's a brain-machine interface expert.

Interviewer: A creepy resume for that job huh?

Catherine Austin Fitts: It's a perfect resume for that job.

Here's one of the most important developments that happened in 2019. In addition to the approval of the going direct plan by the central bankers in Jackson Hall was the issuance by the Department of Defence of the JEDI Cloud contract to Microsoft. So you had Amazon receive ... Amazon is essentially a CIA

intelligence agency contractor. They started showing a random profit when they entered into major contracts with the CIA to provide the clouds not only for the CIA but all 17 U.S Intelligence agencies through that umbrella cloud contract. So you now have Amazon running the intelligence agencies contract this year. Latos did a big contract or at the end of 2019 Latos did a big contract with the Navy and then DOD did the JEDI contract. (The Joint Enterprise Defense Infrastructure (JEDI) contract is a large United States Department of Defense cloud computing contract which has been reported as being worth \$10 billion.)

And so those three huge cloud contracts give you the ability once you get everybody hooked up into them to radically re-engineer how the cash flows work. So you can literally shut down all small businesses, or almost all small businesses, put everybody in a universal basic income which is basically a control system, and run it all through the military clouds.

Interviewer: So you can see the direction we're headed but it's a little uncertain as to why or?

Catherine Austin Fitts: No, it's simple. Technology gives you the ability to institute a complete control system and further centralize economic and political control. I'll give you a perfect example. The reason the African-American slave trade ended ... there were two reasons in my opinion. One is you couldn't perfect collateral. So the banks in London kept losing money because the plantation owners when the commodities market went down would sell their slaves west, and the banks couldn't go get their collateral. So they would finance the purchase of a slave and say 50 loan to value ratio, and then when the commodities markets down they'd sell the slaves and say they ran away, and the banks would be hung, right? And the banks couldn't prove that you know, Harry was their slave because they had no way of perfecting collateral.

Okay, so that was number one. The second was the Haitians rebelled and the Europeans sent several armies in to try and quell the rebellion and never could. The Haitians were too good. Okay, now if you look at digital technology, you can perfect the collateral. And with space weaponry and the kind of weaponry you have from space and surveillance, you can put down any rebellion, right? So the reason that the slave trade was unbelievably profitable, the history of the world is slavery, is the most profitable business. It's more profitable than mining, it's more profitable than narcotics, I mean it's more profitable than all the addictions. So if you now have the technological capability of implementing slavery, their attitude I think is, "okay, let's do it", right? And part of it is technology also makes it much easier for a small group of people to get together and be very powerful. So for example, if they bring in breakthrough energy technology, the danger is a small group of crazy people can weaponize it. So technology

is powerful. The more powerful technology you integrate, the more danger there is you lose control.

Now there are other theories as to why people would want complete control. I'll give you another reason. Given the difficulty of feeding and managing a population that's getting ever larger, if you now have biotechnology that allows Mr. Global to live for 150 years, you can't afford, you can't keep that secret, right? If the wealthier lives for 150 years and we're not, you can't keep that a secret. So why not downsize the population, integrate robots, use robotics for everything, and you can have a very wealthy and luxurious life without all the management headaches, right?

Interviewer: So the kind of breaking of society into different classes or into an Uber class in a vast peasantry mixed with robotics?

Catherine Austin Fitts: Yes, yeah, in other words, what I think's trying to happen here is Mr. Global is using technology to move to a system where between robotics AI and software a few people can control the many with far less headaches and fear. You have to remember Mr. Global is very very afraid of the general population.

Interviewer: Their fear.

Catherine Austin Fitts: Yeah, they're very afraid because if you've been keeping ... several times I'm told the leadership in the United States has gotten together to discuss how can we undo the secrecy. And each time they come to the conclusion it's impossible. You can't undo the secrecy because the liabilities are too enormous. So if you're the Swamp and you're guilty of all the different things the Swamp is guilty of, and you try and open the window on the secrecy you run tremendous risks, tremendous risks. So you're afraid of the general population and the history of governance is the general population occasionally does turn and kill the leadership. So the 325 million people in America, they're more guns. So my guess the reason Jay Powell was backpedaling when the head of the IMF was talking about digital identities is he's sitting there knowing he's got 325 million people and more and a lot of them have guns, and they don't have a lockdown yet.

This is why the Second Amendment is such a fractious issue. Most people around the world don't understand why people in America are so rabid about owning guns, and the first reason they're rabid about earning guns is they don't understand the power of mind control. So if I can institute total mind control which is what the system is, guns aren't that dangerous to me. But the leadership to do what they want to do, it would be very very convenient if they could bring in the guns. And you'll see if the Democrats win this election that's the first thing they're gonna try and do. After making everybody wear face diapers they're gonna they're gonna try and bring in the guns. And this is why the Republicans holding the Senate has been such a big issue because they can't do it if the Republicans hold the Senate.

Interviewer: The election is such a mess, huh?

Catherine Austin Fitts: So here's the thing when I try and tell everybody,

because I grew up in Philadelphia, and my first boyfriend's father was a ward leader who used to go out with a roll of cash and buy all the votes every election. So there's an old tradition in America of voting fraud, and what I tell everybody is neither one of these candidates would have been the candidate without the voting fraud, to begin with. So we're in a funny position. But **I've never seen the voting fraud as blatant**. And I think to a certain extent it's interesting they could not have stopped a Trump landslide without Covid-19. So one question I have is how much of the ... because I thought they would do this after the election, how much of the timing of the health care op is basically designed to make sure they don't get a populist president? Not that Trump isn't ... it's hard for me to think of Donald Trump as a populist because he's very much on board for the pro-centralization team, but he's as Michael Moore has said, he's the American people's way of saying F.U. to the leadership. So I think it was very important to them to get rid of Trump which they're trying to do. The problem is they've used massive voter fraud to do it, but they've used the fraud in a way that it's obvious that the fraud is off the charts, and it's almost as though they're turning to the population which they're trying to turn into a cult and saying you have to pretend this guy is the President even though you know he's not. (Laughs) So we have a fake virus and a Magic Virus and a fake president and a magic political system and it's really is getting very cult-like. It's the only thing I can say.

Interviewer: yeah it's almost like a switch is flicked this year and we're in a bizarro world, right?

Catherine Austin Fitts: We've been in a bizarre world from the minute they started to steal the money we moved into a bizarre world.

(Note from the Webmaster: The final 28 minutes of the interview was sent to me from a gentleman in the Netherlands, Mr. Richard Zonneveld. He graciously allowed me to add it to this article.)

Catherine Austin Fitts: And I think the only difference is now as they moved all the money and the official reality moved away from reality even further and further, that's part and parcel of the secrecy, many people thought they could stay on the middle of the road. And now what's clear is you have to go with a cult or you have to go with the truth. The middle of the road is going away. And so everybody has to choose which they want.

Let's go to the riots. Okay so when the riots began and the leadership took the position that you couldn't go to church because of the danger of the Magic Virus, but you could go to the riots and protest, (she laughs) my team and I started to look at the riots. And so first we made if you if you come into Solari there's a database called Covid-19, I think it's Covid-19 riots and Fed, so the first thing we did is we looked at the state, and we looked at the cities, and whether the governor was Democrat or Republican, and then what the Covid cases and deaths were. And then we said, okay, we're going to check a box called riots where riots have been. So we started to look at the patterns of the riots vis-a-vis the machine political machine control, and sort of the Covid Magic Virus op, and there was something wrong when I was looking at the data. I could feel, I'm a very intuitive person, I was saying

there's something here. So I said to the wonderful teammate who was building this I said,

"Do me a favor. I want you to put a box called the Federal Reserve, and I want you to check the box. There are 12 banks, one headquarters, and then the branches for a total of 37 locations. I want you to check the box wherever in any city where we have a branch or a bank or the headquarters I want a check."

And what we discovered is 34 of the 37 bank locations have riots. And I said, "Well wait a minute. That's a pattern! (Laughs) There's something here. Let's drill down."

So we started with Minneapolis and we said let's take the data of all the buildings that were harmed or burnt or businesses, and we'll map it, we'll do a GIS software, and we'll map where these businesses were, and how close they were to the Federal Reserve bank. And so the first one we did there's a street going across Minneapolis called Lake, and we mapped them. And one of the things we did when we mapped them was, we drew pictures of where the opportunity zones were. Do you know what an opportunity zone is? An opportunity zone is a tax shelter mechanism created in 2018 to help the tech billionaires as they sold their stock avoid capital gains. So you can if you're Jeff Bezos who sold 10 billion dollars of stock this year, if you were to rollover your proceeds into opportunity zone investments and handle it in a certain way you could avoid all capital gains tax. So this is fantastically profitable. Now, if you look at the riots when I first saw how all the buildings and businesses destroyed along Lake street were right at the bottom of the opportunity, I started to laugh and I said – I was assistant secretary of housing – "That's not a riot pattern, that's a real estate acquisition plan."

Interviewer: So what are you saying it's to cheapen the prices in the city?

Catherine Austin Fitts: So I have a thriving series of small businesses a lot owned by African American and Hispanics along a particular boulevard in the opportunity zone. If first I declare the businesses are non-essential and shut them down, right? – Magic Virus – So first I declare them non-essential. Now they're in real trouble, right? – because they can't do their business. And then I have riots and burn and damage them. Right? If I was really clever I pulled their insurance right before I did it. I don't know what the case was but we'll see. So now their business is shut down, they're now hung on their debt, right? Whether their mortgage or their credit card, but even worse now their building has been damaged. And of course, insurance doesn't cover all the repairs and fixing, right? So needless to say, it's going to be a lot easier and cheaper for me to go in and buy up all those buildings, right? Voila! It's called disaster capitalism.

So we then mapped, we did Minneapolis, then we mapped Kenosha, then Portland, and now we're doing a place in Ohio. And the patterns we're seeing. If you look at the clusters of where the damage is, just speaking as assistant secretary of housing, those are, in my opinion, real estate acquisition plans, completely, especially when they come on top of declaring all those

small businesses not essential and shutting them down. We're restricting them. I'm sure you got a lot of restaurants in there.

(Interviewer: Yeah.)

Catherine Austin Fitts: So for example if you look at San Francisco 49 percent of the businesses in San Francisco are expected to be out of business by the end of the year. Do you know how much real estate you're going to be able to pick up cheap on this? It's phenomenal! Now when you realize that if they sell their tech stocks high they can pick it up really cheap what's important to understand is this makes the economics of building the smart grid out in the Fed cities. Remember I said 34 of 37 cities have a Fed bank or branch. So this makes building out the smart grid around the Fed banks much cheaper which I'm assuming you want to do if you're going to come out with a crypto-system.

Interviewer: Okay.

Catherine Austin Fitts: Okay so Mr. Global is now coming to the point where...

Interviewer: And can you explain who's Mr. Global?

Catherine Austin Fitts: Yeah. So Mr. Global is my nickname for the committee that runs the world. The defining characteristic of life on planet earth is our real global governance system is a mystery. And think about it. It's phenomenal we live on a planet and we don't demand to know how our governance system really works, but instead, it's a secret. So I have a lot of high octane conjectures, Dr. (Joseph P.) Farrel would say, about who and what that is but for now we'll call it Mr. Global. So Mr. Global is now implementing robotics. That's one of the new technologies that's really starting to make an enormous difference.

Interviewer: Can you label that?

Catherine Austin Fitts: Okay, so here's our robot, and here's our human. And of course, the question for Mr. Global is which is more efficient doing what? In other words, if I'm supposed to manage the planet and all the natural resources and harvest it to my benefit and make sure my risk is reduced, how much do I want to use robots for and how much do I want to do humans for? Now the brilliance of hooking everybody into the cloud with a crypto-system is, with AI and software, I can have the humans teach the robots to the AI software how to do all their jobs. And in fact, I was at the Aspen Institute of 2017 and I was having a discussion with a venture capitalist, sort of billionaire type, and he looked at me with these amazingly dead eyes and he said, "Look honey, I can take every company completely automated with software and robotics and fire all the humans. We don't need them anymore." I've never talked to anybody who didn't understand the riot part.

(Interviewer: uh-huh) Because that's a very typical old game, yeah, especially in poor neighborhoods.

Interviewer: Yeah right.

Catherine Austin Fitts: Do you want me to continue with this? So we have Mr.

Global at the top, we have the database and software systems using artificial intelligence. A very important part of this now is the satellite system that's being put up in the orbital platform. And using telecommunications and digital technology you have the ability 24/7 to track and monitor both your humans and your robots. And the question for Mr. Global is what's more efficient? If I can do everything with robots, then what do I do with the humans? I don't need them anymore.

Interviewer: So are we seeing built a kind of human farming or something?

Catherine Austin Fitts: So they would describe it as resource management. If you look at the technocracy, and the writing about technocracy, so many of us describe we're moving from whatever systems we use now to a technocracy. In a technocracy, they view ... you have two different visions of the world. My vision of the world is that humans are sovereign individuals whose freedom comes by Divine authority. That is what the Bill of Rights is, the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, all revolve around the image of a sovereign individual as someone who is free by Divine authority. In the vision of technocracy, a human is a natural resource, like an oil deposit, and to be used as such. So they're not a sovereign individual, they're labor, and they are either more efficient or less efficient than a robot at different functions. In other words, what I'm saying is Mr. Global views the human race like livestock, not someone with which they share empathy. And, they don't view us as the same species as them. And in fact, with a lot of biotechnology, they figure they're going to live much longer lives than we do and live very differently than we do. So there's been a real ... one of the challenges with the secrecy as one group becomes more and more technologically advanced, they separate culturally, legally, financially from all the other groups. In other words, they have literally broken away and created a separate civilization. They don't think of themselves as part of our civilization anymore.

Interviewer: And who is "they"?

Catherine Austin Fitts: Well that's the great mystery, and that's why I call this group Mr. Global. And my personal experiences with many different people in that group and factions, but ultimately I can't tell you who really controls. What I will tell you is the planet is run by force. And so ultimately the question is, "Who is the most powerful gun?" And that comes down to space. Who has the most powerful space presence, space weapons, as well as who controls the sea lanes? So traditionally control behind the reserve currency came from control of the sea lanes, but then as we've moved into space, it's now become control of both the sea lanes and the satellite lanes. And the question is, who controls what and who has what weapons? One of the reasons you've seen a very interesting discussion in the United States for the last two years is Trump has been very verbal about Space Force and what is possible in space. And he'll make these allusions to our magical weapons in space at which point the generals look at him very disapproving like, "Don't talk about that!" So the answer is we don't know. We don't know.

What we do know is part of the competition right now between China and America is that the player who has the most dominant position in space has

the power to control the whole planet. So the Chinese have a system called the Social Credit System, and they're very much tying their financial transactions and different abilities to travel and do other things to your behavior. And we've seen different TV shows talk about these kinds of systems. But you're talking about a world where, and we see in China, where most people are under 24/7 surveillance, and then their financial incentives and their financial powers relate to how well behaved they are. And I would describe it essentially as a slavery system because there's no personal freedom. So to a certain extent what technocracy will do is move us to a similar kind of system as the Chinese Social Credit System.

Interviewer: Where if you misbehave you can be punished.

Catherine Austin Fitts: Right. So in theory you have to get a certain kind of job to make a certain kind of money in the current system. In the new system, you have to work for a certain kind of company and achieve a certain kind of prominence to be allowed to move more than 10 miles from your home or to be allowed to fly. So there will be a pecking order that relates to your freedoms to either travel or roam, or how many sorts of access you have to resources, how much money you can make. But remember, you're going into a system where if they believe they can automate everything with robotic software and AI it's going to be that much harder for you to share in the benefits and the wealth of the system because the central group can extract so much more. In other words, they have a one-way mirror, they can see everything you do. You can't even see who they are.

Interviewer: Yeah okay.

Catherine Austin Fitts: What's very important to understand about what is happening is that the majority of people have been ... if we're talking about a transhumanist system or, in short, a slavery system, most of us have been supporting it and financing it and building it. So when I look at all the big pharma executives, why are they building a system where their own children or grandchildren will be slaves? Why are the central banks doing it? Why do they think, you know, there's a theory in America for many years among the certain classes that if I make enough money, I can get a waiver, I can get out of it, I can eat organic food, not eat the GMO's, and my grandkids won't have to take vaccines. But if you look at who's implementing all these different activities, we're building our own slavery system and that means we have the power to stop. In other words, we don't have to finance the companies that are doing this, we don't have to work for the companies that are doing this, and in fact, we don't even have to pay our taxes (**Webmaster:** I don't know about that! I wouldn't challenge the IRS!) because the government is breaking all the laws related to financial management. We have the ability to hold them accountable. So we're building the prison and we're financing the prison and that gives us the power to stop. And that's why it's so important that we see where the system is going. There will be no exceptions.

Interviewer: So what is the solution?

Catherine Austin Fitts: Solution is, number one, bring transparency to what's happening, understand where the system is going, and then stop building it.

If you work for big pharma and you're building this, stop! Go find something else to do like build local fresh food systems so you will have food. So stop financing it. Begin the conversation of where this is going and more importantly where we want to go, because we're going to have to rebuild the economy bottom up if we don't want to be highly centralized. So this comes down to I call it coming clean. Once upon a time I was in Washington I was writing a check on my JP Morgan Chase private banking account, and in the meantime I was engaged in 12 different tracks of litigation, litigating with the people who are trying to engineer the housing bubble. I was trying to stop the housing bubble from happening. And I was writing a check on my JP Morgan Chase personal banking account. I realized, why am I banking at the bank that's doing this criminality, that's destroying communities, that's doing predatory lending? And I said I need to come clean. I need to stop banking there. So if tomorrow everybody woke up in America and stopped banking at JP Morgan Chase and said, "You all are criminals! We want nothing to do with you! We're out!" and went to a local credit union or community bank, it would be a revolution. It would be a total revolution! If 20 women turned to big farm executives and said, "You know something? You're disgusting! No sex! Bye! Out the door." It would be a revolution! So we have the power to change this, but we're all going to have to come clean because almost all of us are complicit in implementing this. It's not them, it's us. The solution is for every one of us to come clean. You're either for the trans-humanist slavery system or you're for a human system. But if you're for a human system then you're gonna have to find a way to make money, and engage socially in a human system, and stop building a trans-human system.

Well, the first thing you have to see is you have to get a good map. In other words, you can't navigate this unless you can see the trans-humanist system that is being built, and who's building it. But if you're involved with ... so let's go back to the pillars. Okay, don't help the military build Operation Warp Speed, okay? Don't help the tech guys figure out how to inject nanoparticles into your body and hook them up to the cloud. Don't help big pharma make injections that are poisoning American children to death. Don't help big agriculture make grow GMO food that is poisoning America to death. Don't help the government institute corrupt sort of health crisis regulations that are really disaster capitalism and making the private equity guys and the billionaires rich, and on and on and on. But if you, I'll just be blunt, get the 'State of our Currencies' and read it, and you'll know who's doing this. I mean, it's pretty obvious who's doing this.

(End of transcript.)

[**Boeing 747 Pilot Kelsey Offers Flat**](#)

Earthers a Rock Solid Method to Prove Their Case



“I am asked on a regular basis by the “flat earth army” about the world being flat, and I even watched some documentaries on it because I was curious to their point of view, I decided I would create a way for them to prove or disprove their theory once and for all.” – Kelsey of the YouTube channel 74 Gear

I am posting this YouTube because I have recently received two angry comments from viewers of this website who accused me of spreading lies and heresy about the globe-shaped earth the LORD God created for us to live on.

CDC Says Only 6% of Covid Patients Died from CV19 Alone



That means 94% of so-called Covid 19 deaths is a mainstream media lie!

I got the following text from a video on Twitter. It's very similar in

content to the Bitchute video.

The Centers for Disease Control have updated their death counts for Coronavirus and reveal yet again that **COVID-19 is rarely the actual cause of death** among Coronavirus patients.

According to the CDC themselves, of the 220 thousand deaths attributed to the Coronavirus, 87,000 of them died from pneumonia and influenza. Another 17,000 died from chronic respiratory diseases, and 26,000 died from respiratory distress syndrome, 44,000 patients died from hypertensive diseases, 23,000 died from heart disease, and a whopping 28,000 died from cardiac arrest and heart failure. Yet all of these, even patients who died from heart attacks, were marked down as dying from the Coronavirus. Doctors who spoke with one American News explained that 131,000 patients who are being considered COVID-19 deaths already had life-ending diseases, including cancer, dementia, and even end-stage renal failure. And, according to the CDC again, if you look at the place of death, you'll see that some 10,000 patients who died from Covid were on hospice care, meaning they were terminally ill to begin with and we're already expected to die.

Doctors tell one American news that all of these patients were critically ill and likely died from their pre-existing conditions without any help from the Coronavirus. However, they explained that the Cares Act passed by Congress in March gives hospitals a 20% bonus on their Diagnosis Related Group paid for by Medicare. Essentially that means doctors are being paid to list deaths as Coronavirus without any indication the patient's death was actually Covid related.

The CDC backs these numbers up by explaining that Coronavirus itself is responsible for just 6 percent of all deaths listed as Coronavirus related. The other 94% of deaths are due to pre-existing comorbidities, including serious illnesses and advanced age. However, despite this report being officially published by the CDC, so far the mainstream media have completely ignored it and instead continue to hype a pandemic that seems less dangerous every day.

