

The Masonic Christ and the British Royal Family



Queen Elizabeth meets the so called Vicar of Christ

The Queen meets the so-called "Vicar of Christ" for the first time.

The late Queen Elizabeth II was loved around the world. She may indeed have been a good person when judged by the standards of morality of the world at large. Some people, however, have accused the Queen of atrocious crimes! Dr. John Coleman says the Queen was the head of an elite conspiratorial ruling body he called "The Committee of 300". We shouldn't go by the testimonial of a single person because the Bible tells us we need at least two witnesses to confirm a matter. I think Coleman was probably a disinformation agent. He received no opposition or persecution for his message, and his book, [CONSPIRATORS' HIERARCHY: THE STORY OF THE COMMITTEE OF 300](#) [can be downloaded from the CIA's official website!](#) LOL!

Deuteronomy 19:15 One witness shall not rise up against a man for any iniquity, or for any sin, in any sin that he sinneth: at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the mouth of three witnesses, shall the matter be established.

This article, therefore, is not accusing the Queen of any wrongdoing, but to question whether she really knew the Lord Jesus as her Savior and as the Son of God, the Word that became flesh.

Nearly all my friends believe that the Queen was a real Christian in spite of the fact she was honored by the entire mostly Christ-rejecting world! I think it's important to have a balanced view of who she really was.

Luke 6:26 Woe unto you, when all men shall speak well of you! for so did their fathers to the false prophets.

I definitely don't think any of her children are Christians! King Charles is a globalist who works with the World Economic Forum and is buddies with the evil Klaus Schwab. He pushes the false narrative of human-induced climate change. Princess Margaret was an alcoholic and a hedonist. Her main goal in life appeared to be one of only seeking pleasure. Prince Andrew was accused of pedophilia. [Prince Edward's sexuality has been questioned](#) throughout the years. And her husband, Prince Philip, is not known as being a very nice guy.

The rest of this article is a partial repost of an article on <https://crossroad.to> entitled:

[Freemasonry and the British Monarchy Why the Queen of England Pretends to be a Christian](#) by Jeremy James

It was written before the Queen passed away.

The Queen as Supreme Governor of the Church of England

The Elite are not atheists or agnostics, as they often pretend. **Neither are they Christian**, as some of their most prominent members would have us believe. Rather, they are profoundly **committed to the old religion**. This is the **ancient system of pantheism, Gnosticism and idolatry** which **rejects the LORD God of the Bible** and is generally identified in the public mind with the worship of pagan deities. In short, the people who rule this world are not simply indifferent to Christianity, but reject it completely.

Officially, the Queen is a member of the Church of England, an Anglican convocation similar to the Lutheran church in Germany or the Episcopalian in the United States. She was baptized at five weeks by the Archbishop of York in Buckingham Palace on 26 May, 1926. Under British law, as reigning monarch, she is the Supreme Governor of the Church of England. All members of the British royal family are officially affiliated with the Anglican Church, but not one of them has ever been known to testify to the atoning power of the blood of Christ. Indeed, it is doubtful whether any influential member of the British ruling class is a Bible-believing Christian.

The Anglican Church is the official state religion or Established Church of England. **Having been under the covert control of the ruling elite almost from its inception**, it is the principal means by which **true Christianity in England has been suppressed**. Through its empty formalism and shallow doctrines, its seasonal ritual and genteel pageantry, it offers a comforting substitute for true Biblical Christianity. In order to keep the commoners and lower classes in thrall, the nobility themselves profess to be Anglican and participate in certain annual services.

Behind the scenes, however, the **ruling elite have for centuries been freemasons, atheists or Unitarians**. They all reject the deity of Christ. The **Anglican church** has long been primarily **a system of social control** whereby the rich and powerful maintain their privileged positions and at the same time imbue the masses with the mindless patriotism that is needed to run an Empire.

Paganism and the Royal Family

Prince Philip, the husband of Queen Elizabeth, was **initiated into Freemasonry at Navy Lodge No 2612, London, on December 5, 1952:**

“Present at the initiation were the Earl of Scarborough, grand master...and Geoffrey Fisher, **archbishop of Canterbury**. The lodge has

many ties with the royal family as King Edward VII served as its first master in 1896 when he was Prince of Wales. **King George VI...served as master when he was Duke of York.** In 1928 H.R.H. **Duke of Kent...was master and later became grand master of the Grand Lodge of England.**" – William Denslow, *10,000 Famous Freemasons*, 1957.

There is more than enough information on record to prove beyond all doubt that the British royal family is not Christian but pagan. The Prince of Wales – the Queen's eldest son [Charles] – is a well-known advocate of **New Age philosophy** and **paranormal science**. Numerous members of the royal family down the years, including Queen Victoria, attended **séances** where professional mediums channeled 'messages' from demonic source. They have also availed routinely of the services of **psychic healers** and paranormal advisors. Prince Charles himself was counseled for many years by the shamanic fantasist, Laurens van der Post.

We will shortly demonstrate that the Queen too is a non-believer. Her membership of the Anglican church is immaterial. What matters is whether or not she openly professes that Jesus Christ is the Son of God and that she has accepted him into her heart as Lord and Savior. The evidence clearly shows that she has not.

It may seem strange that **the official head of the Church of England is a not a Christian**, but it is doubtful whether any senior cleric in the Church of England is a Christian. It is virtually impossible to advance into a position of influence in the English system of social-political control without being a Freemason, a Unitarian or someone who has otherwise demonstrated his or her rejection of both the deity of Christ and the authority of Scripture. There are bound to be exceptions, of course, but they are few and have little practical bearing on how the system operates.

For example, we have already noted that the Archbishop of Canterbury was present at the induction of Prince Philip into Freemasonry, while the current incumbent, Rowan Williams – like each of his predecessors during the 20th century – rejects the account of Creation given in the Bible, and has even expressed the view that it should not be taught in schools as an alternative to Evolution.

In Her Own Words

Instead of drawing on secondary sources or informed reports, we will confine ourselves to the Queen's own words. Among the best primary sources of evidence about her real beliefs is the set of Christmas Messages that she has delivered annually since her coronation in 1952.

Given that these messages are delivered on a major feast day in the Christian calendar, by a professing Christian, to a primarily Christian audience, one would expect at least some of them to give a clear endorsement of her Christian faith. There are 59 messages in all, comprising a total of 43,000 words, and yet **nowhere** in any of them **dowe find a clear reference to the deity of Christ**. In fact, as we shall shortly see, we find the very opposite,

namely a consistent portrayal of Jesus as nothing more than a well-meaning, peace-loving idealist who wanted to make this world a better place.

It is truly remarkable that, over a sixty year period, the official head of the Anglican Church has failed to make even a single statement that would demonstrate her belief in the most basic doctrine of Christianity, namely the deity of Christ.

Before we proceed to examine her words in detail, we need to consider the general attitude of the British aristocracy toward the person of Christ. As we have seen, this has been **shaped in the main by Freemasonry, Gnosticism, and Unitarian** theology, all of which deny his deity, his substitution atonement, and the inerrancy of the Bible. They regard him merely as a good man who had a talent for healing and preaching.

The **Unitarian/Masonic Christ** is very **similar to the New Age Christ**, a guru-type figure possessing a more elevated or spiritual state of consciousness than the average man.

For centuries Freemasons have stealthily but aggressively promoted a humanistic message which they express as two principles with universal application – **the brotherhood of man** and the fatherhood of God. By this they mean that all men, **regardless of their religious affiliation, are of equal spiritual standing**, both in relation to each other and in relation to God. As it happens these same beliefs also figure prominently in the New Age movement.

Taken together these principles are proclaiming that the Bible is wrong when it makes an absolute distinction between believers and unbelievers, between those who are saved and those who are lost. Freemasonry and the New Age movement completely reject original sin, the fallen state of man, and the need for redemption through the saving blood of Christ. In their view, **man is not lost but continually evolving through experience into a higher spiritual state.**

The Queen's definition of Christ

From a study of her Christmas Messages we find that the **Queen's portrayal of Christ** differs little, if at all, from the **Masonic/New Age Christ**, namely, a holy man – and nothing but a man – who tried to teach the people of his time the importance of kindness and tolerance, that we are all one human family, and that we should live together in peace and harmony. This definition places him on a par with men like Mahatma Gandhi and Albert Schweitzer, Bishop Tutu and the Dalai Lama. And as flattering as this might seem to the average atheist or humanist, it is an appalling distortion of reality.

The first point to note is that, although she was (and still is) the official head of the Established church in England, the Queen made no reference whatever to Christ by name in her annual Christmas Messages during the first 20 years of her reign! Not one. Why not search the file yourself to confirm this? A complete compilation of the Queen's Christmas messages may be found at: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/93046264/Christmas-Messages-by-Queen-Elizabeth-I>

I.

The same material is also available in serial form on her official website:<http://www.royal.gov.uk>. Perhaps her advisors realized that such an attitude was bound eventually to attract adverse attention. In any event, she finally made her first reference in 1973:

"Christ taught love and charity and that we should show humanity and compassion at all times and in all situations."

When read in context, this otherwise innocent statement can be seen to sit neatly with the Masonic brotherhood-of-man philosophy:

"I believe that Christmas should remind us that the qualities of the human spirit are more important than material gain. Christ taught love and charity and that we should show humanity and compassion at all times and in all situations... A lack of humanity and compassion can be very destructive – how easily this causes divisions within nations and between nations. We should remember instead how much we have in common and resolve to give expression to the best of our human qualities, not only at Christmas, but right through the year." (1973)

Two years later she made her second reference to Christ, but this time she didn't even bother to refer to him by name:

"We are celebrating a birthday – the birthday of a child born nearly 2,000 years ago, who grew up and lived for only about 30 years. That one person, by his example and by **his revelation of the good which is in us all**, has made an enormous difference to the lives of people who have come to understand his teaching." (1975)

Note that the teaching of Christ is described as "his revelation of **the good which is in us all**". But this is completely false! The Bible teaches the very opposite. Christ came to save man from his wretched, fallen state and not to reveal "the good that is in us all" – since the Bible clearly teaches that there is none! ["I know that in me (that is, in my flesh) nothing good dwells..." *Romans 7:18*]

It is ironic that, in the same breath, she refers to people "who have come to understand his teaching", and yet she doesn't seem to understand it herself.

Note the blasphemous incorporation of the **Ark of the Covenant**. Both through his words and through his actions, Christ confirmed the basic message that runs through the entire Bible, namely, that man in his natural state [apart from Christ] is a fallen, sin-filled being, blindly headed for eternal damnation. So which philosophy is the Queen actually citing, that of the

Bible or that of Freemasonry?

Two years later she made another brief reference to the person whom her words were meant to honour. This too was in the 'holy man' mould:

"Christians have the compelling example of the life and teaching of Christ and, for myself, I would like nothing more than that my grandchildren should **hold dear his ideals** which have helped and inspired so many previous generations." (1977)

Note that **it is his ideals that she holds dear –not his deity, his resurrection, or his redemption of mankind.**

The Masonic Parliament of Man



The Duke of Kent, Grand Master of the Freemasons of England and Wales since 1967. Note the Masonic apron, which is always made of lamb's skin, a blasphemous parody of the Lamb (Christ).

Following a brief reference to "the birth of the child who transformed history and gave us a great faith" (1979), she quoted liberally in 1980 from a peculiar poem by Tennyson which referred to "the" Christ. This is highly

suggestive since Tennyson was also the author of a poem, *Locksley Hall*, which was well known (and well understood) by the luminaries of Victorian England.

They knew that Tennyson rejected Christianity and drew his inspiration exclusively from pagan authors. These included the pantheist, Baruch Spinoza, and the eminent occult philosopher, **Giordano Bruno**. He was also a member of an exclusive secret society at Cambridge, known as the **Cambridge Apostles**, which was audaciously non-Christian in outlook.

Locksley Hall contains one of the most celebrated statements of the Masonic vision of a New World Order:

For I dipt into the future, far as human eye could see,
Saw the Vision of the world, and all the wonder that would be;
Heard the heavens fill with shouting, and there rain'd a ghastly dew
From the nations' airy navies grappling in the central blue; ...
Till the war-drum throbb'd no longer, and the battle-flags were furl'd
In the Parliament of man, the Federation of the world.

He envisaged a parliament of man, a **federation of the world**. These words have been quoted many times by top Freemasons and key players in the long-range plan to create a unified World Government, a parliament of man. Tennyson's poem was greatly admired by leading architects of the New World Order, **Winston Churchill** and **Harry Truman**, while **Andrew Carnegie**, one of the richest men in America in the 19th century and a high-ranking member of the Ruling Elite, wrote in *Triumphant Democracy* (1893):

"The Parliament of Man and the Federation of the World have already been hailed by the poet, and these mean a step much farther in advance of the proposed reunion of Britain and America."

Note his final words – a step much farther in advance of the proposed reunion of Britain and America.

Reunion of Britain and America? Yes, and more, as Carnegie says, a step much farther in advance of that. So when a reigning British monarch quotes a poem by Tennyson, we should pay close attention. Here are the verses she quoted (from *Ring Out, Wild Bells*):