


# Many Questions Still Unanswered in Trump Shooting



Police snipers return fire after shots were fired at Trump during the rally.

Police snipers fire after shots fired at Trump.

This is a re-post from my friend [John Gideon Hartnett's website](#).

[Reposted from Health Impact News](#), written by **Brian Shilhavy**

As the whole world knows by now, former U.S. President Donald Trump was shot at a campaign rally in Pennsylvania yesterday (7/13/24).

The news is still shifting and changing, and of course descriptions of what happened will be highly biased based on who is reporting, and whether or not they are Trump supporters.

For anyone who might read what I am about to report here without being familiar with who I am, I encourage you to click on the [About Us page](#) where you will learn that I do not support EITHER political party, because most of what we read and see in politics today is pure theatrics, and insignificant, because politicians do not run this country. Wall Street and Silicon Valley do.

So without emotional attachments to the incident yesterday, I have found some things being reported that just don't add up, and there are still many questions that are not being answered.

So let me start with the biggest one first, which is why were there snipers sitting on a rooftop with guns pointed AWAY from Trump and allegedly on the shooter, Thomas Matthew Crooks, BEFORE any shots were heard in the numerous videos online now after this event?

Here is one copy of the video, and as you watch it and listen, see if you can determine if the police shoot at the alleged shooter AFTER Trump is shot, based on what you hear from gunshots in the video:

Check out this angle. Counter snipers see something and engage?  
[#shooter #snipers pic.twitter.com/LD3J651SkJ](#)

– Colagram (@coladoggxxx) [July 14, 2024](#)

The first question I have is, why did they allegedly shoot the alleged shooter AFTER Trump was shot, as is being reported, and not BEFORE?

The video clearly shows them having their sites on something that they then shoot at, and to my ears and eyes, I hear the first shots being fired at the same time that their guns recoil from shooting.

But if they already had the alleged shooter in their sites, why did they wait??

Not only have I not seen anyone answer this question, I have not even found anyone else ASKING this question.



[Image source](#)

My second question is, why is the “graphic image” of an alleged dead Thomas Matthew Crooks that was all over the media yesterday, now disappearing? The one I put above (on the left) is the only one I could still find today.


But I saw several yesterday, and today they have been removed from Twitter.

# WARNING: GRAPHIC photo released... FBI identifies Thomas Matthew Crooks, 20, as the shooter...

July 14, 2024 (9 hours ago) **revolver**

James O'Keefe has published a photo alleged to be of the shooter after he got smoked. Warning: The following photo is particularly graphic. Click [here](#).

Confusion arose earlier on the internet as a man claiming to be Thomas Matthew Crooks posted a video stating, "You got the wrong guy."



Could it be this image is being scrubbed from the Internet because most of the blood on his face is very clearly DRIED blood, suggesting he had already been dead for quite some time BEFORE that photo was shot?

I haven't seen anyone claim that it was removed because the photo was not real, or was the wrong person. They are just disappearing..

My next questions are also questions that I have not seen anyone else asking.

The FBI allegedly identified the shooter as 20-year-old Thomas Matthew Crooks shortly after the shooting *by his DNA*.

The FBI managed to identify Crooks **through his DNA** with officials saying he didn't have any ID on him when he was found. ([Source](#).)

Really?

So where was the lab that they used to determine this? And if they did run this DNA test in blazing speed (maybe there is a new "rapid fire" field test for DNA, just like the PCR tests? – *sarcasm*), why was this 20-year-old

allegedly in the FBI database of DNA?

Nothing has been reported that he had a previous criminal record where his DNA would have been taken and stored.

According to the [Law Offices of Corey I. Cohen](#), even though the FBI has been building a database of American's DNA for the past few years, as of September 2023 they still only had roughly 7% of the entire U.S. population cataloged. ([Source.](#))

Why did they allegedly have this 20-year-old's DNA in their database, and how did it get there??

And then there is the very strange recording of Trump's interaction with the Secret Service while he was on the ground that is clearly heard in the videos.

I found a transcript of it [on CNN](#):

**18:12:09:** Male agent 4: "Spare get ready, spare get ready."

**18:12:10:** Male agent 2: "You ready?"

**18:12:16-21:** Agents: "Shooter's down, shooter's down, are we good to move?"

**18:12:21:** Male agent: "Shooter's down. We're good to move."

**18:12:22:** Female agent: "Are we clear?"

**18:12:23:** Agents: "We're clear, we're clear, we're clear."

**18:12:23:** Male agent: "Let's move, let's move."

*Agents start to stand up, lifting Trump.*

**18:12:33:** Trump: "Let me get my shoes, let me get my shoes."

**18:12:35:** Male agent 2: "I got you sir, I got you sir."

**18:12:36:** Trump: "Let me get my shoes on."

**18:12:37:** Another male agent: "hold on, your head is bloody."

**18:12:39:** Male agent 2: "Sir we've got to move to the car sir."

**18:12:42:** Trump: "Let me get my shoes."

**18:12:43:** Female agent: "OK, [inaudible]."

**18:12:47:** Trump: "Wait, wait, wait" then fist pumps to crowd. He mouths "fight" three times – a move met with cheers by the crowd.

**18:12:54:** Agent: "We got to move, we got to move." ([Source.](#))

So as the Secret Service try to rush Trump out of there to safety, Trump is

more concerned about getting his shoes on, so much so that he delays their departure to safety 3 times?

Is that a normal reaction from someone whose life is in imminent danger??

Or is it someone who is more concerned with a good photo opportunity and how he looks?

Whatever it was, they now have a new campaign photo.



[JGH: I agree totally with what Brian Shilhavy has written here.]

(End of JGH's post)

### **My thoughts:**

I also questioned how the Secret Service put down the shooter so quickly after he fired his first shots. They already knew he was up there.

I've been following closely the attempted assassination on Donald Trump since I first heard it about 28 hours ago at the time of this post. It's fortunate that he was not badly wounded, but it's tragic that an innocent man was killed. But the government's response seemed to say they think the public has no knowledge of history. Assassination attempts are *not* something new in

American society. The first assassination attempt on a US president was in 1835 when Richard Lawrence tried to shoot Andrew Jackson. Four sitting presidents, Abraham Lincoln, James Garfield, William McKinley and John F. Kennedy were killed by assassins' bullets. Besides them there were assassination attempts that failed on Ronald Reagan and Theodore Roosevelt. There's documentation on this website [linking the Jesuits to the assassination of Lincoln](#), and I believe the Jesuits were connected to all the others as well.