Pastor Chuck Baldwin’s Views of the Attempted Trump Assassination

Chuck Baldwin’s analysis of the attempted assassination of Donald J. Trump.

Views Behind the Attempted Assassination of Donald Trump

Views on Donald Trump, his shooter, Thomas Matthew Crooks, and Senator J.D. Vance, a man raised an evangelical Protestant who turned to embrace the Roman Catholic Church!

Martin Luther’s Reply to the Papal Bull of Leo X

Exsurge Domine (Latin: Arise O Lord) is a papal bull issued on 15 June 1520 by Pope Leo X. It was written in response to the teachings of Martin Luther which opposed the views of the papacy. It censured forty one propositions extracted from Luther's 95 theses and subsequent writings, and threatened him with excommunication unless he recanted within a sixty day period commencing upon the publication of the bull in Saxony and its neighboring regions. Luther refused to recant and responded instead by composing polemical tracts lashing out at the papacy and by publicly burning a copy of the bull on 10 December 1520. (From Wikipedia http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exsurge_Domine

I have heard that a bull against me has gone through the whole earth before it came to me, because being a daughter of darkness it feared the light of my face. For this reason and also because it condemns manifestly the Christian articles I had my doubts whether it really came from Rome and was not rather the progeny of that man of lies, dissimulation, errors, and heresy, that monster John Eck. The suspicion was further increased when it was said that Eck was the apostle of the bull. Indeed the sty1e and the spittle all point to Eck. True, it is not impossible that where Eck is the apostle there one should find the kingdom of Antichrist. Nevertheless in the meantime I will act as if I thought Leo not responsible, not that I may honor the Roman name, but because I do not consider myself worthy to suffer such high things for the truth of God. For who before God would be happier than Luther if he were condemned from so great and high a source for such manifest truth? But the cause seeks a worthier martyr. I with my sins merit other things. But whoever wrote this bull, he is Antichrist. I protest before God, our Lord Jesus, his sacred angels, and the whole world that with my whole heart I dissent from the damnation of this bull, that I curse and execrate it as sacrilege and blasphemy of Christ, God's Son and our Lord. This be my recantation, Oh bull, thou daughter of bulls.

Having given my testimony I proceed to take up the bull. Peter said that you should give a reason for the faith that is in you, but this bull condemns me from its own word without any proof from Scripture, whereas I back up all my assertions from the Bible. I ask thee, ignorant Antichrist, dost thou think that with thy naked words thou canst prevail against the armor of Scripture? Hast thou learned this from Cologne and Louvain? If this is all it takes,

just to say, “I dissent, I deny,” what foo1, what ass, what mole, what log could not condemn? Does not thy meretricious brow blush that with thine inane smoke thou withstandest the lightning of the divine Word? Why do we not believe the Turks? Why do we not admit the Jews? Why do we not honor the heretic if damning is all that it takes? But Luther, who is used to bellum, is not afraid of bullam . I can distinguish between inane paper and the omnipotent Word of God.

They show their ignorance and bad conscience by inventing the adverb “respectively.” My articles are called “respectively some heretical, some erroneous, some scandalous,” which is as much as to say, “We don’t know which are which.” 0h meticulous ignorance! I wish to be instructed, not respectively, but absolutely and certainly. I demand that they show absolutely, not respectively, distinctly and not confusedly, certainly and not probably, clearly and not obscurely, point by point and not in a lump, just what is heretical. Let them show where I am a heretic, or dry up their spittle. They say that some articles are heretical, some erroneous, some scandalous, some offensive. The implication is that those which are heretical are not erroneous, those which are erroneous are not scandalous, and those which are scandalous are not offensive. What then is this, to say that something is not heretica1, not scandalous, not false, but yet is offensive? So then, you impious and insensate papists, write soberly if you want to write. Whether this bull is by Eck or by the pope, it is the sum of all impiety, blasphemy, ignorance, impudence, hypocrisy, lying — in a word, it is Satan and his Antichrist.

Where are you now, most excellent Charles the Emperor, kings, and Christian princes? You were baptized into the name of Christ, and can you suffer these Tartar voices of Antichrist? Where are you, bishops? Where, doctors? Where are you who confess Christ? Woe to all who live in these times. The wrath of God is coming upon the papists, the enemies Of the cross of Christ, that all men should resist them. You then, Leo X, you cardinals and the rest of you at Rome, I tell you to your faces: “If this bull has come out in your name, then I will use the power which has been given me in baptism whereby I became a son of God and co-heir with Christ, established upon the rock against which the gates of hell cannot prevail. I call upon you to renounce your diabolical blasphemy and audacious impiety, and, if you will not, we shall all hold your seat as possessed and oppressed by Satan, the damned seat of Antichrist; in the name of Jesus Christ, whom you persecute. But my zea1 carries me away. I am not yet persuaded that the bull is by the pope but rather by that apostle of impiety, John Eck….

If anyone despises my fraternal warning, I am free from his blood in the last judgment. It is better that I should die a thousand times than that I should retract one syllable of the condemned articles. And as they excommunicated me for the sacrilege of heresy, so I excommunicate them in the name of the sacred truth of God. Christ will judge whose excommunication will stand. Amen.

Source: Roland H. Bainton, Here I Stand: A Life of Martin Luther (Hendrickson Classic, 1950)(pp. 153-155).

Many Questions Still Unanswered in Trump Shooting

Why did the Secret Service agent allegedly shoot the alleged shooter AFTER Trump was shot, as is being reported, and not BEFORE?

Death by Medicine – Truth About Pharma Drugs, by Gary Null



I first posted this article about drugs and medicine in 2015, but when I came across it the other day, I saw the video was no longer available. I found another copy of the video on YouTube and so I'm re-posting it today.

If the video is not visible on your phone, you can open it by clicking on this link.

Transcription.

(Each paragraph is a different person talking.)

What do we need? Health care! When do we need it? Now!

We are really in a dire situation. Republican or Democrat, it steams along. This government is dominated by the pharmaceutical industry. And if it wants a drug approved, it will get it, regardless of the consequences to the American people.

When 50% of America has a chronic disease, something's wrong. It means we've failed at our health care system. It means we have a disease care system.

I don't think the public has begun to grasp the stranglehold of the pharmaceutical industry and the health insurance industry and the for-profit hospital industry and the nursing homes.

Drugs that have been said to be too unsafe to approve by the FDA's own medical reviewers have been approved over their objections and entered into the market, causing the very harms they predicted.

The FDA, in my opinion, has probably killed more Americans in this country than all the wars that the U.S. has ever fought combined.

The drugs themselves cause death, disability, further symptoms, which typically are further treated with other drugs.

As an ethical issue, they never think, gee, this is going to kill people, I shouldn't do it.

The pharmaceutical companies have one objective. That is not the health and safety of the individuals in this country, but the almighty dollar, the bottom line, making a buck.

They're going to become chronically ill. They're going to become chronically depressed. That's the expected end, and that's why they will need to be on these drugs for life. We're not killing them quickly, but we're taking their lives away.

The FDA approves unsafe medical devices, unsafe prescription drugs that harm Americans, and they spend any extra energy they have after approving drugs to go after natural product manufacturers to make sure that there is no competition to their client, the pharmaceutical industry.

One of the oldest activities in the world is eliminating economic competitors. Food, clean, safe, natural food, and high-potency nutrients are the economic competitors to expensive, dangerous, patentable drugs. It's a war being fought in your body.

We need to have a constitutional amendment. We need health freedom. We should have the right to choose the kind of care that we want.

It's a corporate zeitgeist. It's much more profitable to fix things than to prevent things. It's much more profitable to chalk up hospital stays than to help people stay out at night.

Unfortunately, our Congress has not supported We the People. It's really looking at trying to support corporate America.

We have to rethink our entire philosophical underpinnings. Actually, in a way, it means going back to the basic democratic principles that were set up when we started this experiment as a nation.

We have a government at present that every member of which has sworn to uphold the Constitution. We are in deep crisis, not just for our poor health care, but for our democracy itself.

We have to have a system of medicine where our patients are not being killed by the treatments. And unfortunately, today, they are being killed in such huge numbers that modern medicine, as practiced in America today, is a crime against humanity.

How can you possibly, as a human being, be willing to sacrifice others' lives, particularly the lives of youth, in order to placate financial interests?

We have a system of medicine that's broken that by itself is killing at least 700,000 people a year. Some people are dying since they don't have health insurance, but what about the number of people who are dying because of the current system?

All the industrialized democratic countries have found a way to have universal health care sponsored and guaranteed by the government. $2.3 trillion, one-sixth of our whole gross domestic product, $7,200 for every person in this country, and twice as much as the next three countries in the world, Switzerland, France, Germany. We spend $7,200 per capita. They spend $3,200. All the measurements that we use to define good or bad in a health system, we are never near the top. Sometimes we're remarkably close to third-world nations.

This is not a privilege. It is a right that every human being as a human being has, and the health care system has to be organized in such a way that that is the guiding premise and not the transformation of health care into a commodity to be sold and a profit to be made on it.

Like many people, I would love to see some form of universal health care that's focused on health and care, that's focused on real prevention, but we're not getting that. Because of the poisons that are being injected into people, even though they're called therapies, because of the disinformation that is given to patients by our drug companies and our misinformed physicians, the number of people dying from that dwarfs the numbers of Americans who, sadly, are dying from lack of care.

Now, my daughter went to medical school 30 years ago and was taught as an incoming medical student that 50% of hospital admissions are due to iatrogenic diseases, doctor-caused diseases. In other words, the health care system was admitting, in training new doctors, that half the health care problems that they were going to be facing were going to be caused by

themselves.

Our culture is replete with chronic disease. Every chronic disease is a massive profit producer for the people who produce drugs, every single one of them. These things exist not so much because they were allowed to exist as because they were created. You can call it ADD, you can call it obesity, whatever it is, when you have people who go from the age of, let's say, 15 to the age of 65 in a chronic, depressed health situation. Massive amounts of profit are made off each one of those individuals.

About 100 years ago, things were really beginning to change in the United States. We were changing from an agrarian economy to an industrial economy, and these titans of industry really wanted to be able to control not only the United States, but the world financial system as a whole. When the Rockefellers took over the allopathic medicine, the Rockefellers were also in charge of the oil industry and the chemical industry, and they also made an alliance with a huge German concern called IG Pharma, which was a big chemical industry in Germany. So there is an interplay between what was called the money power from the early part of the 20th century, who held board positions in many of these corporations.

When the Rockefellers took over the medical schools, there were many types of medical education in the United States. There were homeopathic doctors, and there were naturopathic doctors who were using natural medicines to heal, and they were having very good outcomes. Once the Rockefellers took over the system, they closed down those other schools, and they only promoted the sale of their drugs, they promoted surgery, and they promoted radiation.

Well, there's a kind of sociological theory about paradigm shift, and it goes something like this. You have, let us say, the medical community. All the doctors in the United States, they are a community, and they have a kind of mythology, which is supported by everybody believing the same thing. When you go to medical school, you're indoctrinated with this kind of religious dogma. So if the pharmaceutical industry profits by selling medicine, but the industry profits by selling more and more of them, then the industry pays doctors to prescribe them and so on. We see a mafia-like monster has afflicted this community. So the Hippocratic Oath, the ordinary altruism of people in a community, is subverted by this capitalistic pressure.

This is the problem with not only the medicine people, but in all spheres of science. There is real vested interest in keeping the imperfect science because too much has been invested, and large systems have developed, just like this $2.7 trillion part of the economy that health care costs represent in this country. So this investment makes it the most difficult to change anything, especially to change the basic metaphysics. Because if we did that, then it would turn out that the emperor is largely naked, and we don't really need this expansive system.

Medicine's invaluable. You'd never throw it away. I have the highest respect for the technologies, for the drugs when they're used appropriately, and for the doctors themselves to want to do the right thing. But that's not the whole story. That's only what you use when it's necessary. Those fantastic

microsurgeries that are done by robots, the heart transplants and kidney transplants, all those things that we're doing, they're magical. Why would you throw it away? It's just that it's not the first thing we should use. It's the last thing we should use. And if we come to our senses, we look at lifestyle as the medicine that has always been the medicine we should have and certainly the medicine of the future, we're going to be a healthy country.

Now, if I was in trouble and I needed allopathic care, I wouldn't hesitate to get it. But there are many paths to health care, and a comprehensive approach to health care must include integrative medicine, a complementary, alternative approach to medicine that would look at all the options. There are as many paths to health as there are individuals, but we just focus on one path in particular, and that is the allopathic practice. But practitioners will tell you that they're often limited in their means to treat many types of ailments.

We clearly need health care, there's no question, but the health care that we need is more on the acute traumatic health care, where we have services that are in phenomenal surgeons and neurosurgeons and orthopedic surgeons that can address the traumas that result from being involved in accidents that cannot be altered by traditional lifestyle approaches.

So if we live in a culture and in a context that is forever handing us fears and handing us diseases and pathologies that we need to worry about, we begin to incorporate those into our worldview and into our model of ourselves. Many illnesses that we see today have kind of been trumped up or exaggerated in ways that promote the pharmaceutical industry or promote various ways in which there's profit built in, rather than the kind of turning of the table and starting to look at social profit and social welfare.

It's Christmas Eve, and we're so excited. He's made it to 50. Hope you make it another 50, Dave. We love you.

Meet David and Cheryl Knight. They live in Washington State, and for over a decade, Cheryl has been plagued by the side effects of prescription drugs. Right now, though, it's Christmas 2007. The Knights are about to enter a Kofkin (???) nightmare, one that will kill David's father and put Cheryl into a mental hospital, all due to prescription drugs.

And as for me, this is the year of the myofascial pain lockup. Yes, I'm seeing my physical therapist three times a week. We've made some progress, but we're still working on trying to relax muscles.

We will hear their story in this documentary.

Our life has turned to absolute hell on wheels.

There's this evil force in this room.

My wife was prescribed Klonopin and Ativan for chronic pain and muscle spasms. It's been about eight months, and we are experiencing a living hell. Doctors are not willing to help. The more I get on the Internet, the more I

read, the more nauseated I become. So we are trying to take her off here at home. She is, quote, off the Klonopin at this point. Of course, whether she's still having withdrawals from that is anybody's guess. And we have tried to taper the Ativan about one-fourth of the dose.

I'm going to document this event. I'm going to film her, and I'm going to show you what this is like to go through. If for some reason we as a family don't make it through, you'll know why.

One friend of mine went to Harvard Business School, and on his very first day in class, they were given a test case that you're a drug company, and a couple of people have died from your over-the-counter drug. What do you do? Do you recall the drug? Do you calculate the damages? What? And my friend, who didn't know yet how things operated in this school, immediately said, recall the product. And everybody laughed in the room, and the teacher said, have you calculated how many lives you can afford to lose before you need to recall?

When someone dies by iatrogenic causes, it means that the health care system itself is actually the cause of the death.

Too many people are being harmed by the products that the industry is putting forth. When a drug is put on the market, they call it a cost-benefit ratio. How much harm is it going to do against how much good it's going to do? But the question is, how many people do we have to harm in order to get the good?

Pharmaceutical companies lobby Congress directly. They lobby decision-makers and influence policy decisions. The pharmaceutical industry doesn't stop there. The pharmaceutical industry, also through direct-to-consumer advertising, creates a demand for their products with oftentimes misleading ads.

When you get a delusion running, when society starts embracing the story that there are these chemical imbalances, and then they start saying, well, kids have them, teenagers have them, and they start believing that these drugs are fixing something wrong, then the story just continues to get more and more out of control as financial forces try to keep on expanding the market for those drugs. So what do we end up with? We end up with people at major academic centers trying to tell us that 2-year-olds and 3-year-olds can be diagnosed, quote, with bipolar disorder and can benefit from being put on antipsychotic medications. And no other society's doing it.

Everybody else thinks it's ludicrous. No one believes really it's going to end up well. We're killing the kids. We're not killing them quickly, but we're taking their lives away. And that's what we should admit we're doing, and we're doing it for capitalistic reasons. It's a capitalist story. It's a story about expanding the market for psychiatric drugs.

One thing that a lot of parents don't want to admit is just what a nuisance kids are. It gets in the way of the life that they want to live and lead and now if you're from the working class and you're poor, both parents are having to work, and sometimes more than one job, each of these parents. And so it's

very difficult to be able to do the job of raising the kids. And the pharmaceutical companies and the doctors have found a convenient way to prescribe pills so that these people can continue to work lousy jobs for little pay where they're overworked, they don't get paid for overtime, etc., etc., and don't worry about your kids because they're okay. They're properly medicated. They'll behave themselves.

One of the problems with the DSM (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders) is so much jockeying in terms of getting new disorders into the manual, in part because the manual has an extraordinary, I think unmerited authority. Something like passive-aggressive personality disorder is characterized by dawdling over doing laundry and groceries. I mean factors like that are actually published in that scientific quote-unquote manual. They have listings under oppositional disorder for children including negativistic, disobedient, and ineffective. I mean that's actually there. Ineffective, as a child, what does that mean?

Drug companies literally monitor what is taught, and influence, if not control, what is taught in medical schools. Drug companies also sponsor much of medical school research. The research budget is derived from drug companies. Many, many years ago, the federal government used to fund research at medical schools, but now more and more of that research is actually funded directly by medical schools themselves.

Big pharmaceutical companies are not so much eager to cure people because then they won't need their medicines, but to keep people alive and slightly sick, but in a curable way. This is at least the best way. If they die, they're out of the picture. If they're healthy, they're out of the picture. They have to be slightly sick so that they constantly will need medication to make themselves feel at least healthier.

Today we have Big Pharma, and they say all hope is in this little pill, and if you take this, you're going to be well. Well, the fact of the matter is that while America's tremendous consumption of pharmaceuticals occurs in quantum leaps every year, the fact of the matter is that our health care costs keep going up. So maybe those drugs, which in some cases can provide relief to people, maybe they're not the only solution.

On 9/11, we lost 3,000 people. Every year in this country, we've lost over 100,000 people to pharmaceutical drugs. That means we've lost over a million people to the pharmaceutical industry in the nine years since 9/11. And yet we're not chasing pharmaceutical terrorists all over the world. Do we really live in a rational time that the killing of a million people is just a ho-hum kind of event? I think we need to reorder our priorities.

There's going to come a day where we are all, all of us, adults and children alike, are diagnosed with at least one disorder, maybe up to a dozen disorders, and we are put on mandatory medications. And if we disagree with that, they'll say we suffer from obedience defiance disorder, and we'll be put on a different set of drugs to treat that disorder, or we'll be thrown in jail until we agree to take those drugs. It's that crazy.

Another piece of the puzzle is the drug rep. And this would be the lady in the high heels, very short and tight skirt, who comes to doctors' offices and hands out goodies.

The companies are hiring reps that really have no science or medical background. They are not doctors, typically.vThey are not pharmacists. They are not nurses. They're oftentimes business majors and music majors and drama majors, and they're telling your doctors how to prescribe drugs to you, the patient.

And they are given sales goals. They are to call in doctors to explain the drugs and how the other drugs might not be so helpful, to get the doctor to take these samples and to prescribe these drugs. Now, when a doctor writes a prescription, that prescription is entered into a database.vThis information is sold to the drug companies who then use it to give to the drug reps. And so if you are a doctor who's prescribing a lot of the drug, that drug rep is instructed to give you expensive gifts. If your profile falls off, it's also the drug rep that is sent to your office to give you a dressing down. So then you have drug rep as disciplinarian.

I was being told to minimize side effects, that I was disseminating misinformation and disinformation campaigns. I knew that I was not giving fair, balanced information to doctors, therefore doctors couldn't give fair, balanced information to their patients. So I started being disheartened while I was still in the industry about the industry itself, because I knew that the job that I was originally tasked to do, that that wasn't what my job was anymore, that my job was a marketing job, that I was there to build the bottom line of the company, that I was there to grow market share and influence physicians' prescribing habits.

While I was a pharmaceutical sales representative, when we were interacting with physicians, we were constantly trying to downplay side effects, minimize side effects, if those questions were raised by the physician. And we were trained to skillfully sidestep those questions and to not provide full disclosure about the potential devastating effects of certain medications. Many medications do not have severe long-term crippling side effects, but others do. And unfortunately and ironically, that's what happened to me. I've been suffering from disabling symptoms now for many years from an antibiotic called Levaquin, which is a fluoroquinolone antibiotic that has a black box warning associated with its use. And despite that warning, it's still being prescribed indiscriminately and without warning to patients. And many people are losing their jobs, they're losing their homes, family, because of the devastating and crippling side effects of Levaquin and Cipro and other fluoroquinolone antibiotics. And I think it's criminal that these drugs are still being prescribed as a first line of treatment for minor infections. Levaquin and Cipro and other fluoroquinolone antibiotics should be reserved for serious and life-threatening infections.

I was very grateful that I had the experience and knowledge that I did about the psychiatric drugs that I had sold because I identified these were drug reactions. So I knew that as my mental state was deteriorating, that it wasn't me, that I wasn't crazy. This was mediated by the drugs that I had

taken. And so I just kept clinging to the fact that I had to have a washout, that I had to detoxify the body from the drug. And so I begged my husband and I begged several of my closest friends to not put me in a mental institution because I had visited them in my career, and I knew that once that I got behind those closed doors of that mental institution, that they could do anything they wanted to, including electroshock therapy. So I knew that my recovery depended on the detoxification of my body. And I knew that if I got into the hospital, a detoxification would not be offered to me. I would be pumped full of any kind of drug that they needed in order to keep me quiet or to restrain me. So it actually took me a period of 12 years to completely detoxify my body and to get back to some semblance of normalcy to where I felt like I was before I had the adverse event.

The other thing that happens with drug reps is that they present data to the doctor that may not be entirely truthful. And this was seen with OxyContin, the pain drug, where drug reps told doctors, these are not addictive, this is different, this is not your run-of-the-mill narcotic, this is the one narcotic known to man that your patient will not get addicted to. And it literally touched off an OxyContin epidemic throughout the United States.

Our drug is clearly the most efficacious.

Vivex offers your patients unsurpassed clinical efficacy.

Proven efficacy.

This is absolutely the most efficacious drug your patients can use. (And she laughs.)

My wife has had TMJ (temporomandibular joint). Her front teeth did not actually come together. She had a lot of pain. We went to her primary doctor. He started giving her Vicodin for pain control. We didn’t want a drug intervention, but that was what was basically forced upon her. Basically, she got put on benzodiazepines, and Neurontin, Vicodin ES, eventually on Abilify, Remeron, Benlafaxine, Vistral, just a real cocktail of drugs. And, of course, our experience is that in the process of finally discovering that the drugs could be the problem, we tried to start a taper program at home because I couldn’t get the doctors to do it for me. We learned about half-lives. We learned about the potency of these superbenzos now that are many, many times stronger than Valium ever was. We learned what it was like to try to relate to the medical community. They keep wanting to tell you that you have an underlining problem. They never want to look at the drugs as a possible cause of it. We had an argument with the final doctor as to the speed at which he was going to come off these drugs. I went ahead and said, OK, that’s fine, if you think you can get her off in eight weeks, that’s fine, but I think you’re going to crash her. Well, he crashed her. She probably was 24 hours from dying. We took her to the hospital, and she was whisked off to a psych ward. At that point, they just introduced all kinds of psychotropic drugs, neuroleptics, antipsychotics, antidepressants. They wanted to do electroconvulsive shock therapy, and we absolutely refused. They moved her to the state mental hospital, and I followed her in the camper, and I was by her side for three months, and I made sure she saw me every single day, sometimes

several times a day, so she wouldn't be afraid. And I just watched this horrible deterioration process. I went in and talked to the doctors. I said, you need to give her a drug holiday. She's been on drugs for three years now. I know it's the drugs. We were finally able to get her released. Got a homeopathic doctor. We finally got her off of drugs completely a year ago, so now today we're about 13 months off the drugs. We're seeing a stock market recovery. It's up and down.

You have to wake up. You can't just take the pill. You can't take that purple pill, you know, that Prilosec, or that other acid-blocking drug, and go eat the whole pizza and think it's okay.

A particularly egregious drug that the FDA allowed to come onto the market was called Ketek, K-E-T-E-K. It was supposed to be used for antibiotic-resistant infections. Unfortunately, it promoted liver failure. It turns out that the studies that were done to validate the safety weren't done. In fact, the doctor who was overseeing these studies received $400 per patient and enrolled 400 fictitious people into these studies and showed that, of course, none of these people had any adverse effects from Ketek because, of course, these people didn't exist. Now here's where the story really gets bad. Even after the FDA discovered that the data submitted to them by the company was fraudulent, they still presented it to the Congressional Investigative Committees. The result was the media picked up on this and proclaimed that this drug was shown to be safe in all these studies, studies that never occurred. So the drug Ketek, when it first came out, by the way, was selling for over $1,000 for 60 tablets, and it's now come down to $285. The company's reduced the price. The FDA allows that drug to stay on the market.

Bayer has known for years that its drug, Treosol, had all kinds of complications involving kidney shutdown. And Bayer did a study with 67,000 patients. Bayer failed to give their own internal data to the FDA.

(End of transcription. Please see the video to listen to all of it.)

I am 74 years old now and glad to not be reliant on any medication whatsoever. Hallelujah!

The Papacy and Civil Power – Chapter II. The Pope and Civil Affairs

Preparations to make the Pope Infallible.—The Bishop’s Oath.—Their Theory of Government.—Defense of the Ancient Rights of the Papacy.

The Papacy and Civil Power – Chapter I. Introductory



Roman Catholics in the United States. Their Schools under Foreign Priests and Jesuits. They Accept the Pope’s Infallibility. The Hierarchy and Laymen. The Government of the United States.

The Papacy and Civil Power – R. W. Thompson



The Roman Catholic Church demands not only spiritual authority over a nation, but civil authority as well.

On the Babylonish Captivity of the Church – By Martin Luther

“I now know and am sure that the Papacy is the kingdom of Babylon, and the power of Nimrod the mighty hunter.” – Quote from Martin Luther

---

Church, State, and Democracy, Chapter III of American Freedom and Catholic Power



The Roman Catholic Church as an institution in this world is not only religious, it’s also a political organization.

How the Hierarchy Works – Chapter 2 of American Freedom and Catholic Power



In two hundred years the Roman Catholic Church in the United States has increased from the smallest to the largest church in the nation.

“On Christian Freedom” – by Martin Luther

The believing soul, by its faith in Christ, becomes free from all sin, fearless of death, safe from hell, and endowed with eternal life in Jesus Christ.

American Freedom and Catholic Power



The Roman Catholic Church is an organization that is a state within a state, a state above a state, and a foreign-controlled society within American society.

The Great Red dragon. Part I. The Roman Catholics' Auricular Confession

Auricular confession being one of the five commandments of the Roman Catholic church, and a condition necessarily required in one of their sacraments;

Independence Day

On this 4th of July let us be thankful for the brave Christian Founders of America who stood against papal tyranny and stood for liberty. Intelligent men who knew both their God and their enemy.

The Great Red Dragon; Or The Master Key to Popery

A former Spanish priest exposes the evils of the Popes of Rome and the Roman Catholic Church