

Teaching the 70 Weeks of Daniel Prophecy of Daniel 9:24-27 to Young People at a Church



On Sunday, September 29, I gave a one-hour Bible study about Daniel 9:24-27 to young people at a Church in the city of Allen, Northern Samar, the Philippines, called Cornerstone Baptist Church.



In the photo, it shows only 6 attendees besides me and my wife Tess, but more came a few minutes later totaling about 20 in all.

I covered all the basic points that I wrote in my article, [“Who is the “He” of Daniel 9:27 Who Confirms the Covenant with Many for One Week?”](#)

They were all largely ignorant of the false futurist interpretation of the 70th Week of Daniel as the final 7 years of the reign of the Antichrist on Earth. I told them that sooner or later they will probably hear this false

interpretation, and hopefully, they will reject it because they now know the true interpretation which all the Protestants believed and taught before John Nelson Darby and C.I. Scofield's futurist dispensational doctrines became popular in the 20th century.

I told him the false futurist interpretation of the 70th Week of Daniel was cooked up circa 1585 by a Jesuit priest, Francesco Ribera, to stop Protestants from calling the popes of Rome the Antichrist. The Protestants at the time rejected it but by and by it came to be taught by Protestants in the 19th century. When you think about it, the 19th century was the time false cults began to arise in the Church, especially Mormonism, Jehovah's Witnesses, and the Seventh Day Adventists.

I told them a church is only as good as the pastor of the church. I told them their pastor must be a good one who loves the Word of God because he let us tell his flock the truth about the 70th Week of Daniel!

I told them there is no way an honest true believer in Jesus Christ can come up with the futurist view that the "he" of Daniel 9:27 is the future Antichrist who arises to make a treaty with the nation of Israel for 7 years in the unknown future so that the Jews can rebuild their Temple to resume animal sacrifices so that the Antichrist can sit in it after breaking the covenant with the Jews so that he can reign as God on earth. Such a temple could not be the "holy place" of Matthew 24:15 because a rebuilt temple would represent a further rejection of the Blood of Christ, the Messiah which was shed for our sins!

I was taught the false futurist interpretation by a pastor in 1975. I was still young in Christ and had no reason to doubt what the pastor taught. It was finally in 2014 I came to know the truth about the 70th Week of Daniel.

I also talked about differences in Bible translations and why modern English translations of Daniel 9:27 are not correct. They are based on bad manuscripts. Unfortunately this is also true of the Tagalog language Bible they use. It's similar to the NIV. I found a better translation in Tagalog called Ang Biblia. It's similar to the KJV. Next time I see them I'll recommend it to them.