

## [New Law passed by U.S. Senate: The military to detain anti-government protesters in American cities](#)



Young people demonstrating against Wall Street

On December 4, 2011, a friend sent me a shocking article:

[http://www.naturalnews.com/034291\\_SB\\_1867\\_war\\_on\\_terror.html](http://www.naturalnews.com/034291_SB_1867_war_on_terror.html)

“That U.S. Senators would knowingly and willfully attempt to pass a bill that legalizes the indefinite detainment, torture and killing of American citizens **with no due process whatsoever** – and on American soil! – is nothing less than a traitorous betrayal of the once-free American people. These are, our founding fathers would have said, acts of war against the People. They reveal the insidious plan to put in place a **legal framework to end the Bill of Rights**, murder protesters, and overrun America with total police state brutality.” (Quote from the web link above.)

I believe this is in further fulfilment of [Pastor David Berg's prediction](#) in **1972!**

The artwork from a publication by David Berg drawn in early 1974 depicts exactly what is happening *today!* The people on the top represent Wall Street, big business, and the government that supports the rich. Notice the young people are being confronted not by police, but by *soldiers*, the U.S. Military!!

Former President George W. Bush once said,

“Stop throwing the Constitution in my face,” Bush screamed back.  
“It’s just a goddamned piece of paper!” Ref:  
<http://www.rense.com/general69/paper.htm>

Apparently the U.S. Senate agrees with him. And what exactly does the U.S. Constitution say about American citizens under arrest after being accused of a crime?

“In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.”—Six Amendment to the Constitution.

New Senate bill 1867 seems to be diametrically against the 6th Amendment.

What do you folks think?