

According to the Scriptures the Biblical Symbol of the Antichrist is a Wild Beast



This is chapter 6 of [The Effect of the Jesuit Eschatologies on America Today](#) – by Dr. Ronald Cooke

Dr. Harry Ironside himself said that it is important to take note of the symbolic nature of the Apocalypse (Book of Revelation). He noted that,

This book is a book of symbols. But the careful student of the Word need not exercise his own ingenuity in order to think out the meaning of the symbols. It may be laid down as a principle of first importance that every symbol used in Revelation is EXPLAINED or ALLUDED TO somewhere else in the Bible. ¹ (emphasis added)

This observation of Dr. Ironside's is an excellent one, although not followed either by himself, or many other commentators, in the case of the symbol used to describe the Antichrist in Revelation 13. One of the plain teachings of Scripture laid down in the book of Daniel is that the word BEAST (CHAYAH in CHALDEAN- THERION in GREEK) is used as a symbol of a kingdom or world empire, not as the symbol of an individual man. In fact, EVERY commentator I have ever read on the book of Daniel has so interpreted the word BEAST. Even those who do not apply the same meaning to the symbol in Revelation 13. Even Dr, Ironside taught that the great BEASTS of Daniel stood for symbols of great WORLD WIDE KINGDOMS. He wrote,

In Daniel's 7th chapter...the man of God...saw...the four GREAT EMPIRES (which) were represented as four ravenous BEASTS so dreadful that nothing on earth fully answers to the descriptions of the wild creatures here depicted. ² (emphasis added)

Dr. Ironside, like so many others here, while correctly identifying the four BEASTS of Daniel with FOUR WORLD WIDE KINGDOMS, does NOT APPLY the SAME meaning to the symbol when it is used in Revelation 13. If he had done so, he would have been more consistent in following what he called his principle of FIRST IMPORTANCE, that the symbols of the book of Revelation are explained in other places in the Bible.

Dave Hunt, said so dogmatically and so blatantly, about the Reformers, "Scripture does not support their claim." Surely the Scripture does indeed support their claim far more than those who completely IGNORE what Daniel says about the BEAST-KINGDOMS!

Since the earliest of times men have identified the BEASTS of Daniel as WORLD-WIDE KINGDOMS. Cyril of Jerusalem noted that,

We teach these things not of our own invention, but having learned them out of the divine Scriptures, and especially out of the prophecy of Daniel... even as Gabriel the Archangel interpreted saying thus: the fourth BEAST shall be the fourth KINGDOM upon earth, which shall exceed all KINGDOMS: but that this is the Romans, ecclesiastical writers have delivered. ³

Jerome, Theodoret, and Austin, all see the Beast of Daniel as KINGDOMS and not individuals. The early theological writers in the church ALL interpreted the WILD BEASTS as great WORLD-WIDE EMPIRES.

Martin Luther declared that,

Here then are the two BEASTS; the one is the (ROMAN) EMPIRE, the other with the two horns, the papacy, which has now become a temporal KINGDOM. ⁴

John Calvin, said of the BEASTS of DANIEL,

"It is clear that the four MONARCHIES are here depicted." ⁵

Later he says concerning Daniel:

He says a FOURTH BEAST APPEARED. He gives it no fixed name, because nothing ever existed like it in the world. The Prophet, by adding no similitude, signifies how horrible this monster was, for he formerly compared the Chaldean Empire to a lion, the Persian to a bear, and the Macedonian to a leopard. ⁶ (emphasis his)

Here Calvin clearly show that he regards the BEASTS as monarchies or empires.

Newton in his dissertation notes that,

The fourth Beast shall be the fourth KINGDOM upon earth, which shall be diverse from all KINGDOMS, and shall devour the whole earth. This fourth KINGDOM can be none other than the Roman Empire. ⁷

Matthew Henry mentions the various views that commentators give concerning the identity of the fourth BEAST but in each case the BEAST is said to REPRESENT a KINGDOM or EMPIRE, not a man. He writes,

The learned are not agreed concerning this anonymous (fourth) BEAST; some make it to be the Roman EMPIRE, which was then in its glory...others make this fourth BEAST to be the KINGDOM of Syria..which was very cruel and oppressive to the people of the Jews... Herein that EMPIRE was diverse

from those that went before. ⁸ (emphasis added)

Albert Barnes also describes the BEASTS of Daniel and Revelation as representing great EMPIRES.

In this description, it is observable that John has combined in one ANIMAL or MONSTER, all those which Daniel brought successively on the scene of action as representing different EMPIRES. Thus in Daniel the LION is introduced as the symbol of the Babylonian power; the bear as the symbol of the Medo-Persian; the Leopard as the symbol of the Macedonian; and a nondescript animal-BEAST-fierce, cruel, and mighty, with two horns as the symbol of the Roman. In John there is one ANIMAL representing Roman POWER, as if it was made up of all these (others)... There was an obvious propriety in this, in speaking of the ROMAN POWER, for it was, in fact, made up of EMPIRES represented by the other beasts in Daniel. ⁹

Peter Lange, who wrote in the middle of the 19th century observed that,

The wild beast (of Rev. 13) is a compound of the four Danielic Beasts..Be it observed however, in this connection, that the fourth Beast of Daniel, as the REAL ESCHATOLOGICAL BEAST, embraces, together with the vision of the Roman kingdom, the entire series of World-Powers as coinciding, in perspective with that KINGDOM. ¹⁰ (emphasis added)

Elliott noted that Daniel "Is NOT describing the aspect of a man, but rather that of a 'spiritual kingdom.'" ¹¹ (emphasis added) This point seems to be overlooked when men come to the BEAST of the Apocalypse.

In his commentary on the Apocalypse, Adam Clarke is a good example of a man who recognized and followed the principle which Ironside proclaimed was one of very great importance. Clarke does NOT say with William R. Newell, (and a thousand others like him) that proper interpretation of Revelation 13 MUST regard these two Beasts as two men, but must regard these two Beasts in the same way the angelic interpreter in Daniel did, as TWO Kingdoms.

Clarke wrote,

Before we can proceed in the interpretation of this chapter (Rev. 13) it will be necessary to ascertain the meaning of the prophetic symbol-BEAST. For the lack of a proper understanding of this term has been one reason why so many discordant hypotheses have been published to the world. ¹² (And they have certainly multiplied a thousand fold since Clarke wrote.)

He then rightly shows that in this investigation it is IMPOSSIBLE to resort to a higher authority than the Scriptures, for the Holy Spirit is His own Interpreter. What then is meant by the term BEAST in Scripture? He proceeds to show that if in one prophetic vision a symbol is explained, that explanation must govern the symbol when it is used in another place in the Bible. ¹²

Having laid this foundation, the angel's interpretation of the last of the four BEASTS of Daniel must be examined. Daniel, was very desirous to "know the truth of the fourth BEAST, which was diverse from all others, exceeding dreadful, and the ten horns that were on his head." The angel thus interprets the vision. "The fourth BEAST shall be the fourth KINGDOM upon earth which shall be diverse from all KINGDOMS, and shall devour the WHOLE EARTH." Clarke then shows the significance of the Angel's interpretation of the BEAST. "In this Scripture it is plainly declared that the fourth BEAST should be the fourth KINGDOM upon earth; consequently the four BEASTS seen by Daniel are four KINGDOMS: hence the term BEAST is the prophetic symbol for a KINGDOM."¹⁴ (emphasis his)

Clarke continues his explanation,

As to the nature of the KINGDOM which is represented by the term BEAST, we shall obtain no inconsiderable light in examining the original word CHAYAH. This Old Testament word is translated in the Septuagint by the Greek Word THERION, and both words signify what we term a WILD BEAST. THERION is the word used by John in the Apocalypse, in chapter 13.¹⁵

So if we take up the Greek word THERION in this sense of a WILD BEAST then the POWER or KINGDOM represented must partake of the nature of a WILD BEAST. Hence an earthly BELLIGERENT POWER is evidently designed. And the comparison is very appropriate; for as several wild beasts carry on perpetual warfare in the animal world, so most governments in the political world.¹⁶

This BEAST is said to rise up out of the sea, in which it corresponds with the four BEASTS of Daniel; the sea is a great multitude of nations... and the meaning is, that every mighty EMPIRE is raised upon the ruins of a great number of nations... It therefore follows that the KINGDOM or EMPIRE represented by the BEAST, is that which sprang up out of the ruins of the western ROMAN EMPIRE.¹⁷

Clarke clearly shows that the Old Testament word CHAYAH, (probably Chaldean, or what is now termed Aramaic, and which is the root of CHEYVA-WILD ANIMAL) was translated by the Septuagint scholars with the Greek word THERION-WILD BEAST, and which in each case used in Daniel stands for a world wide KINGDOM or EMPIRE, never for an individual man.

Fairbairn corroborates what Clarke has said. He consistently connects the Beasts of Daniel with the Beasts of Revelation 13.

We notice first the representation that is given in the Apocalypse of the WORLDLY POWER. In Daniel this appeared as a succession of BEASTS, each symbolizing a new and somewhat different form of the GREAT MONARCHIES OF THE WORLD. But now it appears simply as a BEAST, a BEAST however, that had the same origin with those of Daniel, like them arising out of the sea, and a composite creature, UNITING together the several forms of the three first in Daniel (the lion, the bear, and the leopard), and possessing also the ten horns, which were seen in the fourth... The BEAST of the Apocalypse, accordingly, is the WORLDLY POWER, not in its several parts or successive forms of manifestation, but in

its totality.¹⁸

The Wild Beast of the Apocalypse is a great world-wide empire. It represents all the evil powers of Daniel's Beasts, and more. For it is an empire which is not only SIMILAR to the other beasts, but it is also unlike them, in that it is held together by an evil spiritual power. Nothing has ever been seen like it among ordinary political dictatorships; it holds sway over the minds and hearts of men by a pretended holiness, by lying miracles, and by the most outlandish dogmas and irrational claims.

Dean Alford wrote,

By the woman SITTING on the wild-beast, is signified that superintending and guiding power which the rider possesses over his beast; than which nothing could be chosen more apt to represent the superiority claimed and exercised by the See of Rome over the secular kingdoms of Christendom, full of the Names of Blasphemy... The names of blasphemy, which were found before on the heads of the beast only, have now spread over its whole surface. As ridden and guided by the harlot, it is tenfold more blasphemous in its titles and assumptions than before.

The heathen world had but its Divi in the Caesars, as in other deified men of note: but Christendom has its "most Christian" and "most faithful" kings, such as Louis XIV, and Philip II; its, "defenders of the faith," such as Charles II, and James II; its society of unprincipled intriguers called after the sacred name of our Lord, and working Satan's work "ad majorem Dei gloriam;"* its "holy Office" of the Inquisition, with its dens of darkest cruelty; finally its Patrimony of St. Peter," and its "holy Roman Empire:" all of them, and many more, new names of blasphemy, with which the woman has invested the beast. Go where you will and look where you will in Papal Christendom, names of blasphemy meet us. The taverns, the shops, the titles of men and of places are full of them.¹⁹

* For the greater glory of God.

As I was reading my Greek New Testament, "I saw that the Beast-THERION-wild-beast of the Apocalypse, arose out of the sea of nations, and upon his heads the NAME OF BLASPHEMY." Surely no other system in the known history of mankind has had a greater claim to the NAME OF BLASPHEMY than the Roman Catholic papacy. Here you see a dynasty of men unmatched for veniality, lechery, murder, massacre, and every evil Known to men, claiming to be called the Vicar of Christ and the Supreme Lord of the Universe. Can the depths of such blasphemy be sounded and can any other dynasty of men achieve such depths of blasphemy? Or can any one man match the combined blasphemy of this Wild Beast of the Apocalypse?

This beast stands for a kingdom as Daniel shows, though very few today pay any attention to Daniel. So the name of blasphemy describes the whole. For the blasphemy of Rome extends beyond the papacy to the Mass and to all the other blasphemies which seek to rob Christ of his unique role as the ONLY

mediator between God and men, and which blasphemously push Mary and the saints as additional mediators. The rejection of Christ's unique ability to save the lost, by conjuring up that most wicked blasphemy of Purgatory, surely cannot be surpassed,

Theological truths are the most important and when these are twisted and mangled and replaced by human fables, there is no greater blasphemy that evil man can do. The battle of the ages is THEOLOGICAL; the casualties in this war are THEOLOGICAL casualties. The blasphemies in this conflict are spiritual and theological. Blasphemy is railing against God. Pretending to be God. Seeking to replace Christ. Teaching blasphemous fables in place of Divine truth. The Name of Blasphemy has to do primarily and absolutely with theological truths and concepts.

The Name of Blasphemy has been a long and enduring one connected to all the evils that the Popes of Rome have spoken and done. No other organization can match the length or extent of such blasphemies. The NAME of Blasphemy fits the WILD BEAST-THERION-of the Apocalypse, for THERION refers to a kingdom, a dynasty of men, not a single individual as Daniel the prophet clearly reveals.

The Septuagint says,

TAUTA TA THERIA TA TESSARA TESSARES BASILEIAI ANASTESONTAI EPI TES GES.
Daniel 7:17.

These four beasts are four kingdoms that shall rise up on the earth,

William R. Newell shows that he does not take the SCRIPTURAL identification of the word BEAST when he writes that the BEASTS of Revelation 13, MUST BE interpreted as SINGLE MEN. That is; MUST BE, from his particular prophetic predilection, certainly NOT from the SCRIPTURES! Taking the ANGELIC interpretation of the word BEAST as given in the prophetic scriptures in Daniel, the word BEAST MUST BE interpreted as A KINGDOM OR EMPIRE!

Here it is William R. Newell, and thousands of others just like him, who SPIRITUALIZE the interpretation which the Scripture gives of the word BEAST. He changes the meaning of the symbol and makes it into an individual man. The Bible very clearly in four separate places sets forth the meaning of the symbol. But the Jesuits and the modern Protestants who now follow them reject what the Bible declares.

I have not been able to find ONE writer who does not identify the Beasts of Daniel with world-wide kingdoms. Nor can I find ONE writer who identifies the Beasts of Daniel with individual men. Dr. Harry Ironside, with countless others, all identify the Beasts of Daniel with monarchies or kingdoms. They do NOT identify them with individual men. Yet all these writers do NOT then transfer that meaning to the Beasts of Revelation. Why? Why do they not follow the Splendid rule that Dr. Ironside puts forward that the symbols used in Revelation are explained or alluded to somewhere else in the Bible?

Patrick Fairbairn pointed out that the biblical symbols are always to be

brought forward from the Old Testament to the New. "It was not the image of the future which threw itself back into the past, but rather the image of the past which threw itself forward into the future."²⁰ He also wisely noted that "in foreshadowing things that are yet to be, it (the Prophet's mind) must avail itself of those which have already been."²¹

In other words, the KNOWN MEANING of the symbol given in the past, has already established the precedent for future interpretation of that same symbol. The great WORLD-EMPIRES of Daniel were portrayed by WILD BEASTS. The singular form for WILD BEAST in the Septuagint was THERION. Therefore when THERION is used in the New Testament, the meaning already established by angelic interpretation in Daniel, must apply to the Apocalypse. If it is a WORLD-WIDE EMPIRE in Daniel; it must be A WORLD-WIDE Empire in the book of Revelation.

The reason, of course, why the Jesuits did not want to see what is plainly revealed in the Scriptures concerning the nature of the WILD BEAST, was because, as Larkin noted, the papal dominion corresponded so closely with the eschatological kingdom of the Beast of Revelation. The Jesuits went to work to disassociate the Papal dominion from the dominion of the BEAST-the Antichrist. Obviously, there were two ways open to the Jesuits and they used them. They made the Antichrist to be only a man who appeared early in church history, or a man who would not appear in church history until the very end of it. In this way they could then assert to anybody who would listen, (and the majority of Protestants HAVE listened) that the Papal dominion could not be the Beast, since the Beast was only a man who either has already appeared and gone, or a man who has not yet appeared.

The fact that the Jesuits promoted and defended BOTH of their views is surely an important issue. For it did not seem to matter to them, that BOTH views were mutually exclusive. If you believe that Antichrist arose and fell in the first century, then you cannot at the same time assert that he is yet to come. But the Jesuits did just that. On the one hand some Jesuits asserted that the Antichrist had already appeared and gone, and other Jesuits claimed that the Antichrist had not yet appeared. So it seems that they were primarily concerned about trying to refute the position of the Reformers and the Puritans even if it meant CONTRADICTING each other. They also managed to get the Protestants FIGHTING each other. For the Reconstructionists all follow Alcasar the Jesuit while most Futurists follow Ribera the Jesuit, so you have the **strange anomaly of Bible-believing Protestants fighting each other over Jesuit teachings**. I have read some of the scorching rhetoric of the Reconstructionists blasting the futurist position without them apparently realizing that they are contending for a Jesuit doctrine, not a Reformed Protestant one. However, as, I read more about Reconstructionism and the Jesuits, I see more and more that the Reconstructionists follow the Jesuits very closely in their view of the community, the idea of the cultural war and the promoting of a co-belligerency with Roman Catholics and their church to fight secular humanism.

The Reconstructionist eschatology certainly affects their ecclesiology. Antichrist could not be a co-belligerent with all those Reformed Reconstructionists. So they had to rid Rome of that stigma so that she could

become a co- belligerent of the Reformed.

As Sir Robert Anderson noted, * it was the power of the truth that enabled men to stand against Rome. This was the secret of the triumph of the martyrs of Jesus.

* Sir Robert Anderson, Harry Ironside, and Dave Hunt, with many others, blast the Roman Catholic System, while at the same time promoting the Jesuit position on the Man of Sin. A strange anomaly to say the least.

With hearts awed by the fear of God, garrisoned by the peace of God, and exulting in the love of God, shed abroad there by the Divine Spirit, they stood for the truth against priests and princes combined, and daring to be called heretics they were faithful to their Lord in life and in death.

Heaven was as silent then as it is now. No sights were seen, no voice was heard to make their persecutors pause. No signs were witnessed to give proof that God was with them as they lay upon the rack or gave up their life-breath at the stake. But with their spiritual vision focused upon Christ, the unseen realities of heaven filled their hearts, as they passed from a world that was not worthy of them to the home that God has prepared for them that love Him. ²²

The martyrs of Jesus show the evidence of the great conspiracy in history, out to silence the truth of the glorious gospel of redeeming grace. For in the bowels of that great apostate conspiracy are found the blood of prophets, and of saints, and of all that were slain upon the earth (Rev. 18:24).

REFERENCES

1. Ironside, op.cit,, p. 13.
2. Ibid., p. 224.
3. Newton, Thomas, The Prophecies, J. J. Woodward, Philadelphia, PA, 1835, pp. 212-213.
4. Luther, Martin, Works, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, MI, Vol. VI, p. 484.
5. Calvin, John, Daniel Commentary, Banner of Truth Trust, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1995, p. 13.
6. Ibid., p. 21.
7. Newton, op.cit,, p. 201.
8. Henry, Matthew, Commentary, Vol. VI, Fleming H. Revell, p. 1071.
9. Barnes, Albert, Notes on the New Testament, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, MI, Vol. X., p. 320.

10. Lange, Peter, Commentary, Vol. 12, Zondervan, Grand Rapids, MI, 1960 p. 266.
11. Elliot, E. B., Horae Apocalypticæ, Still Waters Revival Books, Edmonton, Alta, Canada, p. 195.
12. Clarke, op.cit., p.1015,
13. Loc.cit.
14. Loccit.
15. Loc.cit.
16. Loc.cit,
17. Loc.it.
18. Fairbairn, Patrick, Prophecy, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, MI, 1976, p. 304.
19. Alford, Henry, Greek New Testament, Guardian Press, Brand Rapids, MI, Reprint Vol IV, p. 706.
20. Faitbaim, op.it, p. 155.
21. Ibid., p. 137.
22. Anderson, Sir Robert, The Silence of God, Hodder & Stoughton, London, 1899, p. 152.

Continue to the next chapter: [The Consequences of the Jesuit Eschatologies in America Today](#)

[Biblical Exegesis and the Beast of Revelation](#)



This is chapter 5 of [The Effect of the Jesuit Eschatologies on America Today](#)
– by Dr. Ronald Cooke

We live in the day of Hollywood fiction and prophetic fiction. So it is difficult to discuss in a sane fashion some of the problems of biblical interpretation. One of these problems centers around the BIBLICAL meaning of the word BEAST.

You would think that men would tread carefully regarding this issue in light of what the godly Protestants of church history have taught, but such is not the case. The positions of the Roman Catholic Jesuits, which were put forward to discredit the Protestant position, are now accepted by almost ALL Christians in North America today. The Jesuit positions on the BEAST of Revelation are taken and the position of the older Protestant commentators is dismissed without a second thought

The Reformed Reconstructionists, taking the position of the Jesuit Luis Alcasar, that most of the book of Revelation was fulfilled around AD 70 or shortly after, identify Antichrist with Nero, Caligula, Simon Magus, or some other individual of the first century. So Antichrist, whoever, or whatever, he might have been, is dismissed from church history, after AD 70, from the present, and from the future. So he cannot now affect the church in any way for he disappeared after AD 70. The majority of evangelicals and fundamentalists, taking the position of the Jesuit, Francisco Ribera, identify Antichrist with a man, or super-man, who has not yet appeared in history, and who will not appear until near the very end of this age. In both these cases, the Jesuits, and those who follow them, identified the Bible word BEAST, as a man, and not a kingdom or world empire.

Yet, when one looks, not only at what the Protestant Reformers and Puritans, and those who followed them for about two hundred years have written, but what the Bible says on this very important issue, he will find that there is very little room for the dogmatism of the Jesuits, and those who follow them, on this issue. The Reformers and Puritans, and those who followed them wrestled with the problems of interpretation every bit as much as the Jesuits. Surely their interpretations merit the same attention and consideration as that given to the Jesuits.

Cardinal Bellarmine, the famous Jesuit apologist for the Roman Catholic interpretation of the identity of the Beast in Revelation 13, wrote:

For all (Roman) Catholics think thus that Antichrist will be one CERTAIN MAN; but ALL heretics teach that Antichrist is expressly declared to be NOT a single person, but an individual throne or absolute KINGDOM, and an apostate seat of those who rule over the church. ¹ (emphasis added)

So Bellarmine, the great Jesuit, clearly shows that many Roman Catholics, following the Jesuit Francisco Rivera, teach that the Beast of Revelation 13 will be ONE CERTAIN MAN and not a dominion, kingdom, or empire. Bellarmine declares that it was the HERETICS, the Protestant Reformers and early puritans, who put forth the teaching that the Beast of Revelation was a great empire which overspread the earth.

Clarence Larkin, in his major work, *Dispensational Truth*, corroborates what the Jesuit Cardinal Bellarmine wrote at the turn of the seventeenth century. Larkin wrote in 1920 that the view that Antichrist is a single individual who only appears at the very end of time, originated with the Jesuits. Strange as it may seem, Larkin is almost ALONE in this admission, among all the modern non-catholic commentaries on the book of Revelation who follow the Jesuit line. I cannot remember reading ONE such commentary that tells the reader, this view I am giving, started with the Jesuits.

Larkin and Bellarmine are further corroborated in their positions by the Reformed and Puritan writers of the past, in that almost all Protestants up until around 1820, regarded the single man-Antichrist view, as a Roman Catholic one. John Calvin said,

But we see that almost the whole world has been miserably deceived, as if not a word had ever been said about Antichrist. And what is more, under the Papacy there is nothing more WELL-KNOWN and COMMON than the FUTURE coming of Antichrist. Those who think that he would be just ONE MAN are dreaming. ² (emphasis added)

The Puritans say almost the same thing. Ussher said that the idea that Antichrist was a single man who would come in the future is a papal fancy. ³ Turretin also said that it was Romanists who imagine that Antichrist is still to come. ⁴

The Reformers, the Puritans, and those who followed them for several centuries all believed that the idea of a future one-man-Antichrist was a papal teaching; not a scriptural one. And they had scriptural backing for their position on the identity of the BEAST which the Jesuits, and all those non-catholics who now follow them, lack.

Larkin, Ironside, and many many other evangelical and fundamental writers, all write about the Beast of Revelation 13, as a future single individual of terrible cruelty and deceit. There is no comparison made with the BEAST-KINGDOMS of Daniel, which would seem to indicate to the exegete comparing both, that there is BIBLICAL precedent for regarding the BEAST-THERION-as a great world wide empire or kingdom. No, they just run on dogmatically writing about some awful person who is going to appear at the very end of the age.

Here is a sampling of such writings:

Roy Talmage Brumbaugh, pastor of First Presbyterian Church, Tacoma, Washington, in speaking of the last days in 1934 noted that there would be,

The increased desire of men for supermen... H. G. Wells said that a super state is necessary for the world's peace. This super state must necessarily be ruled over by a superman. All nations call for a super-ruler. ⁵

W.R. Newell,
Proper interpretation MUST regard these two BEASTS of Revelation 13 as two MEN. (Emphasis added) ⁶

M. R. DeHaan,

Judas will be the Antichrist. The Spirit of the Antichrist will enter once more into mankind and cause to appear another freak, half-man and half devil, who will be the incarnation of the devil. ⁷

Arno C. Gaebelein,

The Beast will represent a picture hitherto unknown and unseen-one unexampled in the history of the race- A human power endowed with Satanic energy, openly defying God and invested with the royal power and world-wide authority of Satan will engage the rapt gaze of the whole world. ⁸

J.A, Seiss,

He gives perhaps one of the best interpretations of any Futurist which I have read. He notes that the Beasts represent World Wide powers, here in Revelation 13, so at least he tries to stay with the biblical meaning of the word Beast for awhile, but then adds as his third comment; "My third remark is that this Beast is an INDIVIDUAL administrator embodied in one PARTICULAR MAN though upheld by ten kingdoms or governments who unite in making the Beast the ONE sole ARCH-REGENT of their time. ⁹

Harry Ironside wrote,

A man then is waited for. His advent draws near. He will come when, at last, the restraining power, the Holy Spirit (another dogmatic unproven assumption) has gone up to the heavens whence He came. This coming one is the Grand Monarch of the New Humanity cult. He is the coming Imam, or Mahdi, of the Muslims. He is the long-expected last incarnation of Vishnu waited for by the Brahmins; the coming Montezuma of the Aztecs; the false Messiah of the Apostate Jews; the great Master of all sects of Yogis; the Ultimate Man of the evolutionists; the Uebermensch of Nietzsche, the Hun philosopher whose ravings prepared the way for the world war. He will be a Satan-controlled, God-defying, conscienceless, almost super-human man-an INDIVIDUAL whose manifestation will mean the consummation of the present apostasy, and the full deification of humanity to his bewildered dupes. ¹⁰ (emphasis added)

These examples could be multiplied a hundred fold, suffice it to say that the majority of commentaries on the Book of Revelation over the past one hundred and fifty years, present Antichrist, the Beast of Revelation 13, as an individual man. Very little has been written from a different standpoint. Much the same material is just rehashed by hundreds of different writers on the Apocalypse.

Henry Ironside, in giving his description of Antichrist, mentions that the Hindus and the Muslims and the Aztecs, etc, are all looking for a coming MAN who will lead them. Most of this segment by Ironside is taken from Govett's commentary on the Apocalypse which first appeared in 1864. Govett wrote that,

The Hindus expect the tenth Avatar. The Buddhists look for the next Buddh or deity. The Indians of Mexico watch beside a holy fire for the return of Quetzalcoat. The Mohammedan Shiites look for the coming

Mouhdi. The Druses look for the return of Hakem. The Samaritans expect a prophet called Hathal. The Chasidim look for one to come. ¹¹

So Ironside merely polished up and added a few more thoughts to what Govett wrote a half a century before him. And many other writers on the book of Revelation do the same thing. In fact, the majority of modern futurist books on the Apocalypse are merely reprints of Govett's work, Look at Dave Hunt's work.

The dogmatism, which some modern writers on the Man of Sin display, is only matched in many cases by their illogical exegesis. Dave Hunt, who passed away recently, states in his book *The Woman Rides the Beast* that,

The Reformers and their creeds were unanimous in identifying each pope as Antichrist. Scripture, however, does not support that claim. The Antichrist is a unique individual without predecessors or successors. He will be the new "Constantine," the ruler of the revived Roman Empire. ¹²

Several things to note in this quotation which are obviously erroneous. The Reformers identified the papal kingdom, not individual popes, as the Man of Sin. The Bible in Daniel corroborates their position that the Beast of Revelation is a kingdom or Power, not a single man. As Bishop Newton so wisely said, "**No one has ever looked at the Woman of Revelation as a single Woman, why should they regard the Man of Sin as a single man?**" In fact, Hunt himself corroborates Newton, for in his own book, *THE WOMAN RIDES THE BEAST*, Hunt obviously regards the Woman as the great city and System of Rome, not as a single woman. So he does not apply the same logic to the Woman as he does to the Man.

It can be said, without fear of contradiction, that almost ALL commentaries written on the book of Revelation in the past century and a half, apart from a few written by the Reconstructionists, all follow Govett in their description of, and comments about, his coming secular super-human monster. Coupled then with the Jesuit Preterist view of the Apocalypse it can safely be said that almost every commentary written on the book of revelation in the past 150 years follows the Jesuits. Is that not a remarkable achievement accomplished by the Jesuit Counter-Reformation conspiracy in Reformed, Evangelical, Fundamental Baptist, and other non-catholic circles today?

Surely it is instructive to notice that the entire population of the earth has died since Govett wrote his commentary in 1864. And almost the entire population of earth has died since Ironside wrote his commentary in 1920. Only those 93 years old or older, today, were alive when Ironside wrote about his coming monster-super-man. So that **the entire world of people has died in Govett's case, without EVEN ONE PERSON being affected by his evil cruel secular superman. Yet multiplied millions, yea billions, have been affected by the Antichrist of the Protestant view: Papal Rome.**

Is it not important to note that even if the Muslims, who were alive in Govett's day, were looking for some coming world leader, they ALL died without ever being affected by him in any way at all. But they were all lost forever, if Christ is the way the truth and the life, even though they never

saw anything of Govett's Antichrist.

All the multiplied millions of Roman Catholics, who bowed before the Pope of Rome, and followed all his blasphemies and false teachings, all died without EVEN ONE of them being affected by Govett's Man of Sin. However, they were ALL affected by the Papal Man of Sin to their everlasting damnation.

Govett directed the attention of his readers to some future man, who even yet, one hundred and fifty years later, has NEVER affected ONE SOUL in the entire earth in the four generations since Govett wrote his commentary. While during that same period BILLIONS of precious souls have been overwhelmed by the lies and blasphemies of the Papal Man of Sin.

Should not some commentator on the book of Revelation during these four generations, have warned his readers of the PRESENT ANTICHRISTIANITY that was cursing the earth at the very time of his writing? Rather than so many commentators directing the attention of their readers to some future man, who as yet, in all of the history of the human race, has

AFFECTED NOBODY!

Or directing the attention of their readers to a man who rose and fell in the first century AD. who also now affects nobody on earth.

As far as Christendom, or Popedom, as Luther called it, is concerned, the Papacy of Rome has affected it more than any other power on earth. Other evil religions and ideologies flourish on earth today, but the dynasty of men known as the PAPACY has affected the "church" more than any other" power throughout its history. This dynasty of men has done far more damage to the immortal souls of men, than some past man who came and went in the first century; or some future man who has not yet appeared in human history.

The Jesuits have used their deceptive power to mislead millions of non-catholics today. Directing the attention of these non-catholics to the past or to the future, they have managed to blind them to the truth that is right before their eyes. **In looking to the past, or looking to the future, they fail completely to look at the present.**

If the Bible is true, then multiplied billions of precious never-dying souls have been deceived by the Papal Man of Sin, in the past, even while their attention was being directed to some other non-existent culprit. One billion precious souls are NOW, in the present tense, being deceived by papal Rome, while totally unaffected in any way by Govett's Man of Sin.

In Govett's day, multiplied millions were being_ deceived by the Papal Man of Sin, who never saw any other evil super-man, Aztec, Muslim, Jewish, Buddhist, Hindu, or Secular satanic monster. Govett spends more than fifty pages describing his coming secular super-man. Towards the end of his chapter on the BEAST of Revelation, he says,

No (one has) ever so powerfully affected our system of worlds, as this dread deceiver will affect mankind, THE PERDITION OF SOULS UNNUMBERED IS

BOUND UP WITH HIM. (Emphasis his) ¹³

Govett * is here speaking of his coming world dictator, not the papacy. Yet millions of people were being deceived and sent to perdition by the Popes of Rome, even as he wrote about his future man who has affected no one.

Think for a moment how many millions of people were being deceived by the papal dogmas as Govett wrote, Think how many more have been deceived by the Papal Man of Sin SINCE Govett wrote. Then think again of how many are STILL BEING DECEIVED as I write this. Then think how many more will continue to be deceived by the papacy in the future. And think that in all this same time NOT ONE SOUL was BOUND UP IN PERDITION by Govett's. "DREAD DECEIVER" OF MANKIND.

* We are not picking on Govett particularly, for every other Futurist writes the same as Govett about their Man of Sin. We are using Govett because he was the first non-catholic (we cannot call him Protestant, since he repudiated the Protestant view, – and set forth the position of the Jesuits on the Man of Sin.) to write a commentary on the Book of Revelation from the standpoint of the Jesuits. Up to the appearance of his commentary the view which he upholds was followed only by Roman Catholic expositors.

When I first came to America the majority of evangelicals and Reformed men stood where [still stand today with regard to the teachings of Roman Catholicism. Now in the present tense that has all changed. Several men whom I knew personally began working with Roman Catholic priests, and others became sympathetic to Roman Catholicism even if they did not join openly in fellowship with it. When I first came to America I did not know ONE man who professed to be evangelical or Reformed who fellowshipped with the Roman Catholic system, not even Billy Graham.

Just over a hundred years ago the Rev. W. C. Brownlee, a Presbyterian minister, who helped in the founding of Rutgers University, published his magazine called PROTESTANT VINDICATOR AND DEFENDER OF CIVIL AND RELIGIOUS LIBERTY AGAINST THE INROADS OF POPY. This is what evangelical magazines used to do, Now World Magazine, Christianity Today and others like them, sing the praises of the Roman Antichrist continually. So times have CHANGED in America today. One hundred and fifty years later, Govett's mystery future super-man is still nowhere to be seen, but the Pope of Rome is given front page coverage on the cover of the "Reformed" World Magazine, on Time Magazine, and Newsweek and others. When the late pope died I looked across the magazine stand in Wal-Mart and I could not believe my eyes: his picture seemed to be on the cover of every magazine there. The glowing accounts and fulsome praise of the late Pope are a yardstick to measure the triumphs of the papacy and the failures of American Protestantism today.

The man that all the Reformers, and almost all the prominent Puritan writers viewed as the historical antichrist, is now considered one of the world's leading Christians and is being put forward as the head of the ecumenical church of the 21* century by various non-Roman Catholic leaders. As the Emergent Cult keeps expanding and emerging, it is obvious that the unity of the Jesuits is being promoted.

In light of all the ignorance of the papal Man of Sin today, it is necessary to show what these evil men said about themselves. They called themselves THE SUPREME LORD OF THE UNIVERSE. They also claimed that everyone else on earth derives their power from the Pope of Rome. Innocent III, that megalomaniac, was one of the first of the popes to take such an extravagant title as his own.

Boniface VIII follows with his infamous Unam Sanctum published in Nov. 16, 1302. This Papal Bull outstripped all the claims that had preceded it. Here is part of that tyrannical proclamation:

The secular power is but a simple emanation from the ecclesiastical; and the double power of the pope, founded upon Holy Scripture, is even an article of faith. God has confided to St. Peter, and to his successors, two swords, the one spiritual, the other temporal. The first ought to be exercised by the Church itself; and the other, by secular powers for the service of the Church, and according to the will of the pope. The later, that is to say, the temporal sword is in subjection to the former, and the temporal authority depends indispensably on the spiritual power which judges it, while God alone can judge the spiritual power. Finally, it is necessary to salvation for every human creature to be in subjection to the Roman pontiff. ¹³

After the Reformation, the Jesuits for centuries, preached up the pope's supremacy over temporal princes and kings, and through their astonishing influence upon the minds of various peoples in various nations, the Bishop of Rome came to be regarded as the supreme Sovereign of the secular world and the head of the Church, thus assuming the head of ALL authority, TEMPORAL, SPIRITUAL, and IMMORTAL. For he claimed to have jurisdiction over Purgatory in the world to come.

REFERENCES

1. Robbins, John, Editor, Against the Churches, Unicoi, TN, 1989-1998, p. 257.
2. Calvin, John, New Testament Commentaries, Vol. 5, p. 256.
3. Ussher, James, A Body of Divinity, Thomas Downes & George Badger, London, 1653, p. 413.
4. Turretin, Concerning Our Necessary Secession from the Church of Rome and the Impossibility of Co-operation with Her, Reprinted by Reformed Publications Forestville, CA, 1999. pp. 19-20.
5. Dunham, T. Richard, Editor, Unveiling the Future, Fundamental Truth Publishers, Findlay, OH, 1934, pp. 120-121.
6. Newell, W. R., Revelation, Moody Press, Chicago, IL, 1935, p. 195.
7. DeHaan, M. R., Revelation, Zondervan Pub. House, Grand Rapids, MI, 1971, p. 184.

8. Gaebelien, Arno C., Revelation, Pickering & Inglis, Glasgow, Scotland, 1915, p. 80.
9. Seiss, J. A., Lecture on the Apocalypse, Charles Cook, N.Y. NY, Vol. II, p. 393.
10. Ironside, op.cit, p. 240.
- 11, Govett, Robert, The Apocalypse, Chas. J. Thynne, London, England, 1920. (Abridged from 4 Vol. Ed 1864) p. 309.
- 12, Hunt, Dave, The Woman Rides the Beast, Harvest House Publishers, Eugene, OR, 1994, p. 47.
13. Govett, op.cit., p. 349.
14. Clarke, Adam, Commentary, Abingdon- Cokesbury, Nashville, TN, Vol. VI, p. 1023.

Continue to the next chapter: [ACCORDING TO THE SCRIPTURE'S THE BIBLICAL SYMBOL OF THE ANTICHRIST IS A WILD BEAST](#)

[Jesuit Eschatology and the American Pulpit](#)



This is chapter 4 of [The Effect of the Jesuit Eschatologies on America Today](#) – by Dr. Ronald Cooke

Eschatology is the doctrine of last things, from the Greek word ESCHATOS meaning last. It is usually the last doctrine studied in Systematic theology. However, we are dealing with it in this study because of the great impact that the Jesuit eschatologies have made upon almost all of Protestant and non-catholic teachers today in North America. I now use the term non-catholic because there are so few Protestants left. With the demise of Protestant

eschatology the field of eschatology is now dominated by the Jesuits.

Malachi Martin speaks of the Jesuits going everywhere, even where they were not welcome, and also underground, and in disguise. So it is not a far reach then to say that Jesuits went into Protestant schools in disguise as Protestant teachers. Certainly Jesuit teachings have spread far and wide in Protestant academic circles today.

For example, Clarence Larkin, the Dispensational writer, tells of how Jesuit eschatology was at first only followed by Roman Catholics, but then was wonderfully revived among Protestants.

In its present form (that is Futurism in its present form) it may be said to have originated at the end of the Sixteenth Century, with the Jesuit (Francisco) Ribera, who actuated by the same motive as the Jesuit (Luis) Alcasar, sought to rid the Papacy of the stigma of being called the "Antichrist," and so referred the prophecies of the Apocalypse to the distant future. This view was accepted by the Roman Catholic Church, and was FOR A LONG TIME CONFINED TO IT, but STRANGE TO SAY, it has been WONDERFULLY REVIVED since the beginning of the Nineteenth Century, and that AMONG PROTESTANTS. ¹ (emphasis added)

Three things need to be noted here with regard to Larkin's statement.

1. The Roman Catholic church wanted to rid itself of the stigma that the Reformers, and most of the Puritans, had branded her with: that the papacy was the Antichrist.
- 2, The Jesuit scholars did this by either putting Antichrist off into the future. This was done by the Jesuit Francisco Ribera; or by putting Antichrist back into the first century only. This was done by the Jesuit Luis Aleasar.
3. The wonderful revival of Rivera's antichrist at the beginning of the nineteenth century was brought about by a third Jesuit, Emanuel Lacunza.

Larkin briefly mentions the position of the Protestant Reformers on the Man of Sin. He notes that,

They claim that "Antichrist" is a "System" rather than a "Person," and is represented by the Harlot Church of Rome. This School has had some very able and ingenious advocates. This view was unknown to the early church... It was adopted and applied to the Pope by the forerunners and leaders of the Reformation... It is frequently called the Protestant interpretation because it regards Popery as exhausting all that has been predicted of the Antichristian power. It was a powerful and formidable weapon in the hands of the leaders of the Reformation, and the conviction of its truthfulness nerved them to "love not their lives unto the death." It was the secret of the martyr heroism of the Sixteenth Century. ²

Larkin mentions that this view was unknown to the early church. This is true. Some of the Reformers note that the early church was already overrun by many

of the seeds of what became known as popery. The true gospel was lost very early in many churches. The Galatians were already in danger of perverting the gospel when Paul wrote to them.

The Reformers and the Puritans set forth the Man of Sin as a kingdom or empire. They certainly had the words of Daniel the Prophet to back up what they believed, as we will see in more detail later. Whereas those who claim that the Man of Sin is one man must go against the clear teaching of Scripture when they do.

REFERENCES

1. Larkin, Clarence, Dispensational Truth, 2802 N. Park Ave., Philadelphia, PA 1920, p.5.

2. Loc. Cit.

Continue to the next chapter: [BIBLICAL EXEGESIS AND THE BEAST OF REVELATION](#)

[The Bible Reveals the Existence of Conspiracies](#)



This is chapter 3 of [The Effect of the Jesuit Eschatologies on America Today](#) – by Dr. Ronald Cooke

Some Bible believers repudiate the whole idea of a conspiracy because they say they believe in a sovereign God who controls history. The Bible calls Satan, the god of this world, because millions in this world worship him and follow his wisdom. This does not mean that Satan will triumph over God. He surely will not. But his effort is real and historical. The great apostasy is real even though it does not succeed finally.

The war against Satan still has to be fought. The truth must be proclaimed to overcome Satan and all his false prophets, many of whom work in the visible church to deceive, if it were possible, the very elect. According to the Apostle Peter, false teachers are in the church, even as the false prophets were in Israel. The truth must be taught so that the efforts of all false prophets will be defeated.

In the book of Jeremiah, the Word of God reveals that the false prophets cried peace when there was no peace. This is an identifying mark of a false prophet. These false prophets were involved in a conspiracy against God.

The Lord said unto me (Jeremiah) a conspiracy is found among the men of Judah, and among the inhabitants of Jerusalem. They are turned back to their iniquities of their forefathers, which refused to hear my words; and they went after other gods to serve them; the house of Israel and the house of Judah have broken my covenant which I made with their forefathers. Therefore, thus saith the Lord, behold I will bring evil upon them, which they shall not be able to escape.

The Hebrew word translated conspiracy here in this passage, is QESHAR from the root QASHAR meaning to make a league, to knit together, to conspire to commit treason; so any unlawful alliance, confederacy, or conspiracy. The same Hebrew word is used in Ezekiel 22:25.

Son of Man, say unto her (Israel) Thou art the land that is not cleansed, nor rained upon in the day of indignation. There is a conspiracy of her prophets in the midst thereof, like a lion ravening-tearing to pieces-the prey; they have devoured souls; they have taken treasure and precious things; they have made her many widows in the midst of her.

Her priests have violated my law, they have profaned my holy things; they have put no difference between the holy and the profane, neither have they shown difference between the clean and unclean, and have hidden their eyes from my Sabbaths and I am profaned among them. Her princes in her midst are like wolves ravening (tearing) the prey to shed blood, and to destroy souls, to get dishonest gain. And her prophets have daubed themselves with untempered mortar, seeing vanity, and divining lies, saying thus saith the Lord, when the Lord has not spoken. (Ezekiel 22:24-28).

Here there was a conspiracy to profane the holy and to put no difference between the clean and the unclean. And to pretend to speak for the Lord, when He had not spoken, thus giving out lies. Surely a description of the great Harlot of Rome who also puts no difference between the clean and the unclean and profanes that which is holy.

The Man of Sin in Rome also pretends to speak for the Lord Jesus Christ on earth, when in effect, he is the great deceiver of mankind. Malachi Martin has some critical things to say against the modern Roman Catholic System in his writings, but he does not criticize the office of the papacy. He believes that the papacy has been instituted by God and that all the popes of Rome have descended from the Apostle Peter and that they hold the powers invested in them by the Triple Tiara which is placed on their head by the cardinal deacon accompanied by the words:

Receive the tiara with three crowns and know that thou art Father of princes and kings, Ruler of the world, Vicar of our Savior Jesus Christ,

to whom is honor and glory, world without end, Amen.

According to the Roman Catholic dictionary, the first crown symbolized the pope's "universal episcopate;" the second, his "supremacy of jurisdiction;" and the third, his "temporal supremacy."

The Papal Man of Sin claims more power and authority than any other man in the world today. He claims to be the HEAD of the Universal church. The supreme arbiter of mankind, and the sovereign ruler of the kingdoms of the world. Truly "he sits in his temple as 'god'" claiming and showing himself "that he is god." Malachi Martin calls the pope, he was writing about in THE KEYS OF THIS BLOOD, the SERVANT of God's GRAND DESIGN," in the millennial end game, that he saw emerging in the world as the twentieth-century drew to a close. The Bible-believer sees the Papal Man of Sin, the servant of a design that is much lower than grand, and indeed promotes THE LIE instead of the truth. It is interesting to note the similarities between the conspiracy revealed in Ezekiel and the one mentioned in the Apocalypse. For both speak of princes devouring souls, and the merchants trading in the souls of men, and taking treasures and riches which all perish in the overthrow of the Great Prostitute of Babylon.

The conspiracies in Israel are revealed by the inspired writers of the Old Testament. So they are real conspiracies. The Scriptures also reveal in the second Psalm that the rulers of the world take counsel against the Lord and His Anointed One – The Christ. So again the Word of the Lord reveals the enmity, rebellion, and conspiracy of the world's rulers against the Lord Jesus Christ.

In the New Testament the Scriptures again reveal the presence of a worldwide system that is opposed to the true Church – Mystery Babylon the Great. Through the work of the Jesuits, this great conspiracy against the true Church is put off into the future, and is thus removed from history. Or it is said to have arisen in the first century and then is destroyed by AD 70. This view also was first set forth by the Jesuits. Either way, Mystery Babylon the Great, is not a part of church history, according to the Jesuits.

The Protestant Reformers believed that Roman Catholicism was the backbone of this great conspiracy against the true Church. The Puritans, many of whom also viewed Roman Catholicism as the foundation of Mystery Babylon the Great, believed that the Jesuits were the strength behind the Counter-Reformation, and thus the greatest conspirators against historic Bible Protestantism that the world has ever seen.

The Jesuits, on the other hand, have always denied many of the accusations made against them by Protestants. In recent times their sordid history has been completely erased from the public mind, and they are now regarded as some of the best and most loving leaders of the modern ecumenical movement.

The Bible teaches that there is a great apostate conspiracy against the true church. This great apostate conglomerate is made up of many evils and evil people. The Scripture says,

I saw a woman sit upon a scarlet coloured beast, full of the names of blasphemy, having seven heads and ten horns. And the woman was arrayed in purple and scarlet color, and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls, having a golden cup in her hand full of abominations and filthiness of her fornication: and upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH. And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints, and with blood of the martyrs of Jesus: and when I saw her, I wondered with great wonder (Revelation 17:3-6).

And the Woman which thou sawest is that great city which reigns over the kings of the earth (Revelation 17:18),

And he (the angel) cried mightily with a strong voice, saying, Babylon the Great is fallen, is fallen, and is become the habitation of demons, and the hold- prison-of every foul spirit, and a cage of every unclean and hateful bird. For ALL nations have drunk of the wine of the fury of her fornication, and the kings of the earth have committed fornication with her, and the merchants of the earth are through the power of her luxury enriched (Revelation 18:2-3).

The Bible here describes historical reality, not just an end-time scenario. It reveals a great composite picture of the world's attempt to unite her false religions into one. God's people are told to separate from this religious conglomerate that extends from a great city out to encompass the rulers and merchants of the earth. These all unite with the great Prostitute in idolatrous worship. For centuries the Jesuits have been involved in trying to overthrow the true church and bring the whole world into this habitation of demonic activity.

The modern ecumenical movement is also a part of the Jesuit conspiracy. They have been working in the ecumenical movement for years. In recent times they have been working with evangelicals. At least they profess to be evangelical. God's people should not be working with the enemies of the gospel. Yet it is an obvious fact that many do. Witness the recent involvement of evangelicals with the Roman Catholics in ECT (Evangelicals and Catholics Together) I and II which also involved the participation of several Jesuits.

Working with the enemies of the Lord is not something new. We see that type of activity revealed in the Old Testament, away back in the days of Jehoshaphat, king of Judah. God's inspired Word reveals the traitorous activity of Jehoshaphat.

Now Jehoshaphat had riches and honor in abundance, and made a marriage alliance with Ahab. 'And Jehoshaphat, the king of Judah, returned to his house in peace in Jerusalem, And Jehu, the son of Hanani, the seer, went out to meet him, and said to king Jehoshaphat, shouldest thou help the wicked, and love them that hate the Lord? Therefore, there is wrath upon thee from before the Lord (II Chronicles 18:1 and 19:1-2).

Jehoshaphat is an example of a man who did many things right and yet worked

with the enemies of God. He certainly sets forth the God of the Bible in his prayer, when he says,

O Lord God of our fathers, art not thou God in heaven and rulest thou not over all the kingdoms of the nations? And in thine hand is there not power and might, so that none is able to withstand thee? Art not thou our God, who didst drive out the inhabitants of this land before thy people, Israel, and gavest it to the seed of Abraham, thy friend forever? And they dwelt in it, and have built a sanctuary for thy name, saying, If, when evil comes upon us, as the sword, judgment, or pestilence, or famine, we stand before this house, and in thy presence (for thy name is in this house), and cry unto thee in our affliction, then thou wilt hear and help...

When Jehoshaphat prays, the Lord assures him of an answer.

Thus saith the Lord unto you, Be not afraid nor be dismayed by reason of this great multitude; for the battle is not yours, but God's. (II Chronicles 20:6-15).

So the Bible reveals that God is over all the kingdoms of nations and that He intervenes on behalf of his people and fights for them against their enemies. For he tells them that you shall not need to fight in this battle; set yourselves, stand still, and see the salvation of the Lord.

Here we see that Jehu, the son of Hanani, went out to meet Jehoshaphat and said to him,

"Why do you help the wicked, and why do you love them that hate the Lord? Therefore there is wrath – God's anger – upon thee from before the Lord, because you are acting contrary to the will of God." So godly men can be all tangled up in helping the cause of wicked men and those who hate the Lord.

This is why the Bible teaches separation from all ungodly entanglements. This is why there is so much confusion today. Godly men will not obey the Lord and separate from those that hate Him. Therefore, millions follow their confused example and all this confusion is called Mystery Babylon the Great – Mystery Confusion the Great. It is a mystery why godly men entangle themselves with those that hate the Lord. And the CONFUSION generated is GREAT. It is widespread.

When the head of the World Evangelical Alliance Dr. Tunnictiffe claims he is engaged in ecumenical dialogue with Roman Catholicism, he demonstrates the confusion of which the Bible speaks. This Alliance is said to represent close to half a billion evangelicals. So the confusion is widespread and millions are obviously all entangled up in an unholy amalgam with those who hate the Lord.

At Edinburgh, Scotland, in the year of our Lord 2010 every type of unbelief and compromise with it, was represented at the centenary celebration of the first Edinburgh conference in 1910. There were Baptists, Roman Catholics, Presbyterians, Methodists, the Reformed, the Pentecostal, and Seventh Day

Adventist, all meeting together with all kinds of "evangelical" schools, and organizations. Ecumenical evangelism has succeeded in bringing together almost every kind of denomination, church, organization, and academic institution that calls itself "Christian."

According to Dr. Ralph Colas, General Secretary of the American Council of Christian Churches, this conference included the following schools, churches, and societies:

Perkins School of Theology; Catholic Theological Union, Chicago; Maryknoll (Roman Catholic) Missionaries; World Evangelical Missions Commission; St. Thomas University; World Alliance of Reformed Churches; Seventh Day Adventist Church; Agape International Missions; Baptist World Alliance; Asbury Theological Seminary; Fuller Theological Seminary; Gordon-Conwell Theological Seminary; World Council and National Council of Churches USA; Youth with a Mission; Fordham (Jesuit) University; Yoiddo Full Gospel Church; Lutheran World Federation; American Baptist Church, USA; Saint Paul School of Theology; Churches Together in Britain and Ireland; Vanderbilt University; Assemblies of God; Tyndale Seminary, Toronto, Canada; World Council of Churches/Presbyterian Church of Canada; Kentucky Council of Churches; Princeton Theological Seminary; United Bible Societies, and BIOLA University. These are but a few of the many on the official delegate list.¹

Dr. Tunnicliffe informed those present at this ecumenical gathering that the World Evangelical Alliance (WEA) represents 128 Evangelical Alliances and 420 million evangelicals worldwide. He then added,

In the WEA we have fruitful discussions with the Pontifical Council of the Roman Catholic Church, the World Council of Churches and the (Eastern) Orthodox Churches. We need to listen to one another with love and respect, building bridges rather than to create chasms.²

Chasm is an interesting word. It is actually a Greek word that occurs in the Greek New Testament. It is translated GULF in Luke 16:26. In the original it is CHASMA. So chasm is a transliteration rather than a translation of this word.

According to inspired Scripture, and not the drivel of Dr. Tunnicliffe, it is God who fixes this CHASM. It is a chasm, not created by man but created by God. It is FIXED. Meaning it is established firmly and NO MAN, according to Christ, will ever BRIDGE THIS CHASM.

Dr. Tunnicliffe may want to build bridges to connect truth with error but neither he, nor any other man, can build a bridge over the chasm that separates truth from error, no matter how hard he may try. No where in the Bible is a true believer taught to respect and love evil. The Bible categorically states that we are to,

ABHOR EVIL AND CLEAVE TO THAT WHICH IS GOOD.

The believer is to HATE evil and to HATE lies and to HATE false doctrines that lead millions to a lost eternity.

While Roman Catholicism, aided by the Jesuits, continues its drive to take over the United States, and to completely destroy what is left of Protestantism, millions of self-confessed evangelicals continue their slide toward apostasy and unbelief. As the leadership of Dr. Tunncliffe demonstrates, what is left of evangelical Christianity is leaderless and helpless in the face of the Jesuit onslaught.

America is now far more influenced by the Jesuits than by any leader of what is left of Protestant evangelicalism. Politically, culturally, socially, philosophically, and theologically America follows the leadership of Rome. It certainly does NOT follow the Puritan Protestantism that brought this nation into being and developed it to become the greatest nation the world has so far seen. We will endeavor to show that such is the case in the following pages.

REFERENCES

1. ACCC News Letter, Report by Dr. Ralph Colas, 2010.
2. Loc.cit.

Continue to the next chapter: [JESUIT ESCHATOLOGY AND THE AMERICAN PULPIT](#)

[The Existence of a Conspiracy](#)



This is chapter 2 of [The Effect of the Jesuit Eschatologies on America Today](#) – by Dr. Ronald Cooke

All kinds of books have been written about conspiracies but the Bible reveals that the one real historical conspiracy is against God and His Anointed. This started in the Garden of Eden and has been going on ever since. Even Rousas Rushdoony who promoted his Reconstructionist view of the Bible, and who did not believe that evil men and seducers are getting worse and worse, deceiving and being deceived, nevertheless he wrote,

The Bible as a whole presents a view of history as a conspiracy, with Satan and man determining good and evil for themselves. From beginning to end this is the perspective of Scripture, and only a wilful

misreading of it can lead to any other position. ¹

The Lord Jesus Christ is the target of fallen humanity. Humanity takes counsel against the Lord and His anointed.

Sir Robert Anderson saw that the great historical conspiracy was RELIGIOUS and THEOLOGICAL. In commenting on the truth that Christ called the religious Pharisees, who highly esteemed themselves, "children of Hell," and a generation of vipers, he added that it was not the publicans and harlots who were branded as,

hell-begotten. Alas for HUMAN nature, it was to the RELIGIOUS Jews that these awful words were spoken. ²

Anderson then with commendable perspicacity notes:

Why? Because the Satan cult is to be sought for, not in pagan orgies, but in the acceptance of the Eden gospel, and in the pursuit of RELIGIOUS systems, which honor man and dishonor Christ. ³

The so-called "great" religions of the world all honor man, And all dishonor Christ. They may talk about "god" but none of them, including Roman Catholicism, give Christ the PRE-EMINENCE which is His ONLY and PROPER place.

In fact, Roman Catholicism is filled with superstition, magic, and deception. As Sir Robert Anderson said,

Is there not some sinister influence in operation here? How else can it be explained that in the full light of our advanced civilization, even persons of the highest intelligence and culture are gulled by the tricks and superstitions which form the stock-in-trade of priestcraft. ⁴

Is the United States then succumbing to the great Jesuit-Romanist conspiracy? The answer is obviously yes.* If one looks at early America, or even America up until about one hundred years ago, and compares that with what America is today, he cannot help but see that Roman Catholicism, with all its evil fruits, now flourishes in these United States as never before. What was early America like?

* Are the multiplied millions of Roman Catholic illegal aliens flooding into America the result of mere chance? No! IRREDENTISM is another part of the Jesuit conspiracy against the United States today.

Recently, while traveling back from our daughter's graduation from Clearwater Christian college, we stopped in to visit Savannah, Georgia, one of the oldest ports in the United States. Our tour guide told us many things. In recounting how General Oglethorpe first set about establishing the port, and surrounding areas, she said he had four requirements:

1. No lawyers. 2. No Roman Catholics. 3. No hard liquor. 4. No slaves. She went on to say that Roman Catholics did not appear in Savannah until about one hundred years later.

Yes, as time went on, great changes began to occur in the American colonies. In the very place where the Puritan Separatists first landed and where there was originally a hundred per cent Protestant population, one Roman Catholic priest had this to say toward the end of the 19th century in Boston Massachusetts,

I am an old man and believe that I am the oldest priest in years of service in the U.S.A... Thank God I have lived long enough to see these wonderful changes; yes in Puritan Boston, I was hung in effigy by your blue nose Piety Hill Puritans. Today we control Massachusetts, we control America, and we do not propose to stop until...America is genuinely (Roman) Catholic and remains so. God help us! ⁵

The United States of America has the most Christian Colleges, Universities, and Theological Seminaries of any country in the world. So you would think then that such a country would be able to overcome the tricks and superstitions of Rome. But such is not the case.

In the land of the free and the home of the brave, Roman Catholicism continues to flourish even after the abominations of its priesthood were reported all over the country. Today, Roman Catholicism continues to wield a powerful political and cultural and judicial influence in this once Protestant nation.

Life magazine has just published its one hundred page glowing pictorial account of the new pope of Rome. It calls him the Vicar of Christ. To make sure that the readers get the message the magazine repeats several times.

POPE FRANCIS THE VICAR OF CHRIST FROM SAINT PETER TO TODAY

So it gives out the fiction of the Apostle Peter being the first pope, and it is filled with beatifications, and the extravagant architecture and art of popish history.

Nevertheless, as Sir Robert Anderson pointed out a hundred years ago, millions of otherwise intelligent people will believe all the drivel that this magazine delivers, about the beatification of saints, blasphemous Masses, Mariolatry, and all the other gross superstitions of Rome.

One would think that with so many Christian colleges, universities, and theological seminaries, that the United States of America would have long since shown the deceptions, blasphemies, and gross superstitions of Rome to be unscriptural and anti-scriptural, However, this is obviously not so.

In fact, today almost no Christian college, university, or theological seminary seeks to overthrow, and completely repudiate and refute all the gross errors of Rome at all. They, believe it or not, actually turn out Roman Catholic graduates, who go on to become national spokesmen for the gross errors of Rome.

The Christian colleges and universities of America today teach far more about

the Renaissance than they do about the Protestant Reformation. Hence, the emphasis is on art and humanism, rather than on systematic theology, Bible exegesis, and the true Gospel. In early American education the emphasis was upon theology and logic, how to defend the faith against Roman Catholicism. Now the leading evangelicals promote dialogue with Rome rather than the refutation of all the false doctrines and practices of Rome.

As the Roman Catholic historian Hennessy pointed out in early American education,

Harvard College had the Dudleian Lectures, the fourth in each series to be devoted to "detecting, convicting, and exposing the idolatry, errors, and superstitions of the Romish church." ⁶

John Calvin said, "man is incredibly mad after superstitions." Surely modern man is even more incredibly mad after superstitions than the men of Calvin's day were. For the "church" is now filled with tales of Narnia, the fictions of Hollywood, sportsmen, and the drivel of modern religious literature, that the people of Calvin's day knew nothing about.

The great conspiracy is centered in THE lie. That is in a particular LIE. This particular LIE is the foundation of all attacks against the Lord and His Anointed One. Paul says, that the Lawless One comes, and his coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders. And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish, because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause GOD shall send them a strong delusion that they should believe THE Lie: that they might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness. This MYSTERY OF INIQUITY was already at work, Paul says, when he was writing this letter.

The visible church, more and more, refuses the authority of Holy Scripture. And more and more believes the lie of the papal Man of Sin, that he is the Vicar of Christ and sits in his temple as God. He claims to be the Vicar of Christ and millions in the "church" refuse to separate from this gross blasphemy. Separation is now virtually unknown as a principle of the church, set down in God's revelation.

~Edward J. Young, in his masterpiece THY WORD IS TRUTH, writes at the very beginning of his defense of biblical inspiration, that his subject is a timely one, because the times in which we live, are times of a new theology.

The present day sees the Church of Christ engulfed with a new type of theology, a theology which employs the terminology of the Bible and of the Church and yet pours into that terminology a content which is quite other than that of historic Christianity. Because of the similarity of terminology there are many good people who are deceived. They appeal to some of the great names in theology of the present day as though these men were allies in the battle of the

Faith. Nothing, however, could be farther from the truth. ⁷

Theological deception is a mark of our times. It is no longer confined to Rome, for through the infiltration of Jesuit teachings into evangelical colleges and seminaries, the deception concerning the Man of Sin is now much more widespread than it was one hundred years ago.

Young also stated that,

Without doubt Christianity is at the cross roads... Our Lord did indeed say that the gates of Hell would not prevail against His Church, but he did not deny that they would try to prevail. Ever since her founding, the Church of God has been engaged in a spiritual battle. Against her, powerful foes have fought, foes which at every turn of the road have sought to deflect her from the true course and, if possible, to destroy her. The apostle knew where of he spoke when he said that we wrestle not against flesh and blood but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places. ⁸

The true church is in a never ending battle to refute the errors of her enemies and proclaim the truth as it is in Christ. However, it is now obvious, that very few people are concerned about keeping the church free from heresies and blasphemies. For instead of contending for the faith, today millions of people in the church are contending for the "culture." They are all concerned about what is happening in the culture of America today, while seemingly oblivious to what is happening in the church in America today. They have abandoned the Reformers' struggle for a pure church, and have taken up the Jesuit struggle for a medieval culture. A struggle they have no hope of winning, because God Himself, is against the evils of medieval tradition and mythology, having already wrecked that wicked system, when He set in motion the glorious Protestant Reformation.

False religion is fighting to gain control of America today; it is in a life and death struggle with secular humanism. False religion is cursed by God as much or more than secular humanism; so both ideologies are false and sit under the judgment of God. If both continue to flourish, it will be the end of the United States as we know it. The battle that should be waged, but is not being fought, is against BOTH these evils; not just one of them.

There have been many enemies of the true Church, and surely one of the most enduring, and best organized of those enemies, is the Roman Catholic Church State, energized by the Jesuits, and headed up by the Papal Man of Sin himself, This deceptive immoral monstrosity is now, if you can believe it, looked upon by millions of evangelicals as a co-belligerent, as a great help, in prosecuting the moral war against secularism.

REFERENCES

- 1, Rushdoony, Rousas, The Nature of the American System, Presbyterian and Reformed Pub, Co., Nutley, NJ, 1965, p. 140.
2. Anderson, Sir Robert, The Silence of God, Hodder and Stoughton, London, 1899, p. 131.
3. Loc.it.
4. Ibid, p. 128.
5. Di Domenica, Angelo, Washington Under Romanism, 1949, p. 15.
6. Chmelovski, Thomas, The Judea-Christian Ethic, self-published no dates, p. 2.
7. Young, Edward J., Thy Word is Truth, Banner of Truth Trust, London, 1965, p. 7.
8. Ibid., p. 13.

Continue to the next chapter: [THE BIBLE REVEALS THE EXISTENCE OF CONSPIRACIES](#)

[The Jesuit Conspiracy Against Historic Bible Protestantism](#)



Statue in St. Peter's Basilica of Ignatius of Loyola, the founder of the Jesuit Order. His foot is on a Protestant Christian holding a Bible.

This is the continuation and chapter 1 of [The Effect of the Jesuit Eschatologies on America Today](#) – by Dr. Ronald Cooke. The emphasis from the author is in ALL CAPS and my emphasis is in **bold**.

Dr. John Robbins noted that,

Historians have christened the thirteenth century the Age of Faith and termed the eighteenth century the Age of Reason. The twentieth century has been called many things: the Atomic Age, the Age of Inflation, the Age of the Tyrant, the Age of Aquarius. But it deserves one name more than the others: the Age of Irrationalism. Contemporary secular intellectuals are anti-intellectual. Contemporary philosophers are anti-philosophy. Contemporary theologians are anti-theology. ¹

The irrationalism of Kierkegaard and Barth now governs most of the "thinking" of the majority of theologians and church scholars today. The attack upon the MIND in the twentieth century still has not let up in the twenty-first century. In fact it has spread into what are still called Bible-believing churches.

This is the basis of many of the problems that now afflict Christianity in North America today. Theistic evolution, which is irrational, has been pushed by many scholars who class themselves as Christians. The Jesuits have been at the forefront in teaching a form of evolutionary determinism which they believe will result in the church of all humanity.

The Jesuits are among some of the hardest working people, and hardest fighting people, that the world has ever seen. Few can match their tenacity and perseverance which they use to try to promote the blasphemous fiction that the Pope of Rome is the Vicar of Christ and Lord of the church.

The Jesuits, in their rigorous training, must obey their superiors without question. According to the Constitutions of the Jesuits, they all must obey, "just as if they were a corpse, which allows itself to be moved and handled in any way." ² So the Jesuits all labor irrationally. They empty their minds of personal ambition and personal thinking; they follow their orders with complete abandonment of personal thought. They must labor with one view in mind, to bring the world to the feet of the Papal Man of Sin, no matter what it costs.

As Malachi Martin wrote,

As an institution (the Jesuit Order), it has always been bound to the papacy. Its professed members have always been bound to the Pope by a sacred oath of absolute obedience. For 426 years, they stood at the papacy's side, fought its battles, taught its doctrines, suffered its defeats, defended its positions, shared its power, were attacked by its enemies, and constantly promoted its interests all over the globe. They were regarded by many as they regarded themselves, as "Pope's Men."³

* Martin, of course, in his book, claims that the Jesuits have changed. He claims that since 1965, the end of Vatican II, that the Superior General of the Jesuits, at that time, promoted the changes that some Jesuits were proposing, and that since then the goals of the Jesuit Order have changed, He claims that they are now promoting a universal church -a people's church – not the ancient church of Rome.

One has to be completely irrational to believe all the magic, fictions and superstitions of Rome. (We will look at this irrationalism in more detail later.)

Almost all Protestants, up until the time of C. H. Spurgeon, believed that the Jesuits were out to destroy biblical Protestantism, and bring all "rebellious" churches back to Rome. Malachi Martin said as much when he wrote about the re-instatement of the Jesuit Order, after its suppression by a pope of Rome.

The revived Jesuits started off again, with renewed zeal for the papal will, and made a huge commitment of men and labor to ensure that the First Vatican Council in 1869 would decree that the infallible authority of the Pope was an article of faith and a divinely revealed dogma. That effort was so trenchant and successful... clear pointer to what the Jesuits championed as vigorously as they always had the old Roman Catholic belief that by divine decree the man who himself carried all the authority of Christ in the Church was to be identified by a physical link with one geographical location on the face of this earth—the city of Rome. That man would always be the legal Bishop of Rome. And personal Vicar of Christ.⁴

So the Jesuits made a huge commitment of men and labor, i.e. they conspired to ensure that papal infallibility would become a dogma of Rome. Martin also noted how the Jesuits were viewed by the world,

In the long catalog of insults and calumnies men have devised in order to revile their enemies, no name was bad enough to call the Jesuits because of that FEARSOME FIXATION that they had from their first beginnings, to be "Pope's Men." The Pope's men, of Inigo de Loyola, Thomas Carlyle wrote, was "the poison fountain from which all the rivers of bitterness that now submerged the world have flowed."

Such insults have been enshrined in the very languages of men. Webster's Third New International Dictionary, having given the basic meaning of Jesuit as a member of the Order, then supplies the negative meanings: "one given to intrigue or equivocation; a crafty person"; terms that are amplified by Dornseif's Dictionary into "two-faced, false, insidious, dissembling, perfidious...insincere, dishonorable, dishonest, untruthful." A Spanish proverb admonished people not "to trust a monk with your wife or a Jesuit with your money."⁵ (emphasis added)

He also said that for too long those, in the higher echelons of the Roman Catholic church, have been suspected of quite worldly aims: **of secret-almost cabalistic-designs on the rights, liberties and freedoms of ordinary people.** Many a sincere modern Protestant is still convinced this is true.

Martin wrote all of his works in the latter part of the twentieth-century. His contention that, MANY a sincere modern Protestant is still convinced that there is a cabal, a conspiracy, to take over the world for the papacy, centered in the Vatican, is now probably less true than at any other time in

Protestant history. Surely it is true that millions of North American "Christians" do not even know what a Protestant is;* that they view the Roman Catholic Church-State-System as merely another Christian communion; and as to "cabalistic designs or conspiracy," **there is scarcely a soul in North America today who believes that the Roman Catholic Jesuits are part of a conspiracy to overthrow what is left of Protestantism in America today.**

The Jesuit Conspiracy has many tentacles. It would take an encyclopedia to cover the full extent of the cabalistic designs of the Jesuits. In this study only one area of Theology will be discussed; Eschatology, the doctrine of Last Things.

* Rick Warren, appearing on television with Piers Morgan, vaguely agreed with Morgan that Protestantism was dead. What Morgan, a Roman Catholic, was identifying as Protestantism, had nothing whatsoever to do with it. He was describing apostate humanism which has taken over some modern Protestant denominations. But Rick Warren did not seem to know any more than Piers Morgan about Historic Bible Protestantism.

REFERENCES

1. Bonar and Hodge, Not What These Hands Have Done, Trinity Foundation, Unicoi, TN, Edited by Dr. John Robbins, 2005, p. 307.
2. British Church Newspaper, March 22, 2013, p. 5.
3. Martin, Malachi, The Jesuits, Linden Press, NY., NY, 1987, p. 35.
4. Ibid., p. 31-32.
5. Ibid., p. 28.

Continue to the next chapter: [THE EXISTENCE OF A CONSPIRACY](#)

[Chuck Baldwin on Romans Chapter 13](#)



Police in the UK clash with demonstrators protesting the Covid lockdowns

Forward by the webmaster:

What's happening today in Canada, Australia and New Zealand is utter tyranny in the name of medical safety! It's really part of the Jesuit's plan to bring about a new world order of the elite. The only reason why the USA has not fallen totally under leftist tyranny so far is because of the US Constitution and the fact that American citizens still have Second Amendment rights.

"We have not government armed with power capable of contending with human passions unbridled by morality and religion. Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other." –U.S. President John Adams

"It is tragic that most Bible-believing Christians today are misguided concerning Biblical submission to government. God never intended for Christians to blindly yield to government tyranny, blatant abusive of power and treason against it's own citizens. What ever happened to the Bill of Rights?" – From [Should Christians Obey Criminal Government?](#) By David J. Stewart

Article by Chuck Baldwin of Liberty Fellowship

Published: Friday, August 10, 2007

It seems that every time someone such as myself attempts to encourage our Christian brothers and sisters to resist an unconstitutional or otherwise reprehensible government policy, we hear the retort, "What about Romans Chapter 13? We Christians must submit to government. Any government. Read your Bible, and leave me alone." Or words to that effect.

No doubt, some who use this argument are sincere. They are only repeating what they have heard their pastor and other religious leaders say. On the other hand, let's be honest enough to admit that some who use this argument are just plain lazy, apathetic, and indifferent. And Romans 13 is their escape from responsibility. I suspect this is the much larger group, by the way.

Nevertheless, for the benefit of those who are sincere (but obviously misinformed), let's briefly examine Romans Chapter 13. I quote Romans Chapter 13, verses 1 through 7, from the Authorized King James text:

"Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God. Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation. For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do that which is good,

and thou shalt have praise of the same: For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil. Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake. For this cause pay ye tribute also: for they are God's ministers, attending continually upon this very thing. Render therefore to all their dues: tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honor to whom honor."

Do our Christian friends who use these verses to teach that we should not oppose President Bush or any other political leader really believe that civil magistrates have unlimited authority to do anything they want without opposition? I doubt whether they truly believe that.

For example, what if our President decided to resurrect the old monarchical custom of Jus Primae Noctis (Law of First Night)? That was the old medieval custom when the king claimed the right to sleep with a subject's bride on the first night of their marriage. Would our sincere Christian brethren sheepishly say, "Romans Chapter 13 says we must submit to the government"? I think not. And would any of us respect any man who would submit to such a law?

So, there are limits to authority. A father has authority in his home, but does this give him power to abuse his wife and children? Of course not. An employer has authority on the job, but does this give him power to control the private lives of his employees? No. A pastor has overseer authority in the church, but does this give him power to tell employers in his church how to run their businesses? Of course not. All human authority is limited in nature. No man has unlimited authority over the lives of other men. (Lordship and Sovereignty is the exclusive domain of Jesus Christ.)

By the same token, a civil magistrate has authority in civil matters, but his authority is limited and defined. Observe that Romans Chapter 13 clearly limits the authority of civil government by strictly defining its purpose: "For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil . . . For he is the minister of God to thee for good . . . for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil."

Notice that civil government must not be a "terror to good works." It has no power or authority to terrorize good works or good people. God never gave it that authority. And any government that oversteps that divine boundary has no divine authority or protection.

Civil government is a "minister of God to thee for good." It is not a minister of God for evil. Civil magistrates have a divine duty to "execute wrath upon him that doeth evil." They have no authority to execute wrath upon him that doeth good. None. Zilch. Zero. And anyone who says they do is lying. So, even in the midst of telling Christians to submit to civil authority, Romans Chapter 13 limits the power and reach of civil authority.

Did Moses violate God's principle of submission to authority when he killed the Egyptian taskmaster in defense of his fellow Hebrew? Did Elijah violate

God's principle of submission to authority when he openly challenged Ahab and Jezebel? Did David violate God's principle of submission to authority when he refused to surrender to Saul's troops? Did Daniel violate God's principle of submission to authority when he disobeyed the king's law to not pray audibly to God? Did the three Hebrew children violate God's principle of submission to authority when they refused to bow to the image of the state? Did John the Baptist violate God's principle of submission to authority when he publicly scolded King Herod for his infidelity? Did Simon Peter and the other Apostles violate God's principle of submission to authority when they refused to stop preaching on the streets of Jerusalem? Did Paul violate God's principle of submission to authority when he refused to obey those authorities who demanded that he abandon his missionary work? In fact, Paul spent almost as much time in jail as he did out of jail.

Remember that every apostle of Christ (except John) was killed by hostile civil authorities opposed to their endeavors. Christians throughout church history were imprisoned, tortured, or killed by civil authorities of all stripes for refusing to submit to their various laws and prohibitions. Did all of these Christian martyrs violate God's principle of submission to authority?

So, even the great prophets, apostles, and writers of the Bible (including the writer of Romans Chapter 13) understood that human authority—even civil authority—is limited.

Plus, Paul makes it clear that our submission to civil authority must be predicated on more than fear of governmental retaliation. Notice, he said, "Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake." Meaning, our obedience to civil authority is more than just "because they said so." It is also a matter of conscience. This means we must think and reason for ourselves regarding the justness and rightness of our government's laws. Obedience is not automatic or robotic. It is a result of both rational deliberation and moral approbation.

Therefore, there are times when civil authority may need to be resisted. Either governmental abuse of power or the violation of conscience (or both) could precipitate civil disobedience. Of course, how and when we decide to resist civil authority is an entirely separate issue. And I will reserve that discussion for another time.

Beyond that, we in the United States of America do not live under a monarchy. We have no king. There is no single governing official in this country. America's "supreme Law" does not rest with any man or any group of men. America's "supreme Law" does not rest with the President, the Congress, or even the Supreme Court. In America, the U.S. Constitution is the "supreme Law of the Land." Under our laws, every governing official publicly promises to submit to the Constitution of the United States. Do readers understand the significance of this distinction? I hope so.

This means that in America the "higher powers" are not the men who occupy elected office, they are the tenets and principles set forth in the U.S. Constitution. Under our laws and form of government, it is the duty of every

citizen, including our elected officials, to obey the U.S. Constitution. Therefore, this is how Romans Chapter 13 reads to Americans:

“Let every soul be subject unto the [U.S. Constitution.] For there is no [Constitution] but of God: the [Constitution] that be [is] ordained of God. Whosoever therefore resisteth the [Constitution], resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation. For [the Constitution is] not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the [Constitution]? do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same: For [the Constitution] is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for [the Constitution] beareth not the sword in vain: for [the Constitution] is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil. Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake. For this cause pay ye tribute also: for [the Constitution is] God’s minister, attending continually upon this very thing. Render therefore to all their dues: tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honor to whom honor.”

Dear Christian friend, the above is exactly the proper understanding of our responsibility to civil authority in these United States, as per the teaching of Romans Chapter 13.

Furthermore, Christians, above all people, should desire that their elected representatives submit to the Constitution, because it is constitutional government that has done more to protect Christian liberty than any governing document ever devised by man. As I have noted before in this column (See: http://www.chuckbaldwinlive.com/c2005/cbarchive_20050630.html), Biblical principles form the foundation of all three of America’s founding documents: The Declaration of Independence, The U.S. Constitution, and The Bill of Rights.

As a result, Christians in America (for the most part) have not had to face the painful decision to “obey God rather than men” and defy their civil authorities.

The problem in America today is that we have allowed our political leaders to violate their oaths of office and to ignore, and blatantly disobey, the “supreme Law of the Land,” the U.S. Constitution. Therefore, if we truly believe Romans Chapter 13, we will insist and demand that our civil magistrates submit to the U.S. Constitution.

Now, how many of us Christians are going to truly obey Romans Chapter 13?

© Chuck Baldwin

Pope Francis is behind Corona virus and the Jabs: Dr. Bryan Ardis



This is a partial transcription of a video below. The speaker is Dr. Bryan Ardis,

Alright, I'll just tell you, people ask me, you have started asking me, "Well, who's behind all this? Why do you think that they would be so evil? Is Fauci evil? Really? Does he really want to murder people? Joe Biden really want to murder people?"


Yeah, they do, actually, it's obvious they do. Or they wouldn't be so coercive, they wouldn't be so bullying, they wouldn't be so threatening to take your livelihood from you, or to take your ability to travel from you without getting the shots. That's not love. It's not consideration for your life, liberty and pursuit of happiness. You can't even pursue happiness if you're dead. So lay off the shots.

So anyway, I actually think that this is way bigger than our federal health agencies and our president right now, and our past president, but **I do think they're all being influenced by the same organization** that is orchestrating this entire plan. I actually think, and I'm hoping I'm very hopeful that they're not going to win, actually. But I'll have to tell you. But I am concerned that no one is acknowledging who the real threat is, I actually think. And it's not like I'm just making this up. I'm just going to tell you as much research, as I do is much looking into individuals and what they're saying, watch what they're saying. And then see who else is also saying the same thing. **I actually think the Roman Catholic Church and the Pope, Pope Francis, is over this entire thing.** And I think he's manipulating controlling the entire narrative. I think he's got Anthony Fauci in his pocket. I think he's got Joe Biden, Donald Trump, Francis Collins, I think all of them are being controlled by a division of the Roman Catholic church called the order of the Jesuits, whose sole mission for the last 200 years I'm aware of since 1857, they have been plotting to destroy the Constitution of the United States, as the one last stronghold of a country that preserves and protects religious freedoms. And I think they've been plotting this whole time, in many ways, either through wars now through famine. Now through pandemics of a

virus. I think it has been a complete attempt of them to destroy the Constitution, the United States from within, to destroy the borders, to reduce, which is what they've said, we also have to reduce militaries of all countries, demolish all borders of countries. So we can create a one world religion with the Pope as the one-world leader. And if you are not listening to what Joe Biden said, what Anthony Fauci is saying, what Walensky director of the CDC is saying, what Donald Trump is saying, they're all saying the same thing. And they're all doing the same thing. They're pushing for vaccines.

And then following what the Pope said that it's important for us last month, just say no December, sorry, December 2021. The Pope came out and said, who is a Jesuit Pope for the first time in Roman Catholic history. This Jesuit Pope Francis said, "There are only two things the world needs right now. The world needs to defund all of their militaries and reduce their personnel. And then the second thing the whole world needs is more vaccinations. "

Some Awaiting the 70th Week of Daniel Are Blind to Current Events



Daniel 9:27 is about the 70th Week of Daniel, and it may very well be the most misinterpreted prophecy in the entire Bible. The early Protestant Reformers saw it as a Messianic prophecy fulfilled by none other than the Lord Jesus Christ! Most modern-day evangelicals (with the notable exception of Pastor Chuck Baldwin) view it as prophecy fulfilled by the Antichrist who will come to power in the unknown future. Christians who hold this eschatological view follow a school of prophecy interpretation known as *Futurism*.

Verse Segment	Modern Evangelical Interpretation	Protestant Reformers Interpretation
And he	the Antichrist	Jesus Christ

shall confirm the covenant	shall make a treaty	shall confirm the Covenant of grace God made with Abraham.
with many for one week	with the Jews and leaders of the world's religions for 7 years in the future	with the people of Israel for 7 years from the beginning of Jesus's ministry which began in 27 AD to the stoning of Stephen in 34 AD which was the start of persecution of Christians by the Jews.
and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease	The Antichrist will stop the daily sacrifice in a rebuilt temple of Solomon.	Jesus was crucified three and a half years after the start of His ministry. Jesus, the Lamb of God, became the ultimate sacrifice for sins which meant there was no more need for daily animal sacrifices, and hence, no more need for a physical temple to do them.
and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate,	The Antichrist will start a world wide persecution against all who refuse to worship him.	Jesus through the Roman General Titus will desolate the Temple of Solomon
even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.	Jesus will return, fight the Antichrist and his armies, and throw them into hell.	Jesus will cause the Roman army to destroy both the Temple and Jerusalem to put an end to the Jews' Christ-less religion as punishment for Israel's rejection of their Messiah.

It's been my observation that Christians who hold the modern evangelical futurist interpretation of the 70th Week of Daniel cannot see what is happening today, I mean, right NOW, as a major attack by Satan and his forces against the world, and against God's people! And what has happened so far?

- Area wide lockdowns
- Mask mandates, people arrested in some counties for not wearing a mask though outdoors
- Quarantines / house arrest if suspected of having Covid
- Vaccine mandates for work or medical care
- Vaccine passports. My wife and I cannot eat in restaurants any longer

You can probably add more to that list. Has any of this happened before in history on a worldwide scale? And what is the reason the government is giving us? All in the name of public safety to prevent catching a virus that causes disease the vast majority of the people survive from.

In some countries, government policies are more draconian than others. Canada, Australia, and New Zealand seem to be the worst. In the USA the Republican states opted to have less strict measures, and now even some Democrat states are following suit.

Some countries are requiring proof of vaccination to even shop at the grocery store! How is that different from the Mark of the Beast of Revelation chapter 13? It's **not different!**

How many Christians today believe the Beast of Revelation Chapter 13 is already in place? I sure do. The response to the pandemic has been global government tyranny. Pastors in Canada have been arrested for holding church services during the lockdowns.

How many pastors today are standing up to government tyranny? I know only of Chuck Baldwin, John MacArthur, Artur Pawlowski and a few other pastors in Canada. How many pastors are telling their congregations to avoid the experimental Covid jabs at all costs? Only Chuck Baldwin as far as I know. Some evangelical leaders are even encouraging their flocks to get vaccinated saying that vaccines "alleviate the curse." That's blasphemy in my opinion! Only Jesus Christ can alleviate the curse! Those who know the Lord can claim His protection!

The world has started to say no to it all. The truckers convoy in Canada is outstanding in my opinion! And yet some people are calling it false or controlled opposition. Why? I think it's the same people that think it's too late to resist antichrist government tyranny at this point in time. They are expecting great tribulation based on a wrong interpretation of Matthew 24!

Matthew 24:21 For then shall be great tribulation, such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time, no, nor ever shall be.

In another article, I explain in detail why [the "great tribulation" of Matthew 24](#) is *not* a future event.

Summary

How a person looks at current events is dependent on how whether he or she is a Futurist or not. Most Baptists and Pentecostals are Futurists. The Presbyterians and some others continue to hold the standard Protestant interpretation of the 70th Weeks of Daniel as a past event.

As children of God by faith in Christ Jesus, we must not let the devil's people take away our God-given rights without a fight! We must stand up to tyranny. God is still on the Throne and prayer changes things! The gates of

Hell shall not prevail against the Church of Jesus Christ!

[The Jesuit-led Vatican and the Anglo American Israeli Empire](#)



This is a repost from

<https://sounddoctrine.org/2012/08/02/the-jesuit-led-vatican-and-the-anglo-american-israeli-empire/> and written by Mr. Chris Ardern. It's quite informative! Among other things, it exposes the source of the false doctrine of British Israelism which is the pseudoarchaeological belief that the people of the British Isles are "genetically, racially, and linguistically the direct descendants" of the Ten Lost Tribes of ancient Israel. And it ties that and other false doctrines such as futurism and dispensationalism to the Jesuits and their Counter-Reformation. It also talks about the creation of the United States of America! Please read it with an open mind and do your own research whether these things be so or not.

It's difficult to trace the movement of British-Israelism directly to the Vatican; however, some clarity can be attained by looking at the organizations involved with the movement. The Jesuit-led Vatican is the common denominator of power in Britain, Israel, and the United States. The Vatican is the head of New World Order monster and she has big plans for earthly Jerusalem. To understand how all of the intrigue has come to a head in our time, we must take a closer look at the historical record.

In order to understand that the Vatican has the upper hand on both Britain and America, we need to examine the American Revolution. The United States is Rome's satellite and has been since the end of the Revolution. The historical record shows that the Jesuits fomented the American Revolution using Freemasonry as a cover. Prior to the American Revolution, 10 out of 13 colonies had put in place strict penal measures against Roman Catholicism and Catholics. Rome couldn't stand for that so she brought about the Revolution to correct the situation. The Constitution opened the door in the United States for the flourishing of Roman Catholicism and other cults. All of this was part of the Jesuit-led continuing counter-reformation that began in the 1540's. The U.S. Constitution with its 'freedom of religion' gave us a Jesuitical/Freemasonic/universalist government that put Rome in the driver's

seat of the New World Order. The New World Order was launched right here on American soil in 1776. Rome and Britain had been locked in a battle for world dominance and Rome was working desperately to get Britain back under her control since Britain broke off during the Reformation. The Spanish Armada was a failure, so Rome had to resort to covert means.

Catholic Monarch King James II during his reign in England put the forth the Jesuit constructed 'Declaration of Indulgences' (1687) in order to lift the restrictions against Catholicism in England. It was written to include tolerance for all religions, but was really a mask to give tolerance to Rome. In 1688, English Protestants refused the Declaration and deposed Catholic King James II from the throne.

"The Liberty James wanted them to proclaim was neither more nor less than indulgence to the Jesuits and the whole Church of Rome. Men knew the hand from which it came and saw the latent intention. Under the specious plea of toleration and liberty, the object of the Declaration was to advance Popery... and give license... to the Church of Rome, and all its schemes for reconquering England." (John Charles, Ryle, Churchman, Feb. 1880)

Fast forward 100 years and the 'Declaration of Indulgences' was re-written as the 'Declaration of Independence' and solidified in the U.S. Constitution under the guise of 'freedom of religion'. The American Revolution was not about tea or taxes. It was a religious war.

With the American Revolution, Rome killed two birds with one stone. (1) Rome separated the American Colonies from Britain and delivered the colonies and all their wealth into Rome's hand. (2) As part of the continuing Jesuit-led counter-reformation, Rome created the Constitution with 'freedom of religion' built into it in order to allow Roman Catholicism to come in and swallow up biblical Christianity that had existed in the colonies.

Remember, Catholicism had been banned in 10 out of 13 colonies. The American Revolution and Constitution made legal (Catholicism) what had been made illegal (Catholicism) in England.

Tupper Saussy wrote in his book Rulers of Evil:

"Before the Constitution was ratified, American Catholics had few civil rights; after ratification, they had them all... the Constitution welcomed agents of Pontifex Maximus, the world's chief enemy of Protestantism, into the ranks of government. Of the 2,500,000 enumerated inhabitants in 1787 America, the Roman Catholic population consisted of no more than 16,000 in Maryland, 7,000 in Pennsylvania, 1,500 in New York, and 200 in Virginia. Once the Constitution was in place, a steady influx of European immigrants transformed Roman Catholicism from America's smallest to largest religious denomination. By 1850, the higher powers at Rome could view the United States as a viable tributary, if not another papal state."

The whole American Revolution was a Jesuit scam. Most people wanted to stay loyal to Britain. Freemasons inside the British Parliament pushed laws/taxes on the American colonists to get them angry and rouse them to rebellion.

Freemasons on the ground in the colonies fanned the flames of revolution. Washington's Masonic Lodge at Fredricksburg, Virginia was Catholic/Jacobite infested. Jacobite Hugh Mercer came over to America from Scotland after having fought for the Catholic Jacobite cause in the Army of Bonnie Prince Charlie. Hugh Mercer joined the Masonic Lodge in Fredricksburg, Virginia and eventually became its master. Jacobite Hugh Mercer was also a close friend and adviser to George Washington. The Fredricksburg Masonic Lodge had 8 members who were generals in the American Revolution (Washington, Mercer, George Weedon, William Woodford, Fielding Lewis, Thomas Posey, Gustavus Wallace, and the Marquis de Lafayette). The Fredricksburg Masonic Lodge got its charter from the Mother Kilwinning Lodge of Scotland in 1758.

Prior to the American Revolution, the Jesuits infiltrated Freemasonry in the mid-1700's, which had evolved from the fugitive Templars during the 14th-16th Centuries. While hiding within the order of Freemasonry, the Jesuits were also operating on the ground out in the open. The British General Haldimand wrote to his superiors in the British Government that the Jesuits were behind the American rebels. In the book Catholics and the American Revolution, Volume 3, (1906) written by Catholic Martin Ignatius Joseph Griffin, Griffin explains that during the American Revolutionary War the British General Haldimand, while he was in Quebec, wrote a letter to British Prime Minister Lord North, which told Lord North that the French Jesuits were supporting the American rebels. Griffin writes:

"The French Jesuits were upholders of the American cause. Hence the English authorities in Canada did not wish such (Jesuits) to enter the country. They desired priests from Savoy or other places. In 1783 Gen. Haldimand wrote to Lord North that he had sent two French priests back to France; that the Bishop desired recruits from the seminaries in France; that he was under Jesuit influence; that he is honorable, but that the Jesuits have sided with the rebels."

Additionally, Griffin wrote two other volumes of his book. From Catholics and the American Revolution, Volume 1, Griffin quotes British General Haldimand directly from a letter that Haldimand wrote to Lord North. In this letter, Haldimand states plainly to Lord North that the Jesuits are supporting the American rebels in the Revolutionary War. Haldimand states:

"The Jesuits are the only order of regular priests who have shown an attachment to the rebels during the course of the war."

The Jesuit Carroll family played a major role in the American Revolution. They were one of the wealthiest American families of the era. There were three Carroll sons: Daniel, John and their first cousin Charles. All of them were trained in Jesuit warfare at the Jesuit St. Omer's College in France. John Carroll became a teacher there. Daniel Carroll donated the land on which the U.S. Capitol Building sits. Property records from the 1600's reveal that this land was called 'Rome'. Charles Carroll was the War Commissioner during the American Revolution. John Carroll was a close friend of Benjamin Franklin and even lived at Franklin's home. John Carroll founded the Jesuit powerhouse Georgetown University and would become the first Catholic Bishop of the United States.

In the decades following the American Revolution, the Americans built the U.S. Capitol Building as an exact replica of St. Peter's Basilica and even put a statue of the queen of heaven/Babylon on top of it. Then they erected an obelisk facing the Capitol Building just like the one in the Vatican courtyard that faces St. Peter's Basilica. A Vatican painter, Constantino Brumidi, painted the interior of the U.S. Capitol dome with the 'Apotheosis of George Washington', which mirrors paintings of the 'Apotheosis of Ignatius Loyola' found throughout Jesuit Churches in Rome. Could it be any more obvious who founded this country?

Rome hated the Constitution and Bill of Rights, but she permitted its creation knowing that she would eventually subvert and destroy it, and it didn't take long. Its creation was only necessary to allow Romanism to flourish where Romanism had been banned. Rome was thinking long-term and her patience and diligence has paid off. As of the present day in the United States, Catholics outnumber Protestants. We have an intelligence community run by the Knights of Malta, which is subservient to the Jesuit Order. Six out of nine U.S. Supreme Court Justices are Roman Catholic. We have 28 Jesuit colleges and Universities. Please understand that this writing is not an attack on Catholics. There are many good, everyday Catholic people in this country, but we must understand that Catholicism at the highest levels is Babylonian Sun Worship. It is the headquarters for occultism the world over. All of the other cults and ancient mystery schools flow from and feed into it. Rome has either launched or absorbed them all.

During the 19th and 20th Centuries, the counter-reformation continued and the subversion of Protestantism by the Jesuits would intensify in Britain and the United States. In Britain and America, much of the subversion has its roots in the Oxford Tractarian Movement and its Jesuitical futuristic prophetic schemes. These futuristic schemes would later be attached to Zionism and its variants such as British-Israelism in order to influence the political sphere.

Froom writes of how Jesuitical futurism began to overcome Protestantism in England during the 1800's:

"Catholic Futurism, initially projected by Jesuit Ribera about 1585, began to obtain a foothold and then gain momentum among Protestants in Britain. Thus the same concept that sought to break the force of the Reformation view of the papal Antichrist, by assuming a future infidel antichrist, was again invoked to weaken the force of the great evangelical advent and prophetic awakening. Protestant expositors, some leaning toward Rome and some prompted by rationalistic concepts, joined hands in the attempt—perhaps unwittingly—to promote the Jesuit position. This, moreover, came to be tied inseparably with the Oxford Tractarian Movement of the Anglican Church, wherein ninety tracts were scattered by the hundreds of thousands to favor Rome and to disprove the Protestant concept of Antichrist" (Leroy Froom, *Prophetic Faith Of Our Fathers*, Vol. 3, 655, 656).

Jesuit Futurism would influence Irving and Darby in England, and later Scofield in America:

"Far too many evangelicals today do not realize who was behind the work of Maitland, Todd, Burgh, and the whole Oxford Tractarian Movement. The reason that futurism made its way to Irving and Darby—and latter Scofield—is because it flourished in the Anglican Church, and the reason futurism flourished in Anglicanism is for the simple reason that the Jesuits were personally behind this work...The fact of the matter is clear: The whole Oxford movement with its birth of modern day dispensational futurism was, from its inception, a movement going back to Rome." (D.S. Farris, *Futurism: The Counterfeit Prophecy*, Chp. 8)

After having been injected into the body of Christ, the concepts of Dispensational Futurism would later be leveraged to benefit political Zionism:

"the Jesuits were very successful at implementing Dispensational Futurism into the policies of Great Britain, and Britain indeed became the champion for Zionism. The Balfour Declaration was a letter dated November 2, 1917, from the British Foreign Secretary Arthur James Balfour, to Lord Rothschild (Walter Rothschild, 2nd Baron Rothschild), a leader of the British Jewish community, for transmission to the Zionist Federation, a private Zionist organization. The letter stated the position, agreed at a British Cabinet meeting on October 31, 1917, that the British government supported Zionist plans for a Jewish "national home" in Palestine, with the condition that nothing should be done which might prejudice the rights of existing communities there. The Jesuit Illuminati was very successful in conditioning (through the Jesuit Counter Reformation) Great Britain to nurture the ideology of Zionism among European Jews. The second task of the Jesuits was to create events that would trigger the relocation of the Jews to Palestine. Part two of the Jesuit Illuminati's geopolitical strategy was to create Fascism inevitably to create the State of Israel in Palestine." (D.S. Farris, *The Two-Horned Beast: Part Two – The New World Order*, Chp. 11)

The roots of British-Israelism are obscure, but it appears to be a movement created for political purposes as opposed to something that Christians genuinely (and erroneously) believed in. In the early days of the Zionist movement, there were some British politicians who embraced British-Israelism and supported relocating the racial Jews to Palestine because they thought it would fulfill 'prophecy' faster and hasten the second coming of Christ. Most telling is that British-Israelism arose out of British Freemasonry; therefore, we can assume British-Israelism originated with the Jesuits considering the Jesuits control Freemasonry and the country of Britain. Barry Chamish wrote in an article titled 'British Freemasonry Covets Israel':

"Without British Freemasonry there would be no modern state of Israel. In the 1860s, the British-Israelite movement was initiated from within Freemasonry."

Anton Chaitkin adds:

"The British monarchy and its prime ministers and Foreign Office fabricated British Israelism in the nineteenth century, from earlier versions of the story. They claimed that Queen Victoria was descended from the Biblical King David, and was thus a descendant of the Davidic family tree that produced

Jesus. They taught that the tribes of Israel wandered into northern Europe; that by this supposed genealogy, the British are the real Chosen People, and the British Empire is thus God's empire. The modern Jews, by this British account, are not the historical Hebrews of Old Testament Israel, but rather, the British are. But, says the British Israel myth, in a leap of logic, the Jews need to be put into Palestine, to fulfill prophecy, get slaughtered in a war with the Muslims, and bring about the End Times. To provide fuel for this mythology, the royal family asked the British Grand Lodge of Freemasonry to establish the Palestine Exploration Fund."

What Anton Chaitkin is describing in British-Israelism is just another variation of Zionism, which ends up in the same place as regular Zionism – the racial Jews relocated to Palestine. However, Chaitkin misses the fact that the Jesuits created the false futuristic prophetic schemes that support Zionism. It wasn't the British Royals who concocted the Oxford Tractarian Movement and its false future prophetic structures. Seemingly, British-Israelism is just another angle from which the Jesuits can cause people to focus on the flesh and earthly Jerusalem. The Jesuits are the great Zionists. Likely, the British Royals are working hand-in-hand with them and British-Israelism is a decoy to take our eyes off of the real plot of relocating the pope's chair to Jerusalem.

History shows that the Vatican/Jesuits brought the Nazi regime into power in order to turn Europe into a nightmare for the Jews in hopes of relocating them to Palestine. To get the Christians on board with this program of a national homeland for the Jews in Palestine, Jesuit dispensationalism was pumped into American churches through the Scofield Reference Bible, which has caused Christians to support Israel with bloodthirsty, unwavering support.

According to some researchers such as Chamish, the Vatican was given control of all the 'holy' sites in east Jerusalem when Jesuit-trained Shimon Peres had the sites handed over to Vatican control through the Oslo Accords in 1993. The Vatican is apparently closing in on Jerusalem trying to regain what she lost to the Muslims during the crusades of the Middle Ages.

The only problem is that the Muslims still control the Dome of the Rock which supposedly sits on top of the remnant of Solomon's Temple. The Vatican would like to rebuild Solomon's Temple but needs to get the Dome of the Rock out of the way. Potential solutions in eyes of Vatican:

- 1) Foment World War III between the Muslims and the "Jews" in Israel and just take the Dome of the Rock from the Muslims.
- 2) Create peace and unity between Muslims, Jews, and Christians through ecumenism and just unite everybody on common religious ground, thus avoiding war, and make Jerusalem an international city.

Most likely, the idea that British Royals are going to make New Jerusalem in Britain or in the Middle East is just a Jesuit diversion. The British Royals aren't pulling the strings of everything – the Jesuits and Rome are hiding behind them. The British government, like the U.S. government, is also infested with Freemasonry and the Knights of Malta among many other occult

orders that feed into the Vatican. The real endgame is putting the pope's chair in Jerusalem. After all, he is the 'vicar' of Christ and 'God' on earth, according to the papacy.

1.2 Billion "Christians" already bow down to him. The British Royals certainly don't have that kind of devotion from the world's people. The dumbed-down Protestants will support the pope in the name of unity if the right political conditions can be fabricated. Many Protestants already welcome the pope with open arms. The whole world is probably going to end up bowing down to him if and when he moves into Jerusalem, but God's remnant will not. We've got our eyes on Jerusalem above. Praise God.

[Dr. Robert Malone Talks about Information Warfare Against Truth Tellers](#)



Robert Wallace Malone is an American virologist and immunologist. He is the inventor of mRNA technology. During the COVID-19 pandemic, Malone has shown COVID-19 vaccines to be ineffective and dangerous.

This is a talk by Dr. Malone transcribed by me from a YouTube video below the text. It will probably get zapped from YouTube sooner or later, but this text will remain.

It's been a series of epiphanies that have made me realize that there's no upside to just being circumspect and trying to be a nice guy in this environment. This is full-on media warfare, information warfare, political warfare, 21st century, like we've never seen before, and coordinated globally.

The other thing for me has been the personal journey of coming to terms with what the World Economic Forum really represents. And I really resisted that people initially, were coming to me talking about the Great Reset, and I was like, "Ah, this crazy talk." But then it's all documented! And then you see it being deployed. Here it is. They're proud of it. They don't hide it. This

is the vision. It is a full on globalist totalitarian vision, with the money in control.

And I did a lot of political science when I was an undergraduate in the early 80s. And I had read things like books about transnationalism and the New World Order. I've found that fascinating, but confused, how could this possibly happen? And now to see it playing out in real-time, and in a way in which national sovereignty governments are increasingly irrelevant. You know, that's the thing that folks got to wake up about.

This is not about the vaccine. The vaccine is a symptom. That's a really hopeful message going into the new year, is that there's a growing cohort of people that are increasingly aware of how thoroughly we've been manipulated.

I thought I was red-pilled. You know, I've been on the inside for so long and seen Tony Fauci's machinations for so long. That's my origin story, was the initial AIDS crisis starting in 83. When I was at Davis (a university in Davis California). So I saw the hardball politics. It's not that I haven't been aware of that. But I had not been aware before of the information control that is globally coordinated. I mean the [Trusted News Initiative](#) is profound. What that means that has become the Ministry of Truth (Reference to George Orwell's classic novel (Nineteen Eighty-Four)). And what Twitter's doing right now, that I think, with [Alex Berenson's bold and brave lawsuit](#), taking on Twitter, and then I've had a bunch of lawyers come to me because of getting de-platformed or canceled I think is really the right term.

Certainly, people can't deny it anymore. There's so much evidence. And the CNN ratings keep slipping, yay! Maybe we're gonna see some change in 2022. There is a kind of a growing movement and awareness that part of the problem here is the structure of how we have been building our organizations in doing business in a deep, profound way. And just dissatisfaction with what one sees at the World Health Organization and the CDC, and the whole HHS structure in the United States where you have carefully controlled information, only one leader is allowed to speak, only one leader is allowed to represent the position, I think that is gonna turn out to be one of the changes here is a growing awareness that that model is dysfunctional, broken, and it needs to be fixed.

For me, it has been an awakening journey, there's no question. I didn't seek this and never expected it. I find myself at the center of this storm of the resistance. That's what it is really. And I'm still amazed. But it has profoundly changed my view of the information that we receive.

You talk about me becoming more outspoken. I've seen that with my peers too. So for instance, (Dr.) Peter McCullough, he's kind of chilled out a little bit. But when you're attacked all the time. And you have all of this weaponized information against you and no respect... I mean, I'm Mr. Malone, you know, who claims to have invented... I mean, all this language, classic yellow journalism language to have it all deployed against me in absolutely no respect for what I actually did. They never cite all the patents. I mean, they just gloss over all that.

This is asymmetric warfare. We're basically in a guerrilla warfare situation and we got to play it smart. Because what I'm seeing is the other side is generally not very smart. They have a big hammer. They've got all kinds of resources, but they don't seem to be very bright.

I don't know what's going to happen in 2022. But there are some encouraging signs. You know, I try really hard to look for the silver lining and things and if this whole trauma that we've been through nationally and globally, leads to an awareness of how interconnected we are, and the need for healing and the need for community and the importance of integrity, and the importance of human dignity. That's kind of the perhaps naive pollyannish hopeful position in response to the Great Reset, is people use the term the Great Awakening.

[“Medical Experts Are Being Systematically Silenced” – Dr. Robert Malone](#)



What we're experiencing is a coordinated media warfare, the level of which we have never seen before. And I in my peers have never seen this level of coordinated propaganda.

[“Our government is out of control.” – Dr. Robert Malone](#)



Dr. Robert Malone, co-inventor of the mRNA technology explains how ten's of thousands of physicians & scientists are being canceled simply for questioning the official Covid-19 narrative. The text of the video is below in case YouTube removes the video.

Our government is out of control. And they are lawless. They completely disregard bioethics. They completely disregard the federal common rule. They have broken all the rules that I know of, that I've been trained on for years and years and years. These mandates are explicitly inconsistent with the Nuremberg Code. They're explicitly inconsistent with [the Belmont Report](#). They are flat-out illegal and they don't care. When you see this kind of decoupling of public policy from logic, then it causes thinking people to say "what the hell's going on here?" And then we go down the rabbit hole is that this? that? or the other thing?

One of the things in that spectrum of what's going on is that the emergency use authorizations are predicated on policy determinations that we're in a state of emergency. Those are now two years old. They're expiring. I'm not saying this is what's going on in their head. But there is another perverse incentive here to amplify the fear porn. And to amplify, if you buy into the hypothesis, that for some reason, there are incentives for the government to maintain the state of emergency, that is one explanation, given that those declarations are expiring, and will have to be re-implemented. Because if they're not, then all of this emergency use authorization vanishes like dust.

It's hard for me to reconcile the behavior of the government and its public health decisions with the data. Is it incompetence? Or is there some ulterior political motive? Or are they just dumb, stupid?

We're in an environment in which truth and consequences are fungible. This is modern media management and warfare. The truth is what those that are managing the trusted news initiative, say it is. In my case, I'm the president of the International Alliance of physicians and scientists. We're over 16,000 people from all over the world, physicians, and scientists. And you can find our website at globalCOVIDsummit.org. We are gobsmacked about what's going on. And we are shut down, censored, demeaned – fill in the blank – all over the world. And they're taking our licenses because we are speaking about these matters.

And you can label me however you want to label me. I don't care. I've done

what I've done in my career. I'm at a stage at 62 years old. I've got a farm. It's almost paid off. I raise horses. I love my wife. You know, I've been married a long time. My kids are both married. I got grandkids, man. Oh, I don't need this. There's this claim. I'm doing all this because I seek attention. Trust me. This is not a fun thing to be doing at this stage.

Physicians at [FLCCC](#) (Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance) in senior positions, Peter McCullough, people at the culmination of exceptional careers, Paul Merrick, an exceptional physician by any standards, run out of his hospital, demeaned, destroyed, actively attacked, trying to take his license. People are losing faith in the whole system. They're losing faith in the scientific enterprise. They're losing faith in our government.

I like to say when I give rallies, do you remember back a couple of years ago, when you felt sorry for the people in the People's Republic of China because their internet was filtered? They weren't allowed free speech. Their government told him what to do and think. Now, here we are.

And the next thing that we all feel sorry about is the social credit system.

Wake up, folks.

[The Global Elite Using Mass Formation Psychosis to Mislead the Public About Covid-19 and Vaccinations](#)



This is an excerpt of Joe Rogan interviewing Dr. Robert Malone, an American virologist and immunologist. He is the inventor of mRNA technology used in Pfizer and Moderna vaccines. He has since taken a stance that the vaccines will actually make COVID-19 infections worse and is warning people against them! Expect to find a lot of negative stuff about this man if you search for him on Google. The main tool the enemy uses to discredit the message is to discredit the messenger.

In this talk, Dr. Malone identifies a tool used by governments throughout history called Mass Formation Psychosis. Hitler used it to vilify the Jews for Germany's problems. Lenin and Stalin used it to murder Christians and

everyone who opposed them in their regimes. Mao used it during the Cultural Revolution to stir up university students to work for him and purge out anyone who opposed the revolution. Is the world in the midst of mass formation psychosis right now over how to treat a disease that the vast majority of the people who get it survive from? My wife Tess got Covid while she was in the Philippines and got well. She now has natural immunity far better than any vaccine can give her!

Dr. Robert Malone: How does this happen? How do we have this emergent phenomenon? The “how question,” right? And you know, behind the “how question” is the “why question” the how question of a third of the population basically being hypnotized and totally wrapped up in whatever Tony Fauci and the mainstream media feeds them, whatever CNN tells them is true.

Let me illustrate that. The other day, I was looking through New York Times' recent articles about Omicron and pediatrics in preparation for this and for making some slideshows. And I saw this headline in the New York Times. The text and the title was “How You Should Think About Children and Omicron.” He was blatantly saying “this is how you should think. We're going to tell you how to think.” okay, people kind of got to get that in their head. That's the world we're in right now. Now, what [Mattias Desmet has shared with us](#), brilliant insight, is another one of those, “Aha, now that part makes sense.” Which is that this comes from basically European intellectual inquiry into what the heck happened **in Germany, in the 20s, and 30s, you know, very intelligent, highly educated population, and they went barking mad.** And how did that happen? **The answer is mass formation psychosis**, when you have a society that has become decoupled from each other, and has free floating anxiety, and a sense that things don't make sense. We can't understand it. And then their attention gets focused by a leader or series of events, on one small point, just like hypnosis, **they literally become hypnotized and can be lead anywhere.** And one of the aspects of that phenomena is the people that they identify as their leaders, the ones typically that come in and say, “You have this pain, and I can solve it for you, I and I alone can fix this problem for you.” Then they will follow that person through him. It doesn't matter whether they lie to him, or whatever, the data are irrelevant. And furthermore, anybody who questions that narrative is to be immediately attacked, they are the other. This is central to mass formation psychosis. And this is what has happened. We have all those conditions.

If you remember back before 2019, everybody was complaining, the world doesn't make sense, blah, blah, blah. And we're all isolated from each other. We're all on our little tools. We're not connected socially anymore, except through social media. And then this thing happened and everybody focused on it. That is how mass formation psychosis happens. And that is what's happened here.

Now there are ways to get out of it. Mattias' recommendation is you got to get people to realize that what **we've got is a situation of global totalitarianism.** In his experience in Europe, making people realize is a bigger threat than the virus can cause a separation psychologically, in this fusion, this hypnosis that is happened. The problem is, then you're just

substituting a bigger boogeyman for the current one. And somebody else can come in and manipulate that the real problem, and it gets back to your core point. We're sick as a society, and we have to heal ourselves. And one of the things we have to do is come together, we have to recreate our social bonds. We have to buy into integrity, the importance of human dignity, and the importance of community. That's how we get out of this. And I think that this insight of Mattias Desmet, is really central to kind of making sense of all of this crazy.

We got a world in which the press is incentivized to push a storyline because they're all controlled by the same large funds that Pfizer is, and so as tech. I don't know how we're gonna get out of it. But it's got to start with us. All of us finding common ground.

[Discussion with a Lady Seeking Answers](#) [Why Flat Earth Memes are Pseudoscience](#)



The image above is a flat earth meme to give a false impression that a spinning earth should throw off water into space just like a spinning wet tennis ball. It's discussed in this article.

I don't take much time talking to people who are convinced the Earth is flat and who are trying to convince me of their pseudoscience. I really thought the lady in this conversation was sincere, but in the end, she rejected my arguments. Who's right? You be the judge.

The lady's comments are indented and in *italics*.

So my question about the globe is, how do you explain areas that should be "hidden behind the curve" when you can plainly see farther than that with the naked eye? And how does air pressure work, assuming you reject the dome

firmament. I'm genuinely still trying to figure out what I actually believe about all this.

It seems to me that you are repeating what you heard on Flat Earth videos. The fact is that you cannot see beyond the curvature of the earth. I am from Chicago and I cannot see the eastern shore of lake Michigan because of the curvature of the Earth unless I view it from an airplane. And just the fact that the higher you go in an airplane, the further away the horizon is, is evidence of a spherical Earth. From the air, I could see both the East and West shore of Lake Michigan when I flew over it.

There is no dome. Air pressure works because of the weight of the air due to gravity. We think of air as being very light but if you consider 20-30 miles of air over us all those molecules of air are going to be compressed near the Earth because of the weight of the miles and miles of molecules. That's how air pressure works. And the thing is this is not rocket science but simple science that people should be able to understand in high school. One Flat Earth video said the reason for gravity is because of air pressure. And a simple experiment proves that to be absolutely false. I saw a video of a room with all the air pumped out of it and a heavy metal weight was dropped from a height the exact same time a feather was dropped. And gravity pulled the heavy metal weight and the feather down and they both hit the ground at precisely the same time! You see Flat Earthers also deny the force of gravity. And yet they dare not violate the principle of gravity by walking off the roof of a 20 store building.

Flat Earth videos are very deceptive. Unless you really understand true science, you will take statements as fact that are totally untrue. Like one man who said with binoculars you can see things what the curvature of the earth is supposed to be hiding. That's totally false!

specious



adjective

spe·cious | \ 'spē-shəs  \

Definition

1 : having a false look of truth or genuineness : SOPHISTIC

// specious reasoning

2 : having deceptive attraction or allure

Merriam-Webster's definition of the word specious

Flat Earth videos are full of specious reasoning.

(She sent me this meme of a wet and spinning tennis ball.)



Of course, if you spin a wet tennis ball at 50 revolutions per second, water will fly off it, but not if it rotates only one revolution over a 24 hour period. (And gravity is not even a factor in this case!)

The average speed of the earth spinning is supposedly 1500km/hour so it's not too far off to spin a tennis ball quickly. A condescending attitude doesn't help your case.

Do you really think I am trying to be condescending? 1500km/hour at the equator means the earth is revolving only once every 24 hours. I would not consider that to be a spinning earth. Do you? You couldn't even turn a tennis ball that slow! It would drive me crazy if I tried because it would take 24 hours. To say the Earth is spinning is not only misleading, it is false in my understanding of the definition of the word spin. Spinning Earth is mind manipulation in my opinion. I am just trying to say the facts. I don't want to talk down to people. I love people. It breaks my heart to hear sincere Christians call Flat Earth the truth. I consider Flat Earth to be promoted by government-funded agents seeking to marginalize Christians. And sad to say they have done a good job because millions of Bible believers are deceived. No famous and respected man of God I know of teaches Flat Earth.

Please know that I am continuing this conversation with you because I thought you are sincere in wanting me to help you understand that arguments for Flat Earth are based on false assumptions and pseudoscience. If I thought otherwise I would end the conversation. It would only be a waste of both of our time if you are not really interested in understanding what I have to say.

If gravity pulls things to the ground then how does a cloud made up of water droplets stay afloat? Gravity is still a theory, as is much of what we call modern science. I do lean towards electromagnetism being the force over gravity as it can already be observed in animals such as the gecko with its toes. And it's my opinion that much of science is made up, evolution. So if they lie about one thing, why are they telling the truth about other things? Satan is the father of lies and the prince of this world.

Modern science has given us the technology and tools to communicate with each other on this platform, and now for a relatively low cost, the price of our monthly WiFi and/or phone bill. I find it highly ironic and even hypocritical that Flat Earthers mock modern science and yet use technology that science has provided to spread their Flat Earth pseudoscience! I'm not speaking about you but about Flat Earthers in general. I think you are repeating what you heard about clouds from a Flat Earth YouTube.

Clouds generally form, survive and grow in air that is moving upward. Rising air expands as the pressure on it decreases, and that expansion into thinner, high-altitude air causes cooling. That's why they stay up in the air. But they don't stay up forever and eventually fall down as rain due to gravity.

Yes, gravity is a theory, and the reason why Flat Earthers need to debunk the established theory of gravity with their own theories is because if the established theory of gravity is true, a Flat Earth cannot be possible. One of the theories of gravity on I heard from a Flat Earther is the earth is constantly being accelerated upward by a force. And yet other Flat Earthers say the Earth is motionless! So you see, Flat Earth doctrine is not consistent throughout the Flat Earth community.

Again I repeat, I am only taking time to share this with you because you told me you are sincerely seeking answers. If I thought you were convinced about Flat Earth, nothing I would say would change your mind, and I would be wasting my time.

Of course, there is pseudoscience in the academic world as well. As you clearly brought out, evolution is one of them. But the first real scientists such as Isaac Newton were men of God who believed in God Who designed the universe according to principles and laws, and they sought to discover those laws. That's what real science is about. It's the pursuit of knowledge. And the best scientists are those who hold the Genesis account of creation to be fact and especially those who believe in our Lord Jesus Christ.

Do you believe the moon reflects the light of the sun?

Yes, absolutely, I believe that. The moon doesn't generate its own light. It refracts the light of the Sun.


So how is it that when the sun and moon are both in direct line of each other in the sky it's not full? Today at 3 pm I could see both the moon and sun. The moon was only 1/2.

Phases of the moon are caused by the alignment of the earth, the moon, and

the sun. Like the earth, 1/2 of the moon's surface is always exposed to direct sunlight. However, depending on the moon's orientation with the earth during its 28 day orbit of the planet, a person on the surface of the earth will only be able to see a fraction of that exposed area, and the rest of the moon will appear to be dark or in shadow.

That's what they say. But when they are literally both in the sky there is nothing between them at all to cast a shadow.

But we see the shadow because of the moon's position in relation to the earth. It's all about the position or alignment of the sun, the Moon and the Earth. When the Earth's shadow from the Sun covers the moon that's when we have a lunar eclipse. When the shadow moves away the moon is full again. I think what I shared above about the alignment of the moon the Sun and the Earth is pretty clear. The moon like the Earth is always one half illuminated by the Sun but we only see part of that illumination at times because of our alignment with the Moon because the Moon orbits the earth. And the reason Flat Earthers want to debunk that is because it proves the existence of gravity.



This explains why we see the phases of the Moon. The image is not in scale of course. I think it is very clear and reasonable but of course, the Flat Earth people will reject it because they reject a spherical Earth and they reject the conventional theory of gravity.

One of my friends told me that in order to be convinced that the Earth is flat I have to watch at least 30 Flat Earth YouTubes. I told him, "no thank you I don't care to become brainwashed with false information." Some Flat Earthers told me they wavered back and forth at first but eventually entered the Flat Earth camp after seeing so many flat Earth videos. I think they are dangerous because they are so deceptive.

You keep saying your explanations are so simple, but you can't see that it's IMPOSSIBLE for something to cast a shadow if it is not between the light source and the object it is casting the shadow on... that's simple science. If the sun and moon are in a direct line in the sky, the Earth is not between them at all. I think I'm done with our conversation. I was looking for solid arguments and I'm not finding them. Thanks for your time.



Desklamp experiment showing how the phases of the moon work.

A simple experiment with my desk lamp and a model of the Earth shows the error of your reasoning. In this case, the model earth in the photo represents the Moon, the desk lamp represents the Sun, and I am standing figuratively on the earth taking the photo. From my position, though I can see the sun and the model simultaneously, part of the model is not illuminated by the light bulb. For you to say that is "impossible" tells me you are parroting the falsehoods of the Flat Earthers from a YouTube you watched.

I thought that you were sitting at the fence about Flat Earth but now I see you have gone to the other side. As I said, Flat Earth videos are deceptive and the reasoning on them is specious. The bottom line for me is exactly one half of the Earth is illuminated by the Sun at all times while the other half of the earth is in darkness. This is impossible if the Earth is flat. A

simple experiment with a light bulb in a dark room next to a wall or near the floor proves that.

Flat-Earthers show us images of a **lamp illuminating a dark wall** to demonstrate –incorrectly– how night happens on a flat Earth.




① Areas farther from the lamp get less light, but still receive light nonetheless ② they look dark because cameras cannot deal with extremely bright & dim things simultaneously ③ the lamp is visible from any points on the wall ④ at no point on the wall the lamp appears obscured by the wall. – Despite of their claims, this only demonstrates that **flat Earth cannot explain how night occurs.**



FlatEarth.ws/wall-lamp
Debunking Flat Earth Misconceptions

(End of discussion.)

[The Flat Earth Movement is a Religious Cult!](#)



I received the following comments from a new friend, Brother Nick.
Am I standing against the 'Flat-Earth' Religion? – **YES** – Because the '**Flat-Earth' Religious movement** is posing as a Christian Doctrine – which it IS NOT – AND there are a few followers of the 'Flat-Earth' Religion of Jeranism who say that **belief in the 'Flat-Earth' is AN ESSENTIAL DOCTRINE FOR SALVATION !**

– AND – It is my personal experience that those who do strongly believe in the 'Flat-Earth' Religion are very dedicated to following **"ANOTHER JESUS" – and "ANOTHER SPIRIT" – and "ANOTHER GOSPEL"**

– Just as the most Religious followers of Roman Catholicism – and Mormonism – and Mohammedanism believe and follow **"ANOTHER JESUS" – and "ANOTHER SPIRIT" – and "ANOTHER GOSPEL"**– which are Very Strongly Condemned in 2 Corinthians 11:3-4 & Galatians 1:6-9 [AV]

AND – It is also my personal experience that there are very few 'Christian' Ministries or individuals that are standing against the '**Flat-Earth' Religions Doctrines \ Beliefs \ Philosophies \ Agenda**

– (1) Because their belief and understanding of what 'Biblical CHRISTianity' truly is – it is practically non-existent

– (2) They are unwilling to be called names and branded as lost hereticks by the 'Flat-Earth' believers who have been thoroughly deceived by Satan – and his age olde very successful LIE:

"Yea, hath God said,"

See one of the Primary 'Flat-Earth' websites – **The Flat Earth Guide**

@ <http://www.theflatearthguide.com/>

It is also my Biblical understanding that "the only wise God" is causing a "lying spirit" ((1 Kings 22:19-23 & 2 Chronicles 18:18-22 ~ AV)) to come yet again

– SO that "the wheat" will be separated from "the chaff" ((Matthew 3:12 & Luke 3:17 ~ AV))

– So the Biblical Prophecy of Luke 21:34-36 [AV] has become very important to me:

"And take heed to yourselves,
lest at any time your hearts be overcharged with surfeiting,
AND drunkenness,
AND cares of this life,
AND so that day come upon you unawares.

For as a snare shall it come on all them that dwell on the face of the whole earth.

–
**Watch ye therefore,
AND PRAY ALWAYS,
that ye may be ACCOUNTED WORTHY to escape all these things that shall come to pass,**

AND to stand before the Son of man."

IF you are interested in seeing the 'Comments' of some of the 'Flat-Earth' believers – the most anti-biblical and dogmatic are by 'AussieDisciple' – and some of my responses

– go to the FIRST of the current 'series' of messages by Pastor Charles Lawson from Knoxville, TN

– **Noah's Flood #1: Obliquity of the Ecliptic** – Sunday School on Nov 19, 2017 @ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gm6WES75Kyk&t=2236s>

How very-very thankful I am unto **"the Lord God Almighty"** for "the certainty of the words of truth" written in the Biblical Promises of Isaiah 26:3-4 [AV]

:

"Thou wilt keep him in perfect peace, whose mind is stayed on thee: because he trusteth in thee.

Trust ye in the LORD for ever:

for in the LORD JEHOVAH is everlasting strength:"

~ AND in Nahum 1:7 [AV] :

"The LORD is good,

a strong hold in the day of trouble;

and he knoweth them that trust in him."

~ AND my PRAYER is always that which is written in Psalm 115:1 [AV] :

"Not unto us, O LORD, not unto us,

but unto thy name give glory,

for thy mercy,

and for thy truth's sake."

Bro. Nick