# <u>Insights Behind the Conflict Between</u> <u>Israel and Islamic Nations</u>



There has been continual conflict in the Middle East. The bombing, bloodshed, crying, and the misery of war never seem to stop. Why do they continue fighting? What is the purpose of continuing this senseless killing?

### **Unmasking the Deep State in America**



Most Americans have never heard of the Council on Foreign Relations. Although unseen and unknown, it has exerted tremendous power and control over the decision-making process in America throughout most of the 20th century.

# Kamala, Carville & the Doctrine of

### **Protection & Obedience**



Trump is likely to win the election, but if Jesuits were behind the previous assassination attempts, will they let him live? If not, Catholic JD Vance would become POTUS!

### <u>The 19th Century Violation of the</u> <u>United States Constitution</u>

by 1868 the Jesuits, with their radicals Thaddeus Stevens and Charles Sumner, had forced the Fourteenth Amendment on the peoples of the States, North and South. They had created a new nation as a result of a new citizenship. By 1872 the Jesuits, with their radicals on the Supreme Court, had made the powers of both the Federal and State governments absolute, limited only by decisions of their respective King's benches- the Federal and State Supreme Courts.

### <u>The Jesuit – Illuminati – Jewish</u> <u>Connection</u>



Some say the perpetrators behind the scenes are the Illuminati, and others say the Jews. The list of the conspiratorial organizations blamed includes the Communists, the Bilderbergers, the Trilateral Commission, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Committee of 300, the Mafia, the Round Table, the Club of Rome, the Free Masons, the CIA-FBI-Mossad, and other secret societies.

# <u>A Comparison of The Roman Empire to</u> <u>the United States of America</u>

Chapter 1

DIVINE HAND OVER THE UNITED STATES

The United States of America is on the brink of total collapse. Once a great and powerful nation, the United States is now in a free fall to disaster from which she will never recover. A few more steps in its decline and it will be ruined. These are not easy words for a patriotic American to read, but, nevertheless, they are absolutely true. Tragically, it need not have come to this.

As one looks at the history of nations, from Babylon to Rome to America, it is evident that a Divine hand was protecting and guiding America. This country began as a few colonies on the Eastern seaboard of this vast land and became a great nation.

Alexis de Tocqueville, a young French philosopher of the last century, came to our shores to discover what magical quality enabled a handful of people to defeat the mighty British Empire twice in 35 years. He looked for the greatness of America in her fertile soil, her limitless forests and natural resources. He examined America's schools, her Congress and her unique Constitution without fully understanding the source of America's strength. I was not, he said later, until he went to the churches of America and found congregations 'aflame with righteousness' that he began to comprehend the secret of this power. Upon his return to France, de Tocqueville wrote; "America is great because America is good, and if America ever ceases to be good, America will cease to be great." – Des Griffin, Descent into Slavery?, Emissary Publications, p. 267.

In her youth, the United States was very good. On her money, her trust in God was proclaimed, and the great blessing of God rested upon this nation. As the United States grew to greatness, she gradually abandoned the principles that made her great until today she is approaching a very tragic end. The process of the decline of America is similar to the decline and fall of the Roman Empire. Let us examine a little of the history of ancient Rome and notice the parallels.

As the early Roman Empire was beginning to develop, it was building on the solid premise that the family unit was the cornerstone of society. Morality and discipline were the cornerstones of the family unit. As the empire developed, liberalism crept in, and the morality and discipline that protected society began to disappear. By the beginning of the 2nd century AD, most families had given into the liberal trends, and their children were allowed to do pretty much as they pleased.

Having given up the habit of controlling their children, they let their children govern them and took pleasure in bleeding themselves white to gratify the expensive whims of their offspring. The result was that they were succeeded by a generation of idlers and wastrels, who had grown accustomed to luxury and lost all sense of discipline. —Jerome Carcopino, Daily Life in Ancient Rome, Yale University Press, pp. 78, 79.

While this was going on, Rome witnessed "an epidemic of divorces." (ibid. p.97) From the same author, we find that a strong women's rights movement developed in Roman society.

Some [wives] evaded the duties of maternity for fear of losing their good looks, some took pride in being behind their husbands in no sphere of activity, and vied with them in tests of strength which their sex would seem to forbid: some were not content to live their lives by their husband's side, but carried on another life without him.... It is obvious that unhappy marriages must have been innumerable.—ibid. pp. 90, 93, 95. Roman schools were in disarray.

They undermined Instead of strengthening the children's morals, they mishandled the children's bodies instead of developing them, and if they succeeded in furnishing their minds with a certain amount of information, they were not calculated to perform any loftier or nobler task. The pupils left school with the heavy luggage of a few practical and commonplace notions laboriously acquired and of so little value that in the fourth century Vegetius [A Roman writer who wrote about the Roman military system.] could not take for granted that new recruits for the army would be literate enough to keep the books for the corps.—ibid. pp. 106,107.

This kind of education led to a continual decline in morality and discipline and also resulted in decreasing patriotism.

The Roman virtues — honesty, candor, frugality and patriotism — withered and died. What was left was a people whom neither the vices of the rulers nor the increasingly bold attacks of foreign enemies could shake out of their apathy....In all the great cities of the provinces, the theater held the same place of bad preeminence in the social life of the inhabitants.

The Roman stage was gross and immoral. It was one of the main agencies to which must be attributed to the undermining of the originally sound moral life of Roman society. So absorbed did the people become in the indecent representations on the stage, that they lost all thought and care for the affairs of real life. –Philip Myers, Rome; Its Rise and Fall, Ginn & Company, pp. 515,516.

Another leading factor in the demise of Rome was that is became a welfare country. People were encouraged to be idle and receive money from the government rather than work to make their own way. The welfare system was a leading fact of Roman life. The evils that resulted from this misdirected state charity can hardly be overstated. Idleness and all its accompanying vices were fostered to such a degree that we shall probably not be wrong in enumerating the practice as one of the chief causes of the demoralization of society. –ibid. p.523.

It is obvious that the moral fabric of America today is where the morality of the Roman Empire was nearly 2,000 years ago. Do we not see the breakdown of the home, a strong women's rights movement, a deterioration in the school system, moral decay as espoused by the news and entertainment media and the schools, and welfare eating the heart out of the American economy? With regard to these problems, how are we any different from the Roman Empire during its decay? Why are these things happening in America? But, that's the wrong question. The question should be: Who has brought America to the brink of destruction? As mentioned earlier, Providence had its eye on the United States. America was the land of opportunity. It was the place where those who were being persecuted for their faith could come and worship God according to the dictates of their own conscience. It was a land without a king where one could come and breathe the air of civil liberty. It was the place where one could come and earn a good living for himself and his family. America was the place where dreams came true. There once was a Divine Hand Over America.

In 1759, twenty-five years, more or less, stood between the thirteen colonies and freedom from the British. Twenty-five years and the greatest Protestant nation to ever exist in the history of nations would fly its flag. Twentyfive years and the groundwork for the Constitution — republicanism, inalienable rights, and a government of the people, by the people, and for the people — was in sight. An experiment in government never before tried in history was to become the greatest nation the world had ever seen.

Many said such a government would not work. The Roman Catholic Church had ruled the world under her dictatorial control. She was, and still is, deathly afraid of such an experiment. For over 200 years, the Protestant Reformation had challenged the papacy's authority. The papacy gradually began losing her power. An experiment like America was certainly not in the plans of the Catholic Church; no nation would be allowed to espoused principles that would bring the papacy down.

What of the monarchs of Europe? They ruled by permission of the papacy and had wielded tyrannical control for ages with no one to oppose them. Were they now ready to watch the development of a government of the people, by the people, and for the people? A clearer rationale would be that they would unite with Rome to stop this American experiment with every weapon at their fingertips.

By the 1550s the Reformation had become so extensive in Europe that the papacy began to realize that they must do something to try to stop it. They realized that if it were not stopped, it would eventually undermine the position of the Catholic Church and destroy the absolute political power they had achieved. In order to accomplish the destruction of the Reformation, a new secret organization was formed within the Vatican called the Jesuits.

One of the major purposes of the Jesuits was to destroy every trace of Protestantism and its principles, including religious freedom, republicanism, representative government, and an economy built around a strong middle class. Another purpose of the Jesuits was to greatly expand the power and control of the papacy throughout the entire world.

I cannot too much impress upon the minds of my readers that the Jesuits, by their very calling, by the very essence of the institution, are bound to seek, by every means, right or wrong, the destruction of Protestantism. This is the condition of their existence, the duty they must fulfill, or cease to be Jesuits. Accordingly, we find them in this evil dilemma. Either the Jesuits fulfill the duties of their calling, or not. In the first instance, they must be considered as the bitterest enemies of the Protestant faith; in the second, as bad and unworthy priests; and in both cases, therefore, to be equally regarded with aversion and distrust. – G.B. Nicolini, History of the Jesuits: Their Origin, Progress, Doctrine, and Design, Henry G. Bohn, preface.

From their very beginning in the 1540s, the Jesuits did just that. They used any means they could devise to destroy Protestantism, including assassination to kill leaders who tried to bring freedom to their people. Two examples are William of Orange in 1584 and Henry IV in 1610. Both were slain by Jesuit assassins.

The Jesuits used deception in the extreme to bring about the St. Bartholomew's Day Massacre in 1572, where 70,000 Protestant Huguenots, including women and children, were slain in one night. They also created the 30 Years War from 1618-1648 in order to destroy the Lutherans of Europe. The blood that reddened European soil for centuries can all be traced back to the murderous Jesuits.

Starting in the 1600s, the Jesuits created a communist regime in Paraguay that eventually brought the Jesuits' demise in the 1700s.

The Jesuits, as is well known, held very large regions of Paraguay under missionary control from 1650 to 1750. More than a quarter million natives worked under their direction, and no payment was made directly to them.... They were educated, trained, housed, clothed, fed and, to some extent, amused, but what became of the surplus profits of their labours, and of the extensive trading that was carried on? Over two thousand boats are said to have been engaged in carrying merchandise and goods on the Parana River; and the economic value of the Reductions was beyond doubt very great: so great in deed as to have awakened the envy of Spanish and Portuguese traders. Robertson [contemporary historian] estimated that the reductions represented at least \$25,000,000 capital for the Society. - Boyd Barrett, The Jesuit Enigma, New York: Boni & Liveright, p. 211.

The Reductions were communist communes set up as manufacturing facilities using the Guarani Indians as slave laborers. The products they produced were sold in Europe and greatly enriched the Jesuit order. The Reductions produced herbs, hides, tallow, clocks, and other goods, which the Jesuits traded in Europe with their huge fleet of ships. The profits were used to finance wars against the Protestant nations they had sworn to destroy. But all this wealth and power was acquired in secret, as the kings of Portugal and Spain knew nothing about the Reductions. – Eric Phelps, Vatican Assassins, Halycon Unified Services, p. 189.

By the middle of the 1700s, the Jesuit Order had become the powerhouse of Europe. According to Barret, the Jesuit Order at last reached the pinnacle of its power and prestige in the early eighteenth century. It had become more influential and wealthier than any other organization in the world. It held a position in world affairs that no oath-bound group of man has ever held before of since… nearly all the Kings and Sovereigns of Europe had only Jesuits as directors of their consciences, so that the whole of Europe appeared to be governed by Jesuits only. – Boyd Barrett, The Jesuit Enigma, New York: Boni & Liveright, p. 209.

The Jesuits ruled the world. The monarchs of Europe, and the pope himself had Jesuits as their confessors. The plans and plots were all alike known to them. Besides this, they were amassing a vast amount of wealth that allowed the Jesuits to do whatever they chose. With them controlling the world, how could the little colonies of America have had a chance to stand against the Jesuit-controlled monarchs of Europe? In an instant, the Jesuits could utilize any army at its disposal and crush the colonies. It was at this juncture in 1759 that something strangely divine began to happen. It began in Portugal. The Portuguese king, Joseph I, banished the Jesuits from his realm.

In Portugal the culmination point was reached by an attempt to assassinate the king...the deed had been incited by the Jesuits, who had impressed ignorant and fanatical minds with the idea that no wrong was committed by killing a heretical king; that is one who did not submit to their dictation...Hence, as a measure absolutely essential to the life of the nation, the king issued a decree of banishment against the Jesuits as traitors, rebels, enemies to, and aggressors on, his person, his States, and the public peace and the general good of the people. The Jesuits were then seized, transported to the States of the Church (Italy).... – Richard Thompson, The Footprints of the Jesuits, Hunt & Eaton, p. 217, 218.

King Joseph's Portugal was the first Catholic kingdom of Europe to banish the Jesuits from their realm. With this first banishment, the dominoes began to fall rather quickly. Catholic France banished them in 1762. The decree of Louis XV and the French Parliament reads as follows:

"Whereupon, the investigation into the constitution and statutes of the society [of Jesuits] …resulted in the enactment of a Parliamentary decree which shows the odium then attached to the society in France. It denounced their doctrines and practices 'as perverse, destructive of every principle of religion, and even of probity; as injurious to morality, pernicious to civil society, seditious, dangerous to rights of the persons of the sovereigns; as fit to excite the greatest troubles in States, to form and maintain the most profound corruption in the hearts of men…that the institutions of the Jesuits should forever cease to exist throughout the whole extent of the kingdom.'-ibid. p. 219

The third sovereign to drive the Jesuits from their realm was King Charles III of Spain. He banished the Jesuits in His [Charles III] greatest work, the expulsion of the Jesuits, would never have been carried out if he had not been persuaded of its political necessity. The [Jesuit] order had already been driven out by Pombal from Portugal and by Choiseul from France when Charles III was convinced that a riot in Madrid…had been promoted by the Jesuits. – Encyclopedia Britannica, The Werner company, vol. XVII, p. 341.

One year later, yet another nation banished this evil brood from their realm. Under the leadership of Fra Manuel Pinto de Fonseca, the Jesuits were forced to leave the island of Malta in 1768. Of this, we read:

In 1768 the Jesuits, having given much trouble, were expelled and their property confiscated. — ibid, vol. XV, p. 343.

The mightiest Catholic nations of Europe had banished the Jesuits from their realms. These Catholic monarchs demanded that the Catholic Church abolish the society forever. Clement XIII, the pope at that time, resisted the pressure of the European monarchs, but finally capitulated. The night before he planned to abolish the Jesuits, he was poisoned to death.

During the night preceding the day appointed for the public ceremony of announcing the abolition of the Jesuits, Clement XIII was suddenly seized with convulsions and died, leaving the act unperformed, and the Jesuits victorious. Cormenin…records this event in the terse and expressive words: 'The Jesuits had poisoned him.'

The Catholic Monarchies of Europe, however, insisted that the Jesuits be disbanded and threatened the Pope.

Clement XIII, after endless indecision, postponements, and unconvincing delays, finally decided to do what he had been advised he should do. He capitulated.

He made ready a proclamation announcing the suppression of the Jesuit order. It was said that the document was written and was waiting for the day when it was to be made public. To the surprise of all, however, the Pope was suddenly attacked by a mysterious illness. He died on the 12th of February (a coincidence in dates) 1769 with agonizing, unexplained convulsions.

Rumors had it that he had been poisoned. The suddenness of his affliction and the convulsions both pointed to it. The suspicions, however, were never proved. It was suggested by those in the know that the Pope had been made to die before he could publish the announcement of the official suppression of the Jesuit order. — Avro Manhattan, Murder in the Vatican, Ozark Books, p. 74.

Four years later in 1773, three years before the Declaration of Independence, mark it well, Pope Clement XIV wrote an order, the purpose of which was to abolish the Jesuits forever. (Unfortunately, a later pope reestablished them in 1814.) Of this, we read:

Again, in July 1773, Pope Clement XIV wrote an order dissolving the Society of Jesus. This bull, Dominus ac Redemptor, was published 16th of August of that year. After issuing it, however, the Pope relented, in fear of the consequences, and tried to withdraw it. Too late. The Spanish ambassador had already dispatched the document by special courier direct to Madrid.

The papal brief annihilated the Jesuit order throughout the world, closed its schools and cancelled its statues. Its houses were occupied and its general and other dignitaries were imprisoned. – ibid., pp. 74.75.

In a 14-year period, from 1759-1773, the Catholic monarchs of Europe and the pope, himself, were preoccupied with abolishing the Jesuits. Emmett McLaughlin's tremendous book, An Inquiry into the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln, summarizes these events very succinctly with these words.

Even their own Catholic countries finally became surfeited with Jesuit

political intrigue and financial avarice and, in self-preservation, were forced to expel them, Portugal, Angola, Goa, and Brazil took the lead in 1759. France followed in 1754. Several Italian states such as Parma, Sicily and Naples followed suit. By sealed imperial orders sent to her colonies around the world, Spain threw out all Jesuits in 1767, This decree suppressed them in the Philippines, Argentina, New Granada (Columbia), Peru, Chile, Ecuador, Guatemala, Cuba, Puerto Rico, Mexico, New Mexico and Arizona. Austria did the same in 1773.


Finally, Pope Clement XIV in 1773 issued the document, Dominus ac Redemptor, abolishing the Jesuit Order altogether, listing eleven popes that tried to curb their excesses. Among them were Benedict XIV, Innocent XI, Innocent XIII and Clement XIII.

He cited the Jesuits for opposition to "other religious orders," for "revolts and intestine troubles in some of the Catholic states," and "persecutions against the church in Europe and Asia. There remained no other remedy to so great evils…and this step was necessary in order to prevent the Christians from rising one against the other and from massacring each other in the very bosom of our common mother, the holy church." Therefore, he wrote, "after a mature deliberation, we do out of our certain knowledge and the fullness of our apostolic power, suppress and abolish the said company." – Emmett McLaughlin, An Inquiry into the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln, Lyle Stuart, Inc., pp. 84, 85.

The timing of these events in Europe in fascinating. Catholic Europe was in disarray. The Catholic monarchs were pre-occupied with taking care of the problems with the Jesuit Order. The Jesuits were reeling as one Catholic country after another drove them from their realms. While Europe was shaking, thirteen colonies across the Atlantic were looking at the very real possibility of war with England. The thirteen colonies were instituting principles of government never before heard in the annals of human history. Documents would soon be written that would codify such things as inalienable rights, government of the people, by the people, and for the people, free exercise of religion, and the right to keep and bear arms. These documents would soon be the hope of mankind throughout the would longing to be free.

What if the Catholic monarchs were no distracted by their dealings with Jesuits? What if the Jesuits were not reeling by their banishment from Europe? The monarchs and the Jesuits would have utilized their wealth and military power to smash the American colonies in the New World, and the Protestant dream in America would have never been a reality. Without a doubt, there was a Divine Hand Over America!

### The Popes of Rome - By Ronald Cooke



The last popes have been praised not only by Roman Catholics but by evangelical and fundamental Protestants. Does the papacy deserve such praise?

# JFK's Assassination Linked to His <u>Hindrance of the Plans of Rome</u>



President Kennedy attempted to dismantle the Federal Reserve and de-escalate the Vietnam war — more than enough reasons for Rome to murder him.

# <u>Insights of World War II, the Creation</u> of Israel, & the Purpose of the United <u>Nations</u>



With the creation of Israel by the UN the Jesuits hoped to cause such bloodshed there that the world would cry out for a peacemaker – the Pope.

### <u>The Coronavirus by Bill Hughes.</u> <u>Chapter 3. Wuhan-Catholic Connection</u>

This is continued from Chapter 2. Conspiracy

What has been the story from day one in this coronavirus conundrum? The story has been thus, In the public mind, the origin story of coronavirus seems well fixed: in late 2019 someone at the now world-famous Huanan seafood market in Wuhan was infected with a virus from an animal. The rest is part of an awful history still in the making, with Covid-19 spreading from that first cluster in the capital of China's Hubei province to a pandemic that has killed about 350,000 people so far (to the time this article was written0.

December 31-January 11, 2020

On December 31 last year, China alerted the WHO to several cases of unusual pneumonia in Wuhan, a city of 11 million people. The virus was unknown. Several of those infected worked at the city's Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market, which was shut down on January 1. As health experts worked to identify the virus amid growing alarm, the number of infections exceeded 40.

On January 5, Chinese officials ruled out the possibility that this was a recurrence of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus – an illness that originated in China and killed more than 770 people worldwide in 2002-2003.

On January 7, officials announced they had identified a new virus, according to the WHO. The novel virus was named 2019-nCoV and was identified as belonging to the coronavirus family, which includes SARS and the common cold.

On January 11, China announced its first death from the virus, a 61-year-old man who had purchased goods from the seafood market. Treatment did not

improve his symptoms after he was admitted to hospital and he died of heart failure on the evening of January 9.

#### January 13 - 19, 2020

The WHO reported on January 13 a case in Thailand, the first outside of China, in a woman who had arrived from Wuhan.

On January 17, as a second death was reported in Wuhan, health authorities in the US announced that three airports would start screening passengers arriving from the city. Authorities in the US, Nepal, France, Australia, Malaysia, Singapore, South Korea, Vietnam and Taiwan confirmed cases over the following days.

#### January 20 - 26, 2020

On January 20, China reported a third death and more than 200 infections, with cases also reported outside Hubei province including in Beijing, Shanghai and Shenzhen. Meanwhile, a Chinese expert on infectious diseases confirmed human-to-human transmission to state broadcaster CCTV, raising fears of a major outbreak as millions travelled for the Lunar New Year holiday. The cities of Wuhan, Xiantao and Chibi in Hubei province were placed under effective quarantine on January 23 as air and rail departures were suspended. By the end of the week, more areas were placed under lockdown affecting a total of 56 million people. The WHO said that the outbreak did not yet constitute a public emergency of international concern and there was "no evidence" of the virus spreading between humans outside of China.

#### January 27 - February 2, 2020

On January 30, the WHO declared the coronavirus a global emergency as the death toll in China jumped to 170, with 7,711 cases reported in the country, where the virus had spread to all 31 provinces. By the end of the week, China reported 304 deaths amid 14,380 infections. Within a few days, new cases were confirmed in India, Philippines, Russia, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom, Australia, Canada, Germany, Japan, Singapore, the US, the UAE and Vietnam.

On February 2, the Philippines reported the first death outside China, the victim being a Chinese man from Wuhan, the capital of Hubei province where the new coronavirus was detected in late 2019.

#### March 23 - 29, 2020

In the US, the White House and Senate leaders of both parties struck an agreement on March 25 on a sweeping \$2 trillion measure to aid workers, businesses and a healthcare system strained by pandemic. By the end of the week, the US accounted for the highest number of coronavirus infections in the world, recording more than 124,000 cases and 2,000 deaths, more than double the figure two days before. Meanwhile, as the number of cases worldwide surpassed 600,000, with more than 27,000 deaths on March 27, India and South Africa joined the countries to impose lockdowns. Kenya, Kazakhstan

and Honduras reported their first deaths, while Johnson announced he had tested positive. In Europe, Spain recorded 838 new coronavirus deaths over the previous 24 hours on March 29, marking the country's highest daily jump in fatalities. The country was now second only to Italy where the death toll shot past 10,000 with 889 new deaths. (taken from Aljazeera, May 18, 2020)

The previous paragraphs make it very clear that the virus had its origins in Wuhan, China back in December. From this epicenter, the virus has now spread all over the world, killing hundreds of thousands of people and disrupting the lives of everyone on this planet. And you say, this has everything to do with Wuhan, China and NOTHING to do with the Vatican! That is very perceptive of you. Here goes......

"Dr. Anthony Fauci, director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, warned members of the incoming Trump administration in January 2017 about the inevitability of a "surprise outbreak" of a new disease. He said at the time that the US needed to do more to prepare. "There is no question that there will be a challenge to the coming administration in the arena of infectious diseases," Fauci said during a speech at Georgetown University, adding, "The thing we're extraordinarily confident about is that we're going to see this in the next few years." Business Insider, April 13, 2020

How did Fauci know this? How did he know there would be a pandemic during the Trump administration? Isn't Fauci a doctor? He sounds more like a prophet., "The thing we're extraordinarily confident about is that we're going to see this in the next few years." Business Insider, April 13, 2020

The following two statements shed on light on how Fauci could be so sure that a pandemic would strike during the Trump administration. "Dr. Anthony Fauci, a member of the coronavirus task force, had previously backed funding for a controversial lab in Wuhan, China, that has been studying the coronavirus in bats, reports said. Fauci's National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases had shelled out a total of \$7.4 million to the Wuhan Institute of Virology lab – which has become the focus of theories about the origin of **COVID-19, according to Newsweek.** The National Institutes of Health, which oversees the NIAID, shut down all funding to the lab last week. "At this time, NIH does not believe that the current project outcomes align with the program goals and agency priorities," a deputy director at the agency wrote in a letter obtained by Politico. There is "increasing confidence" among officials in the Trump administration that the Wuhan Institute of Virology lab is the original site of the virus. A report by Fox News said embassy officials warned in January 2018 about inadequate safety there. The NIH defended its funding of the lab in a statement to Newsweek." April 29, 2020, New York Post.

Fauci's organization, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, sent 7.4 million dollars to the Wuhan laboratory in China, knowing full well that they were studying the coronavirus in bats. If his organization sent 7.4 million dollars to the Wuhan lab, then obviously Fauci was backing the research to the hilt. He not only knew of the experimenting, but backed it with millions of dollars. Fauci also believes in the golden rule. The one who dishes out the dough makes the rules! If Fauci was doling out 7.4 million, would it be safe to say that, he being a medical expert in infectious disease, was also part of what went on at Wuhan? The writing on the wall says yes!! This information is shocking, tying Anthony Fauci to Wuhan and the coronavirus.

The final comment from Newsweek magazine goes even further. "Dr. Anthony Fauci is an adviser to President Donald Trump and something of an American folk hero for his steady, calm leadership during the pandemic crisis. At least one poll shows that Americans trust Fauci more than Trump on the coronavirus pandemic-and few scientists are portrayed on TV by Brad Pitt. But just last year, the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases, the organization led by Dr. Fauci, funded scientists at the Wuhan Institute of Virology and other institutions for work on gain-of-function research on bat coronaviruses. In 2019, with the backing of NIAID, the National Institutes of Health committed \$3.7 million over six years for research that included some gain-of-function work. The program followed another \$3.7 million, 5-year project for collecting and studying bat coronaviruses, which ended in 2019, bringing the total to \$7.4 million. Many scientists have criticized gain of function research, which involves manipulating viruses in the lab to explore their potential for infecting humans, because it creates a risk of starting a pandemic from accidental release.

SARS-CoV-2, the virus now causing a global pandemic, is believed to have originated in bats. U.S. intelligence, after originally asserting that the coronavirus had occurred naturally, conceded last month that the pandemic may have originated in a leak from the Wuhan lab. (At this point most scientists say it's possible-but not likely-that the pandemic virus was engineered or manipulated.) Dr. Fauci did not respond to Newsweek's requests for comment. NIH responded with a statement that said in part: "Most emerging human viruses come from wildlife, and these represent a significant threat to public health and biosecurity in the US and globally, as demonstrated by the SARS epidemic of 2002-03, and the current COVID-19 pandemic.... scientific research indicates that there is no evidence that suggests the virus was created in a laboratory. The NIH research consisted of two parts. The first part began in 2014 and involved surveillance of bat coronaviruses, and had a budget of \$3.7 million. The program funded Shi Zheng-Li, a virologist at the Wuhan lab, and other researchers to investigate and catalogue bat coronaviruses in the wild. This part of the project was completed in 2019." Newsweek, April 28, 2020

Researching viruses in laboratories is highly criticized by scientists because of the possibility of the virus being leaked and causing a pandemic. This is exactly what happened in Wuhan. Dr. Fauci's comments in 2017 would indicate that he knew that would be the case and warned it was coming!!! Was that part of his pre meditated plan to perfect a virus, pay for its research and perfection, and then have it leaked so that millions of people would die? Was Fauci already clear in his mind that once the virus was perfected in Wuhan that he would then have it released in order to infect millions? Was that why Fauci could speak with such arrogance in 2017 that a pandemic would hit the Trump administration? The three news sources that exposed this material are the Business Insider, the New York Post, and Newsweek! This material is shocking!

Why would Fauci pull such a stunt? What could possibly be his purpose or the purpose of those who control him? A little background on this reprehensible man provides the hidden clues. "His maternal grandfather, Giovanni Abys, was born in Switzerland and was an artist, noted for landscape and portrait painting, magazine illustrations (Italy) as well as graphic design for commercial labels, including olive oil cans. His grandparents emigrated from Italy to the United States in the late 19th century. *Fauci grew up Catholic*. Fauci attended Regis High School in Manhattan's Upper East Side, where he captained the school's basketball team and graduated in 1958. He then went to the College of the Holy Cross, graduating in 1962 with a Bachelor of Arts in classics. Fauci then attended medical school at Cornell University Medical College where he graduated first in his class with a Doctor of Medicine in 1966. He then completed an internship and residency in internal medicine at the New York Hospital-Cornell Medical Center, now known as New York Presbyterian/Weill Cornell Medicine." So, Fauci is a devout Roman Catholic and attended the College of the Holy Cross. And what do we know about Holy Cross? Wikipedia says, "The College of the Holy Cross, or better known simply as Holy Cross, is a private Jesuit liberal arts college in Worcester, Massachusetts. Founded in 1843, Holy Cross is the oldest Catholic college in New England and one of the oldest in the United States. Opened as a school for boys under the auspices of the Society of Jesus, it was the first Jesuit College in New England. Today, Holy Cross is one of 28 member institutions of the Association of Jesuit Colleges and Universities." Wikipedia

Fauci used his profession to carry forward the global, papal/Jesuit agenda for the world. In a forthcoming chapter, we will see clearly what that agenda is. Fauci is not a calm, sensible man, but rather a cool, calculating medical madman in the Beltway!!

Continued in Chapter 4. Medical Madman in the Beltway

### All Chapters of The Coronavirus by Bill Hughes.

- Chapter 1. Deception
- Chapter 2. Conspiracy
- Chapter 3. Wuhan-Catholic Connection
- Chapter 4. Medical Madman in the Beltway
- Chapter 5. Ultimate Goals

### World War I Caused by Pope Pius X's

### Hatred of Orthodox Christians



The Catholic church has been at war against the Orthodox Christians. Croatians, who are Catholic, hatred rivals of Rome the Orthodox Serbians.

### <u>The Coronavirus by Bill Hughes.</u> <u>Chapter 1. Deception</u>

He was right way too many times for anyone not to take what He said seriously. Every single prediction He made came to pass. It would be very serious not to heed advice He may have for those living toward the end of the world. The Man referred to is Jesus and this is His warning.

Jesus was at the end of His life and the professed people of God were plotting His life. He told them that their persistent rebellion would bring upon them destruction. Jesus said, "O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the prophets, and stonest them which are sent unto thee, how often would I have gathered thy children together, even as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings, and ye would not! Behold, your house is left unto you desolate. For I say unto you, ye shall not see me henceforth, till ye shall say, blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord." Matthew 23:37-39 In these tragic words, Jesus told the Jews that their temple would be destroyed. This was a most stunning thing to predict, but He had been right too many times before to not take Him very, very, seriously.

Herod's temple was a wonder of the ancient world. White marble in appearance, it had the look of being impregnable. However, Jesus said it was coming down and down it came in 70AD before the onslaught of Titus and the Romans. Among those who were most stunned by what Jesus said were His disciples. Shortly after this, Jesus reiterated what He had already said, saying, "See ye not all these things? Verily I say unto you, there shall not be left here one stone upon another that shall not be thrown down. And as he sat upon the Mount of Olives, the disciples came unto him privately, saying; tell us, when

shall these things be? And what shall be the sign of thy coming, and of the end of the world?" Matthew 24:2, 3

The disciples were convinced that when the temple was destroyed Jesus would come the second time to this earth. They put the two events together. However, the two events didn't happen at the same time. The temple was destroyed in 70 AD and Jesus has yet to come the second time. When answering the disciples two fold question, Jesus only gave one answer. That means that what He said applies not only to the events to take place around the destruction of the temple, but the same events would occur just before His Second Coming! That means that what Jesus said has a double application. What Jesus said had meaning for the people living around 70 AD and His words have meaning for us today!

What did Jesus tell us? Here we go, "And Jesus answered and said unto them, Take heed that **no man deceive you**. For many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ; and **shall deceive many**. And ye shall hear of wars and rumors of wars: see that ye be not troubled: for all these things must come to pass, but the end is not yet. For nation shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom: and there shall be famines, and pestilences, and earthquakes, in diver's places." Matthew 24:4-7 Twice in those verses, Jesus told us to watch out for deception. In Matthew 24:11, we read, "And many false prophets shall rise, and **shall deceive many**." Finally, in Verse 24, Jesus said, "For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, **they shall deceive the very elect**."

Whenever Jesus wanted to stress something, He would say, "Verily, verily, I say unto you." He would repeat verily twice for emphasis. In Matthew 24, for those living at the end of earth's history, Jesus repeated a word four times, and said, "You better watch out. DECEPTION will be so thick you could cut it with a knife. Watch out what you are told! Beware!"

Now, before going any further, let us be very clear on what deception is. Webster's dictionary tells us, deception is "deceitfulness, duplicity, double-dealing, fraud, trickery". Let me illustrate this for you from an experience I had when I was in the eighth grade. My junior high school had a flag football team. On our championship team, we had one play that always scored a touchdown. The reason it was so successful was because we used deception. Let me explain briefly. The flanker would spread out wide to the right of the formation. As the quarterback, me, called the signals, he would come in motion back toward me. By the time I said three, he was close in proximity to me. I would turn, lateral the ball to him, and then my two backs, Brian and Bill, would block around the left end. We ran this play twice on first and second down.

On third down, we did the identical thing. As I started calling the signals, the flanker, Kevin, would start toward me. The other team KNEW exactly what we were going to do. They started moving toward the side of the field where they KNEW Kevin would be shortly. In fact, they started smiling at me as they moved over to the side, waiting for what would SURELY occur. Well, I pitched the ball to Kevin and we started around the end as always, EXCEPT for one thing. My speedy halfback, Brian, didn't go to block. He reversed his field, took the ball from Kevin's outer hip, and made his way down the opposite sideline where nobody was. The other team pulled Kevin's flags and was so happy with themselves, figuring they got us. All the time, Brian was running down the opposite sideline for a touchdown.

It worked to perfection. We had deceived the other team into believing that Kevin would carry it again around the corner, and while they were so happy, the real play was happening in a totally different area. The other side of the field was where things were happening and the other team didn't know that! They were deceived, faked out, and tricked! And, Jesus said this would be happening down toward the end of earth's history! He told us to watch out. While everything is being focused and centered on something, the REAL events are happening in another area of the world. Could that be happening to us today? Is the coronavirus, in which many people are tragically dying, being used today by certain powerful groups in our world to bring about their agenda? While everyone focuses on this virus, are other things happening in our world that could lead to terrible results for everyone on this planet?

Jesus told us, "Take heed that no man deceive you. For many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ; and shall deceive many." Matthew 24:4, 5 He has been right too many times to not take what He says very, very, seriously. Now, let's weigh something for a moment. Where do we receive most of our information today? What mechanisms are used to communicate to people in the timeliest manner and to reach the most people at once? Clearly, the media is what is used very effectively to communicate to the populace. We get the great bulk of our messages of communication from the television, the radio, newspapers, magazines, and the internet. Is it possible that the media we are listening to is controlled by powerful people behind the scenes? Is it possible that these power groups are dictating what people are told in order to help the masses embrace an agenda? You know, I grew up on Walter Cronkite and David Brinkley and thought they were the finest of men. I'm sure many of us feel the same about David Muir, Katie Couric, George Stephanopoulos and Diane Sawyer today.

With Jesus' warning ringing in our ears and the undeniable fact that media is the way we receive messages today, a statement by John Swinton hits us square between the eyes. Swinton was a preeminent journalist over a century ago. Swinton declared at a press club dinner in his honor, "There is no such thing, at this date of the world's history, in America, as an independent press. You know it and I know it. There is not one of you who dares to write your honest opinions, and if you did, you know beforehand that it would never appear in print. I am paid weekly for keeping my honest opinion out of the paper I am connected with. Others of you are paid similar salaries for similar things, and any of you who would be so foolish as to write honest opinions would be out on the streets looking for another job. If I allowed my honest opinions to appear in one issue of my paper, before twenty-four hours my occupation would be gone. The business of the journalists is to destroy the truth, to lie outright, to pervert, to vilify, to fawn at the feet of mammon, and to sell his country and his race for his daily bread. You know it and I know it, and what folly is this toasting an independent press? We are

the tools and vassals of rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks, they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes." (Source: Labor's Untold Story, by Richard O. Boyer and Herbert M. Morais, published by United Electrical, Radio & Machine Workers of America, NY, 1955/1979.)

The quote raises the issue of whether there is not continuing truth in Swinton's remarks, and whether some candid journalist might not be able to fairly say similar things today. Anyone who has associated closely with journalists can hardly avoid finding a ring of truth in such words, and the best evidence lies in the actual product of journalists and how well, or how poorly, it both agrees with and covers what actually happens, especially involving such things as corruption and abuse of power. Swinton declared that journalists are the tools of rich men behind the scenes. The journalists are puppets in the hands of the wealthy that control them. In order to save their job, the journalist or anchor will readily lie, deceive (there is that word), say whatever they are told in order to guide people down the path of their handlers-the wealthy of the world! Just one example of this would be the control of media by one Rupert Murdoch. His holdings over the media of this world are staggering.

So, does the world's wealthy have an agenda for the populace of this world? Are they using their wealth and power to communicate to this planet what they want them to know about this virus in order to carry out their agenda for this world? Are their powers above the wealthy people of the world who also have global agendas and the coronavirus is part of finalizing their plans? Does this sound like a conspiracy to you? People shy away from conspiracy today, poo pooing it as conspiracy theorists. Probably just some cuckoo heads. We will look at this in our next chapter. Jesus warned us about deception. I hope we are listening.

Continued in Chapter 2. Conspiracy

### All Chapters of The Coronavirus by Bill Hughes.

- Chapter 1. Deception
- Chapter 2. Conspiracy
- Chapter 3. Wuhan-Catholic Connection
- Chapter 4. Medical Madman in the Beltway
- Chapter 5. Ultimate Goals


### The King James Version: Section V. One

# Reformation, One Evangelical and <u>Protestant Church</u>



The Protestant Reformation changed the course of Christianity, when Martin Luther and his associates referred to themselves as the Evangelicals within the Church.

# <u>The King James Version: Section IV.</u> <u>The History of the Bible</u>



History of the original languages of the Old and New Testament.

# <u>The King James Version: Section III.</u> <u>The Forerunner and the Biblical</u> <u>Shepherd Theme</u>



The Almighty God will come, as promised, His work before Him, as the Good Shepherd. Parallel to Psalm 23 and John 10, He will feed His flock.

## <u>Should Christians Pray For and Support</u> <u>the Modern State of Israel?</u>



God's Promise to physical Israel to live in the land Was Contingent on their obedience. Are modern Israelis obeying God while rejecting Jesus of Nazareth as their Messiah?