

# Early Protestant leaders told us who the Biblical Antichrist is!

According to the Bible, which of the below is the Antichrist likely to look like?



Many Christians know a bit of the history of the Protestant Reformation that began with Martin Luther in 1517. But how many know about the Roman Catholic reaction to the Protestant Reformation, also known as the “Counter-Reformation”? How many Christians have even *heard* of the Counter-Reformation? Not many! And why? It’s because the leadership of the Counter-Reformation have done a bang-up job of undermining Protestant churches and seminaries with false interpretations of Daniel, Matthew 24 and the Book of Revelation to the point they do not know anymore who the Biblical Antichrist is!

## **What early Protestant leaders taught about the Antichrist:**

### **Martin Luther**

“We here are of the conviction that the **papacy is the seat of the seed of the true and real antichrist**. I owe the Pope no other obedience than that I owe to antichrist.”

“I am persuaded that if at this time St. Peter in person should preach all the articles of Holy Scripture and only deny the Pope’s authority, power and primacy and say that the Pope is not the head of all Christendom, they would cause him to be hanged.”

“The *Pope is the very antichrist* who is exalted himself above and opposed himself against Christ because he will not permit Christians to be saved.”

"It is nothing else than the devil himself, because above and against God he urges and disseminates his papal falsehoods concerning Masses, Purgatory, monastic life, one's own works, fictitious divine worship, which is the very papacy, and condemns, murders and tortures all Christians who don't exalt and honor these abominations of the Pope above all things. Therefore just as little as we can worship the devil himself as Lord and God we can endure his apostle the Pope. For to lie and to kill and destroy a body and soul eternally, that is wherein his papal government really consists."

**John Calvin** 1509-1564

"Some persons think us too severe and censorious when **we call the Roman Pontiff antichrist**, but those who are of this opinion do not consider that they bring the same charge of presumption against Paul himself after whom we speak and whose language we adopt. I shall briefly show that Paul's words in 2 Thessalonians 2 are not capable to any other interpretation than that which applies them to the papacy."

**Thomas Cranmer** (2 July 1489 – 21 March 1556) was a leader of the English Reformation and Archbishop of Canterbury during the reigns of Henry VIII, Edward VI and, for a short time, Mary I.

"Whereof it follows Rome to be the seat of antichrist and the Pope to be the very antichrist himself, I could prove the same by many scriptures."

**Cotton Mather** An American Puritan who died in 1728

"The oracles of God foretold **the rising of an Antichrist in the Christian Church: and in the Pope of Rome**, all the characteristics of that Antichrist are so marvelously answered that **if any who read the Scriptures do not see it, there is a marvelous blindness upon them.**"

**Charles Spurgeon**

"It is the bound and duty of every Christian to pray against this Antichrist, and as to what Antichrist is, no sane man ought to raise a question. If it be not the popery in the Church of Rome there is nothing in the world that can be called by that name."



"Popery is contrary to Christ's gospel and is the antichrist and we ought to pray against it. It should be the daily prayer of every believer that the antichrist might be hurled like a millstone into the flood and for Christ, because it wounds Christ, because it robs Christ of his glory, because it puts sacramental efficacy in the place of his atonement and lifts a piece of bread into the place of the Savior and a few drops of water into the place of the Holy Spirit. And puts a mere fallible man like ourselves up as the Vicar of Christ on Earth. IF we pray against it, because it is against him, we shall love the persons though we hate their errors. We shall love their souls though we loathe and detest their dogmas. And so the breath of our prayers will be sweetened because we turn our faces toward Christ when we pray."

"Of all the dreams that have ever deluded men, and probably of all blasphemies that ever were uttered, there has never been one which is more absurd and which is more fruitful in all manner of mischief than the idea that the bishop of Rome can be the head of the church of Jesus Christ."

"A man who deludes other people by degrees comes to delude himself. The deluder first makes dupes out of others and then becomes a dupe to himself. I should not wonder but what the Pope really believes that he is infallible and that he ought to be saluted as "His Holiness." It must have taken him a good time to arrive at that eminence of self deception. But he's got to, I daresay, by now and everyone who kisses his toe confirms him in this insane idea. When everybody else believes a flattering falsehood concerning you, you come, at last, to believe it yourself or at least to think it may be so."

"Christ did not redeem his church with his blood so the Pope would come in and steal away the glory. He never came from heaven to earth. He never poured out his very heart that he might purchase his people. That a poor sinner, a mere man, should be set upon high to be admired by all the nations and to call himself God's representative on earth, Christ has always been the head of his church."

---


# The Bible Tells Us the Identity of Antichrist, the Man of Sin, Son of Perdition



What Bible believers up to the 19th century used to believe about Antichrist, and what they believe today and why. Prophecies about Antichrist and how they were fulfilled in history.

---


## The 70th Week of Daniel is all about Christ, not the Antichrist!



Jesus was crucified in the middle of the 70th Week when 33 years old in 31 AD. The rest of the 70th Week is the Apostles ministry to the Jews up till the persecution began in 34 AD.

---

# Insights about Antichrist



Vicar: (From Latin) vicarius, a **substitute**,  
Anti: (From Greek) against, opposite, **instead of**,  
Vicar of Christ = Anti Christ

I got this from a Facebook post written by Steve Andrews on September 16, 2022.

If you are unfamiliar with the doctrine of dispensationalism, I have [several articles on this site](#) that explain what it means and its influence on popular End-time teaching today.

## **By Steve Andrews**

Regarding the Jesuit invention of the singular “the Antichrist” / false doctrine, “dispensationalism”. All roads lead to Rome in this regard.

I’ve read that this was probably a set up ....like the one sole AntiChrist. The conversion from the antichrist spirit to a singular AntiChrist was popularized by Iranaeus and Tertullian.

The Protestants claimed the Roman Catholic Church met the criteria of the antichrist. In 1554, during the Council of Trent, the Jesuits (Catholic elitists) were commissioned by the Pope to develop a new interpretation of Scripture that would counteract these Protestant claims that the Roman Catholic church fulfilled the Bible prophecy of an antichrist.

In 1590, Jesuit Francisco Ribera published a 500-page commentary on Revelation called “In Sacrum Beati Ioannis Apostoli, & Evangelistiae Apocalypsin Commentarij”. His commentary was never translated to any other language, and it was for the eyes of the Catholic hierarchy only. As a result, Ribera said since the papal was timeless and could not be the antichrist since the antichrist had to be a single identity.

A subsequent Jesuit, Cardinal Bellarmine added the AntiChrist would be an evil man who would reign for seven years at the end of the time.

We see the emergence of modern dispensationalism as a result which would not have been possible without the Freemasonic banker Henry Drummond – a fellow

in the Royal Society of Freemasons.

The Reformation leaders believed that the Catholic Church was the antichrist system because of their centuries long inquisitions and killing hundreds of thousands of people it believed to be heretics. The Jesuits were recruited to infiltrate Protestantism and spread the doctrine of dispensationalism.

The Catholic Church being an antichrist is far too myopic to assume they are the end-all be-all of the antichrist system. It's just one part of the Beast system.

Freemason Darby is the father dispensationalism using esoterics and the Kabbalah – not found in the Bible. Darby popularized the corruption-ridden attorney Scofield in the United States...which is Zionism.


Scofield turned Jesus into the AntiChrist alleging that Lucifer is who the world should be praising. They see Jesus is the AntiChrist because they see themselves as God.

A core part of dispensationalism is the third temple will be rebuilt when the AntiChrist secures a peace treaty between Israel (the nation Zionists contrived, not the true Hebraic Israelites who were exterminated by Zionists) and its neighbors. This became more popular by dispensationalist and Freemason Tim LaHaye of the LeftBehind Series.

Dispensationalism was invented as a self-preservation technique by the Catholic Church to remain in power.

---

## [What Pope Francis wants to teach you about the Antichrist](#)



There are numerous articles on the Internet entitled, "The End Times Book Pope Francis Wants You to Read". It's about a book written in 1907 by Robert Hugh Benson about the rise of the Antichrist. One article from <http://culturalmarxism.net/the-end-times-book-pope-francis-wants-you-to-read/> starts off:

During an airplane news conference on his way back from the Philippines, Pope Francis referenced a 1907 book entitled "Lord of the World" and advised all of those in attendance to read it.

Wikipedia confirms these articles.

Lord of the World is a 1907[1] novel by Monsignor Robert Hugh Benson that centers upon the reign of the Anti-Christ and the End of the World. It has been called prophetic by Dale Ahlquist, Joseph Pearce, Pope Benedict XVI and Pope Francis. (Source: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lord\\_of\\_the\\_World](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lord_of_the_World) )

And what does this book say about the Antichrist?

- His name is Julian Felsenburgh. (Sounds like a Jew.)
- He is a secular humanist, a person against all religious beliefs.
- He leads the world in a final battle between humanism and Catholicism.
- He destroys Rome, kills the Pope and all the Cardinals.
- He attempts to destroy all religions and faith on earth.

Folks, whether you think so or not, this is not the idea of Antichrist that the Protestant Reformers had. To a man they all believed that **the papacy is the Antichrist** and not just a single individual in the future. Pope Francis is reinforcing the false doctrine of a future Antichrist in order to keep people's eyes off of *him* as Antichrist! And how did that false doctrine start? It all began with the wrong interpretation of the final Week of Daniel!

*Daniel 9:27a And he shall confirm the covenant with many for one week:*

Up to the 18th century, all Protestants and Bible believers believed the "he" of Daniel 9:27 is Jesus Christ and the "covenant" talked about in this verse is the **same covenant** mentioned in verse 4 of the same chapter:

Daniel 9:4 ¶And I prayed unto the LORD my God, and made my confession, and said, O Lord, the great and dreadful God, keeping **the covenant** and mercy to them that love him, and to them that keep his commandments;

In other words, "the covenant" is the covenant of grace through faith that God first made with Abraham. It was a covenant already in existence during the time of Daniel. That is why it was "confirmed", and not something made in the future.

They also believed the "one week" or seven years was 3.5 years of Jesus' ministry to the Jews, and 3.5 years of His Apostles' ministry to the Jews up to the time of the first martyr, Stephen. And they believed the "many" of



Daniel 9:27 referred to the believing Jews who received Jesus as Messiah. Why do Christians today believe Daniel 9:27 is talking about a future Antichrist and an Endtime scenario that lasts 7 years? It's because of a false doctrine that was conceived in 1580 by a Jesuit priest, Francesco Ribera, which finally took root in Protestant seminaries sometime in the 19th century. The principal reason to take the final Week of Daniel away from the first 69 weeks and throw it into the future was so Protestants would think of Antichrist as coming in the future so they would get their eyes off the Pope as being Antichrist! This is not speculation but provable facts. For more information please see [The 70th Week of Daniel Delusion](#), and [other articles on this site](#) that gives biblical proof about who the real Antichrist is.

---

## [According to the Scriptures the Biblical Symbol of the Antichrist is a Wild Beast](#)



This is chapter 6 of [The Effect of the Jesuit Eschatologies on America Today](#) – by Dr. Ronald Cooke

Dr. Harry Ironside himself said that it is important to take note of the symbolic nature of the Apocalypse (Book of Revelation). He noted that,

This book is a book of symbols. But the careful student of the Word need not exercise his own ingenuity in order to think out the meaning of the symbols. It may be laid down as a principle of first importance that every symbol used in Revelation is EXPLAINED or ALLUDED TO somewhere else in the Bible. <sup>1</sup> (emphasis added)

This observation of Dr. Ironside's is an excellent one, although not followed either by himself, or many other commentators, in the case of the symbol used to describe the Antichrist in Revelation 13. One of the plain teachings of Scripture laid down in the book of Daniel is that the word BEAST (CHAYAH in CHALDEAN- THERION in GREEK) is used as a symbol of a kingdom or world empire, not as the symbol of an individual man. In fact, EVERY commentator I have



ever read on the book of Daniel has so interpreted the word BEAST. Even those who do not apply the same meaning to the symbol in Revelation 13. Even Dr, Ironside taught that the great BEASTS of Daniel stood for symbols of great WORLD WIDE KINGDOMS. He wrote,

In Daniel's 7th chapter...the man of God...saw...the four GREAT EMPIRES (which) were represented as four ravenous BEASTS so dreadful that nothing on earth fully answers to the descriptions of the wild creatures here depicted. <sup>2</sup> (emphasis added)

Dr. Ironside, like so many others here, while correctly identifying the four BEASTS of Daniel with FOUR WORLD WIDE KINGDOMS, does NOT APPLY the SAME meaning to the symbol when it is used in Revelation 13. If he had done so, he would have been more consistent in following what he called his principle of FIRST IMPORTANCE, that the symbols of the book of Revelation are explained in other places in the Bible.

Dave Hunt, said so dogmatically and so blatantly, about the Reformers, "Scripture does not support their claim." Surely the Scripture does indeed support their claim far more than those who completely IGNORE what Daniel says about the BEAST-KINGDOMS!

Since the earliest of times men have identified the BEASTS of Daniel as WORLD-WIDE KINGDOMS. Cyril of Jerusalem noted that,

We teach these things not of our own invention, but having learned them out of the divine Scriptures, and especially out of the prophecy of Daniel... even as Gabriel the Archangel interpreted saying thus: the fourth BEAST shall be the fourth KINGDOM upon earth, which shall exceed all KINGDOMS: but that this is the Romans, ecclesiastical writers have delivered. <sup>3</sup>

Jerome, Theodoret, and Austin, all see the Beast of Daniel as KINGDOMS and not individuals. The early theological writers in the church ALL interpreted the WILD BEASTS as great WORLD-WIDE EMPIRES.

Martin Luther declared that,

Here then are the two BEASTS; the one is the (ROMAN) EMPIRE, the other with the two horns, the papacy, which has now become a temporal KINGDOM. <sup>4</sup>

John Calvin, said of the BEASTS of DANIEL,

"It is clear that the four MONARCHIES are here depicted." <sup>5</sup>

Later he says concerning Daniel:

He says a FOURTH BEAST APPEARED. He gives it no fixed name, because nothing ever existed like it in the world. The Prophet, by adding no similitude, signifies how horrible this monster was, for he formerly compared the Chaldean Empire to a lion, the Persian to a bear, and the Macedonian to a leopard. <sup>6</sup> (emphasis his)

Here Calvin clearly show that he regards the BEASTS as monarchies or empires.

Newton in his dissertation notes that,

The fourth Beast shall be the fourth KINGDOM upon earth, which shall be diverse from all KINGDOMS, and shall devour the whole earth. This fourth KINGDOM can be none other than the Roman Empire. <sup>7</sup>

Matthew Henry mentions the various views that commentators give concerning the identity of the fourth BEAST but in each case the BEAST is said to REPRESENT a KINGDOM or EMPIRE, not a man. He writes,

The learned are not agreed concerning this anonymous (fourth) BEAST; some make it to be the Roman EMPIRE, which was then in its glory...others make this fourth BEAST to be the KINGDOM of Syria..which was very cruel and oppressive to the people of the Jews... Herein that EMPIRE was diverse from those that went before. <sup>8</sup> (emphasis added)

Albert Barnes also describes the BEASTS of Daniel and Revelation as representing great EMPIRES.

In this description, it is observable that John has combined in one ANIMAL or MONSTER, all those which Daniel brought successively on the scene of action as representing different EMPIRES. Thus in Daniel the LION is introduced as the symbol of the Babylonian power; the bear as the symbol of the Medo-Persian; the Leopard as the symbol of the Macedonian; and a nondescript animal-BEAST-fierce, cruel, and mighty, with two horns as the symbol of the Roman. In John there is one ANIMAL representing Roman POWER, as if it was made up of all these (others)... There was an obvious propriety in this, in speaking of the ROMAN POWER, for it was, in fact, made up of EMPIRES represented by the other beasts in Daniel. <sup>9</sup>

Peter Lange, who wrote in the middle of the 19th century observed that,

The wild beast (of Rev. 13) is a compound of the four Danielic Beasts..Be it observed however, in this connection, that the fourth Beast of Daniel, as the REAL ESCHATOLOGICAL BEAST, embraces, together with the vision of the Roman kingdom, the entire series of World-Powers as coinciding, in perspective with that KINGDOM. <sup>10</sup> (emphasis added)

Elliott noted that Daniel "Is NOT describing the aspect of a man, but rather that of a 'spiritual kingdom.'" <sup>11</sup> (emphasis added) This point seems to be overlooked when men come to the BEAST of the Apocalypse.

In his commentary on the Apocalypse, Adam Clarke is a good example of a man who recognized and followed the principle which Ironside proclaimed was one of very great importance. Clarke does NOT say with William R. Newell, (and a thousand others like him) that proper interpretation of Revelation 13 MUST regard these two Beasts as two men, but must regard these two Beasts in the same way the angelic interpreter in Daniel did, as TWO Kingdoms.

Clarke wrote,

Before we can proceed in the interpretation of this chapter (Rev. 13) it will be necessary to ascertain the meaning of the prophetic symbol-BEAST. For the lack of a proper understanding of this term has been one reason why so many discordant hypotheses have been published to the world.<sup>12</sup> (And they have certainly multiplied a thousand fold since Clarke wrote.)

He then rightly shows that in this investigation it is IMPOSSIBLE to resort to a higher authority than the Scriptures, for the Holy Spirit is His own Interpreter. What then is meant by the term BEAST in Scripture? He proceeds to show that if in one prophetic vision a symbol is explained, that explanation must govern the symbol when it is used in another place in the Bible.<sup>12</sup>

Having laid this foundation, the angel's interpretation of the last of the four BEASTS of Daniel must be examined. Daniel, was very desirous to "know the truth of the fourth BEAST, which was diverse from all others, exceeding dreadful, and the ten horns that were on his head." The angel thus interprets the vision. "The fourth BEAST shall be the fourth KINGDOM upon earth which shall be diverse from all KINGDOMS, and shall devour the WHOLE EARTH." Clarke then shows the significance of the Angel's interpretation of the BEAST. "In this Scripture it is plainly declared that the fourth BEAST should be the fourth KINGDOM upon earth; consequently the four BEASTS seen by Daniel are four KINGDOMS: hence the term BEAST is the prophetic symbol for a KINGDOM."<sup>14</sup> (emphasis his)

Clarke continues his explanation,

As to the nature of the KINGDOM which is represented by the term BEAST, we shall obtain no inconsiderable light in examining the original word CHAYAH. This Old Testament word is translated in the Septuagint by the Greek Word THERION, and both words signify what we term a WILD BEAST. THERION is the word used by John in the Apocalypse, in chapter 13.<sup>15</sup>

So if we take up the Greek word THERION in this sense of a WILD BEAST then the POWER or KINGDOM represented must partake of the nature of a WILD BEAST. Hence an earthly BELLIGERENT POWER is evidently designed. And the comparison is very appropriate; for as several wild beasts carry on perpetual warfare in the animal world, so most governments in the political world.<sup>16</sup>

This BEAST is said to rise up out of the sea, in which it corresponds with the four BEASTS of Daniel; the sea is a great multitude of nations... and the meaning is, that every mighty EMPIRE is raised upon the ruins of a great number of nations... It therefore follows that the KINGDOM or EMPIRE represented by the BEAST, is that which sprang up out of the ruins of the western ROMAN EMPIRE.<sup>17</sup>

Clarke clearly shows that the Old Testament word CHAYAH, (probably Chaldean, or what is now termed Aramaic, and which is the root of CHEYVA-WILD ANIMAL) was translated by the Septuagint scholars with the Greek word THERION-WILD BEAST, and which in each case used in Daniel stands for a world wide KINGDOM or EMPIRE, never for an individual man.

Fairbairn corroborates what Clarke has said. He consistently connects the Beasts of Daniel with the Beasts of Revelation 13.

We notice first the representation that is given in the Apocalypse of the WORLDLY POWER. In Daniel this appeared as a succession of BEASTS, each symbolizing a new and somewhat different form of the GREAT MONARCHIES OF THE WORLD. But now it appears simply as a BEAST, a BEAST however, that had the same origin with those of Daniel, like them arising out of the sea, and a composite creature, UNITING together the several forms of the three first in Daniel (the lion, the bear, and the leopard), and possessing also the ten horns, which were seen in the fourth... The BEAST of the Apocalypse, accordingly, is the WORLDLY POWER, not in its several parts or successive forms of manifestation, but in its totality.<sup>18</sup>

The Wild Beast of the Apocalypse is a great world-wide empire. It represents all the evil powers of Daniel's Beasts, and more. For it is an empire which is not only SIMILAR to the other beasts, but it is also unlike them, in that it is held together by an evil spiritual power. Nothing has ever been seen like it among ordinary political dictatorships; it holds sway over the minds and hearts of men by a pretended holiness, by lying miracles, and by the most outlandish dogmas and irrational claims.

Dean Alford wrote,

By the woman SITTING on the wild-beast, is signified that superintending and guiding power which the rider possesses over his beast; than which nothing could be chosen more apt to represent the superiority claimed and exercised by the See of Rome over the secular kingdoms of Christendom, full of the Names of Blasphemy... The names of blasphemy, which were found before on the heads of the beast only, have now spread over its whole surface. As ridden and guided by the harlot, it is tenfold more blasphemous in its titles and assumptions than before.

The heathen world had but its Divi in the Caesars, as in other deified men of note: but Christendom has its "most Christian" and "most faithful" kings, such as Louis XIV, and Philip II; its, "defenders of the faith," such as Charles II, and James II; its society of unprincipled intriguers called after the sacred name of our Lord, and working Satan's work "ad majorem Dei gloriam;"\* its "holy Office" of the Inquisition, with its dens of darkest cruelty; finally its Patrimony of St. Peter," and its "holy Roman Empire:" all of them, and many more, new names of blasphemy, with which the woman has invested the beast. Go where you will and look where you will in Papal Christendom, names of blasphemy meet us. The taverns, the shops, the titles of men and of places are full of them.<sup>19</sup>

\* For the greater glory of God.

As I was reading my Greek New Testament, "I saw that the Beast-THERION-wild-beast of the Apocalypse, arose out of the sea of nations, and upon his heads

the NAME OF BLASPHEMY." Surely no other system in the known history of mankind has had a greater claim to the NAME OF BLASPHEMY than the Roman Catholic papacy. Here you see a dynasty of men unmatched for veniality, lechery, murder, massacre, and every evil Known to men, claiming to be called the Vicar of Christ and the Supreme Lord of the Universe. Can the depths of such blasphemy be sounded and can any other dynasty of men achieve such depths of blasphemy? Or can any one man match the combined blasphemy of this Wild Beast of the Apocalypse?

This beast stands for a kingdom as Daniel shows, though very few today pay any attention to Daniel. So the name of blasphemy describes the whole. For the blasphemy of Rome extends beyond the papacy to the Mass and to all the other blasphemies which seek to rob Christ of his unique role as the ONLY mediator between God and men, and which blasphemously push Mary and the saints as additional mediators. The rejection of Christ's unique ability to save the lost, by conjuring up that most wicked blasphemy of Purgatory, surely cannot be surpassed,

Theological truths are the most important and when these are twisted and mangled and replaced by human fables, there is no greater blasphemy that evil man can do. The battle of the ages is THEOLOGICAL; the casualties in this war are THEOLOGICAL casualties. The blasphemies in this conflict are spiritual and theological. Blasphemy is railing against God. Pretending to be God. Seeking to replace Christ. Teaching blasphemous fables in place of Divine truth. The Name of Blasphemy has to do primarily and absolutely with theological truths and concepts.

The Name of Blasphemy has been a long and enduring one connected to all the evils that the Popes of Rome have spoken and done. No other organization can match the length or extent of such blasphemies. The NAME of Blasphemy fits the WILD BEAST-THERION-of the Apocalypse, for THERION refers to a kingdom, a dynasty of men, not a single individual as Daniel the prophet clearly reveals.

The Septuagint says,

TAUTA TA THERIA TA TESSARA TESSARES BASILEIAI ANASTESONTAI EPI TES GES.  
Daniel 7:17.

These four beasts are four kingdoms that shall rise up on the earth,

William R. Newell shows that he does not take the SCRIPTURAL identification of the word BEAST when he writes that the BEASTS of Revelation 13, MUST BE interpreted as SINGLE MEN. That is; MUST BE, from his particular prophetic predilection, certainly NOT from the SCRIPTURES! Taking the ANGELIC interpretation of the word BEAST as given in the prophetic scriptures in Daniel, the word BEAST MUST BE interpreted as A KINGDOM OR EMPIRE!

Here it is William R. Newell, and thousands of others just like him, who SPIRITUALIZE the interpretation which the Scripture gives of the word BEAST. He changes the meaning of the symbol and makes it into an individual man. The Bible very clearly in four separate places sets forth the meaning of the

symbol. But the Jesuits and the modern Protestants who now follow them reject what the Bible declares.

I have not been able to find ONE writer who does not identify the Beasts of Daniel with world-wide kingdoms. Nor can I find ONE writer who identifies the Beasts of Daniel with individual men. Dr. Harry Ironside, with countless others, all identify the Beasts of Daniel with monarchies or kingdoms. They do NOT identify them with individual men. Yet all these writers do NOT then transfer that meaning to the Beasts of Revelation. Why? Why do they not follow the Splendid rule that Dr. Ironside puts forward that the symbols used in Revelation are explained or alluded to somewhere else in the Bible?

Patrick Fairbairn pointed out that the biblical symbols are always to be brought forward from the Old Testament to the New. "It was not the image of the future which threw itself back into the past, but rather the image of the past which threw itself forward into the future."<sup>20</sup> He also wisely noted that "in foreshadowing things that are yet to be, it (the Prophet's mind) must avail itself of those which have already been."<sup>21</sup>

In other words, the KNOWN MEANING of the symbol given in the past, has already established the precedent for future interpretation of that same symbol. The great WORLD-EMPIRES of Daniel were portrayed by WILD BEASTS. The singular form for WILD BEAST in the Septuagint was THERION. Therefore when THERION is used in the New Testament, the meaning already established by angelic interpretation in Daniel, must apply to the Apocalypse. If it is a WORLD-WIDE EMPIRE in Daniel; it must be A WORLD-WIDE Empire in the book of Revelation.

The reason, of course, why the Jesuits did not want to see what is plainly revealed in the Scriptures concerning the nature of the WILD BEAST, was because, as Larkin noted, the papal dominion corresponded so closely with the eschatological kingdom of the Beast of Revelation. The Jesuits went to work to disassociate the Papal dominion from the dominion of the BEAST-the Antichrist. Obviously, there were two ways open to the Jesuits and they used them. They made the Antichrist to be only a man who appeared early in church history, or a man who would not appear in church history until the very end of it. In this way they could then assert to anybody who would listen, (and the majority of Protestants HAVE listened) that the Papal dominion could not be the Beast, since the Beast was only a man who either has already appeared and gone, or a man who has not yet appeared.

The fact that the Jesuits promoted and defended BOTH of their views is surely an important issue. For it did not seem to matter to them, that BOTH views were mutually exclusive. If you believe that Antichrist arose and fell in the first century, then you cannot at the same time assert that he is yet to come. But the Jesuits did just that. On the one hand some Jesuits asserted that the Antichrist had already appeared and gone, and other Jesuits claimed that the Antichrist had not yet appeared. So it seems that they were primarily concerned about trying to refute the position of the Reformers and the Puritans even if it meant CONTRADICTING each other. They also managed to get the Protestants FIGHTING each other. For the Reconstructionists all follow Alcasar the Jesuit while most Futurists follow Ribera the Jesuit, so

you have the **strange anomaly of Bible-believing Protestants fighting each other over Jesuit teachings**. I have read some of the scorching rhetoric of the Reconstructionists blasting the futurist position without them apparently realizing that they are contending for a Jesuit doctrine, not a Reformed Protestant one. However, as, I read more about Reconstructionism and the Jesuits, I see more and more that the Reconstructionists follow the Jesuits very closely in their view of the community, the idea of the cultural war and the promoting of a co-belligerency with Roman Catholics and their church to fight secular humanism.

The Reconstructionist eschatology certainly affects their ecclesiology. Antichrist could not be a co-belligerent with all those Reformed Reconstructionists. So they had to rid Rome of that stigma so that she could become a co-belligerent of the Reformed.

As Sir Robert Anderson noted, \* it was the power of the truth that enabled men to stand against Rome. This was the secret of the triumph of the martyrs of Jesus.

\* Sir Robert Anderson, Harry Ironside, and Dave Hunt, with many others, blast the Roman Catholic System, while at the same time promoting the Jesuit position on the Man of Sin. A strange anomaly to say the least.

With hearts awed by the fear of God, garrisoned by the peace of God, and exulting in the love of God, shed abroad there by the Divine Spirit, they stood for the truth against priests and princes combined, and daring to be called heretics they were faithful to their Lord in life and in death.

Heaven was as silent then as it is now. No sights were seen, no voice was heard to make their persecutors pause. No signs were witnessed to give proof that God was with them as they lay upon the rack or gave up their life-breath at the stake. But with their spiritual vision focused upon Christ, the unseen realities of heaven filled their hearts, as they passed from a world that was not worthy of them to the home that God has prepared for them that love Him. <sup>22</sup>

The martyrs of Jesus show the evidence of the great conspiracy in history, out to silence the truth of the glorious gospel of redeeming grace. For in the bowels of that great apostate conspiracy are found the blood of prophets, and of saints, and of all that were slain upon the earth (Rev. 18:24).

## REFERENCES

1. Ironside, op.cit., p. 13.
2. Ibid., p. 224.
3. Newton, Thomas, The Prophecies, J. J. Woodward, Philadelphia, PA, 1835, pp. 212-213.
4. Luther, Martin, Works, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, MI, Vol. VI, p.



484.

5. Calvin, John, Daniel Commentary, Banner of Truth Trust, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1995, p. 13.

6. Ibid., p. 21.

7. Newton, op.cit., p. 201.

8. Henry, Matthew, Commentary, Vol. VI, Fleming H. Revell, p. 1071.

9. Barnes, Albert, Notes on the New Testament, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, MI, Vol. X., p. 320.

10. Lange, Peter, Commentary, Vol. 12, Zondervan, Grand Rapids, MI, 1960 p. 266.

11. Elliot, E. B., Horae Apocalypticae, Still Waters Revival Books, Edmonton, Alta, Canada, p. 195.

12. Clarke, op.cit., p.1015,

13. Loc.cit.

14. Loccit.

15. Loc.cit.

16. Loc.cit,

17. Loc.it.

18. Fairbairn, Patrick, Prophecy, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, MI, 1976, p. 304.

19. Alford, Henry, Greek New Testament, Guardian Press, Brand Rapids, MI, Reprint Vol IV, p. 706.

20. Faitbaim, op.it, p. 155.


21. Ibid., p. 137.

22. Anderson, Sir Robert, The Silence of God, Hodder & Stoughton, London, 1899, p. 152.

Continue to the next chapter: [The Consequences of the Jesuit Eschatologies in America Today](#)

---


# How Catholic Theology of the Antichrist Came to be Embraced By Protestants



The Bible shows the Popes of Rome fulfilled the prophecy of the man of sin who stands in the Temple – the Church of Christ – calling himself God on earth.

---

## VATICAN NEWS: United Nations, Pope Francis I “Jesuit Order” 666 “ANTICHRIST”



Vicar: (From Latin) vicarius, **a substitute**,  
Anti: (From Greek) against, opposite, **instead of**,  
Vicar of Christ = Anti Christ

This is a well made short documentary that covers important history of the German Nazi / Vatican connection most people are ignorant of.

I value the history in this documentary but not specific Seventh Day Adventist doctrines such as Sabbath day keeping. Fact: There is NO emphasis in the New Testament on Sabbath day keeping! Just read Acts chapter 15. The Gentiles were commanded to keep only **FOUR precepts!**


Act 15:28 For it seemed good to the Holy Ghost, and to us, to lay upon you no greater burden than these necessary things;

29 That ye abstain from meats offered to idols, and from blood, and from things strangled, and from fornication: from which if ye keep yourselves, ye shall do well. Fare ye well.

1. Abstain from meats offered to idols,
2. and from blood,
3. and from things strangled,
4. and from fornication:

---

## [The Biblical Identity of the Antichrist](#)




Once upon a time I used to like to speculate on who the Antichrist might be. I was deceived by a Jesuit doctrine which is known as *futurism*, i.e. the belief that the Antichrist is a single person who will appear at the very end of man's rule on earth, a "final 7 years", or so they say. If you hold this view, please know that it's a view held by Christians only since sometime in the 19th century! All Christians prior to that point identified the Antichrist as the papacy! Not convinced? Please check out the following articles and you will see why I believe what I believe about who the Antichrist is.

No posts found.

Also see Walt Stickel's article: [Bench Marks of the Beast.](#)

---

## The True Meaning of the Word “Antichrist”



Vicar: (From Latin) vicarius, **a substitute**,  
Anti: (From Greek) against, opposite, **instead of**,  
Vicar of Christ = Anti Christ

Most people today think of the prefix “anti-” as meaning “against,” but in context of antichrist it actually means “in place of.” Early Christians understood this word to not mean someone who sought to *destroy* Christ but someone who sought to set himself up in *the place of Christ*!

---

## Chart of the 10 Economic Regions of the Antichrist


This is my own handcrafted HTML chart based on a graphic from some website I cannot remember. Can the 10 horns of Revelation chapter 13 be interpreted to be these 10 regions or principalities of the earth? I think it’s a very good interpretation indeed.

1. NAFTA	2. EU	3. AFEC	4. M.E.	5. W.Asia /E.Europe	6. Central Asia	7. ASEAN	8. Orient	9. S.Africa	10. S.America
U.S.A.	U.K.	Australia	Turkey	Most Balkan States	Russia	Thailand	Japan	Union of S.Africa	Venezuela
Canada	France	New Zealand	Syria	Poland	Armenia	Bangladesh		Nigeria	Brazil
Mexico	Germany	China	Jordan	Romania	Georgia	Cambodia		Ethopia	Chile
Central America	Italy	South Korea	Israel	Hungary	Azerbaijan	India		Belgian	Columbia
	Belgium	Indonesia	Lebanon	Bulgaria	Cuba	Laos		Uganda	Guatemala

	Denmark	North Korea	Egypt	Czechoslovakia		Vietnam		Kenya	Nicaragua
	Ireland	Mongolia	S. Arabia	Serbia		Myanmar		Somali	Yucatan
	Spain			Albania		Nepal		Angola	Paraguay
	Netherlands		Maghreb Union	Estonia		Pakistan		Zimbabwe	Argentina
	Greece		Libya	Latvia		Afganistan		Mozambique	Bolivia
	Luxembourg		Algeria	Lithuania		Iran		Madagascar	Peru
	Portugal		Tunisia			Iraq			Ecuador
	Austria		Morocco						Uruguay
	Finland		Sudan						Guinness
	Sweden								

The most interesting thing for me about this chart is that Japan has a region all to its own. Could it be because Japan is the only super economy left in the world?

## [The Georgia Guidestones – the 10 commandments of the Antichrist?](#)




The Georgia Guidestones is a large granite monument in Elbert County, Georgia, USA. A message comprising ten guides is inscribed on the structure in eight modern languages, and a shorter message is inscribed at the top of the structure in four ancient languages' scripts: Babylonian, Classical Greek, Sanskrit, and Egyptian hieroglyphs. (from [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Georgia\\_Guidestones](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Georgia_Guidestones))



English inscription on one of the Georgia Guidestones.

What the first statement says:



Recently a friend called my attention to the Georgia Guidestones. I've heard of the message on them before, but this is the first time to see a photo of one of the stones. The [Wikipedia explanation of them](#) is evenhanded in my opinion, but the photo of the stone shown on Wikipedia is dark making it hard to read. Using a free graphic editor ([Gimp](#)), I increased the brightness and contrast making the writing on the stone much easier to see. Is this the plan of the New World Order? You be the judge.

## THE MESSAGE OF THE GEORGIA GUIDESTONES


1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely – improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion – faith – tradition – and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth – beauty – love – seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth – Leave room for nature – Leave room for nature.

Take note of the cap on world population in the first statement. That's a reduction of 92% of the present 6 billion people on earth! How is the ruling Elite going to do that? They've been working on it from as early as 1954! Probably the final method will be [extermination of all who will not bow down to the Beast](#). But [Jesus won't let them get away with it](#) entirely!

---

**[The Approaching End of the Age – Part IV. Section II. The Law of Completion In Weeks. Chapter III. The Week In History. Part 5.](#)**





The Fall of the Ottoman Empire. The period of “seven times” shown to be the duration of the last or Gentile dispensation, and also of the two earlier.

---

## The Approaching End of the Age – Part IV. Section II. The Law of Completion In Weeks. Chapter III. The Week In History. Part 3.




The three great dispensations—the patriarchal—the Jewish—the Christian.

---

## The Approaching End of the Age – Part IV. Section II. The Law of Completion




## In Weeks. Chapter III. The Week In History. Part 2.



Exposition and defence of the year-day system.

---

## The Approaching End of the Age – Part IV. Section II. The Law of Completion In Weeks. Chapter III. The Week In History. Part 1.



Scripture the chart of history.—Preliminary questions as to historic and prophetic chronology.—The age of the human race.—Old testament chronology. The Hebrew and the Septuagint chronology compared.—How are we to interpret the symbolic periods of prophetic chronology?

---

## Should Christians Pray For and Support the Modern State of Israel?


An example of evil Zionist propaganda.



God's Promise to physical Israel to live in the land Was Contingent on their obedience. Are modern Israelis obeying God while rejecting Jesus of Nazareth as their Messiah?

---

## Notes On Revelation Chapter Eighteen



Martin Luther, before his conversion, was a good Romanist; from his conversion up to his discovery that the Pope was Antichrist, he was a Christian and a Romanist; from that date on, he was a good Christian but not a Romanist at all.

---

## The Approaching End of the Age – Part III. Foretold and Fulfilled. Chapter I.



We have been contemplating the two mysteries of the Apocalypse. The word “mystery” signifies something spiritual; it here describes a church, The first mystery is explained to us by Christ Himself: “The mystery of the seven stars which thou sawest; the seven stars are the angels of the seven churches, and the seven candlesticks which thou sawest, are the seven churches.” The second mystery is explained also: “I will tell thee the mystery of the woman. The woman is *that great city* which reigneth over the kings of the earth.”

---

## Does the Bible say to Bless Israel?



This is a message from Pastor Adam Fannin of Law of Liberty Baptist Church in Jacksonville, FL. I never listened to Pastor Fannin before. I think he's a great teacher and did an excellent job in teaching this Bible class. It's a Bible study rather than preaching a sermon. And I think in the light of current events this message is important. The government of Israel could not exist without military support from the USA, and sad to say the majority of American evangelicals only encourage American military support for Israel because they believe by doing so they are supporting "God's people." Pastor Fannin clearly brings out why this is wrong according to the Bible.

## Transcription

The Bible says you have to bless Israel or God won't bless you. How many of you have heard something like that? We have to bless Israel if we want God to bless us. What's interesting about this is most people that say this *never back it up with Scripture*.

And I want to challenge your beliefs in this video. I want to challenge you to look at the Bible and stand on the Word of God alone. I want to challenge you to get rid of any man-made traditions that may contradict, any doctrines that are divisive, namely dispensationalism or Zionism.

I want to talk about what the Bible actually says. Many people treat the modern day nation of Israel as if they were some sort of a lucky rabbit's foot. And even though they are anti-Jesus, anti-Christ, anti-Christian, the modern day nation of Israel, we're supposed to bless them? And we're being told that the Bible says this. But did you know the Bible doesn't say anywhere to bless Israel?

And I want to show you this. I'm going to back it up with the Bible. And I want you to watch this with an open mind. I want to challenge you that are on the fence that say, "well, aren't they God's chosen people?" Well, if you didn't choose Christ, whose people are you? "Well, don't they have the bloodline?" Well, what if they don't? "Well, don't they read the first five books of the Old Testament?" Well, what if they don't?

And I want to show you a few things. And I'm just praying that the Holy Spirit will work in your heart right now and be able to use the Scriptures that we're about to talk about. And I want to show you some history. And I just hope that this changes your perspective on who the real Israel is.

I believe most Christians have been duped. We're believing in the wrong Israel. There's a fake Israel. There are fake Jews. There's fake news with a fake language. A fake holy book with a fake gospel. And many fake Christians are crying the loudest saying, we have to help them build the third temple.

I want to go to step number one, which is Genesis 12. Many people will reference Genesis 12 when they say, it says to bless Israel or to bless the Jews.

By the way, the word Jews is not a racist term. It's a religion. And I want to be clear before we get into the Scripture. Judaism is a false religion, and the people that believe it need to be saved by believing on the Lord Jesus Christ. Any ethnicity of whether they be Semitic from Shem or of the tribe of Judah or perhaps of any of the nation, the 12 tribes of Israel, they need to be saved by believing on the Lord Jesus Christ. Just as much as a Palestinian, an Arab, a Muslim, they need to be saved.

And so I want to be clear here. I'm not a racist. God hates racism. God absolutely despises racism. He's not a respecter of persons. He made all nations of one blood. I share blood with the Muslim Palestinian and with the Jewish, whether they be European or Arabic, Semitic. We all share the same

blood.

And so I want to look at the Scriptures. I want to challenge you in what you believe. Here in Genesis chapter 12, he tells us, "And I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed."

Now here's the thing. This is the King James Bible, which is, I believe, the sharpest sword, okay? So, thee is singular. That's one person. Thee, thou, thine is all one person. But when you get into you or ye, or as we say in the South, y'all, that's plural. So the Bible is actually more clear that we can see when you're speaking to a multitude, a group, or to an individual. So when you see this passage, I will bless them that bless thee, that's one person.

You say, "well, what person?" Well, this is Abram. He wasn't even called Abraham. This is Abram at this point. "I will make of thee a great nation." So we do see a promise of a multitude of people. This would have been biblical Israel, which is different than the modern-day nation of Israel. And I'm going to prove it.

So then he goes on and says, "I will bless them that bless thee." And this is where people get derailed. He's literally speaking to one man. This is an Abrahamic promise of a blessing. And *this same promise has been continued to all people that are saints, or elect, or believers, or Christians, or the Israel of God.* There's many terms we can use. **It's all the same group.** The church in the wilderness, the biblical reference, that there were believers in the wilderness long before they ever had a nation, long before they were established on the land.

So he says, "And I will bless them that bless thee (Abram), and curse him that curseth thee (Abram): and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed." Now this is actually interpreted for us in Galatians chapter 3. So when I go to Galatians, and if you have your Bible, feel free to follow along. I want you to see what he says. Galatians 3:6,7 Even as Abraham believed God, and it was accounted to him for righteousness. Know ye therefore that they which are of faith, the same are the children of Abraham.

Now this is cool. If you're in faith, if you're saved by faith, you're the children of Abraham. That was the promise that was given back then. And that promise applies to you today.

So he says, Galatians 3:8 And the scripture, foreseeing that God would justify the *heathen* (that is the nations) through faith, preached before the gospel unto Abraham, saying, In thee shall all nations be blessed.

So how were they blessed? Galatians 3:9 So then they *which be of faith* are blessed with faithful Abraham.

So what verse 9 is teaching us here is that if you're a Christian, that the blessing of Abraham comes upon *you*. Those that would bless you, God will bless. Those that curse you, God will curse.

I'm going to go back to Genesis 12 in a second, but I want to help just define it first by the New Testament. Because the New Testament defines the Old Testament. Galatians 3.16 "Now to Abraham and his seed were the promises made. He saith not, And to seeds, as of many;" – So it's not talking about a physical lineage here – "And to thy seed, which is Christ." So to Abraham and his seed were the promises made. What seed? The Lord Jesus Christ.

So in Genesis, those promises were made of the Lord Jesus Christ. That through Him, all the families of the earth would be blessed. How are all the families of the earth blessed? Well, by the forgiveness of sins. By the substitutionary payment of the Lord Jesus Christ as His death, His burial, and His resurrection, His atonement for sin.

Now, I want to show you that in the end of this chapter here, that the Lord plagued Pharaoh. So right away, we actually see the truth of this blessing. That Pharaoh was cursed because of his interaction, or you could say the curse that he put upon Abram's wife. And once they resolved their problem, God ended up blessing Pharaoh for being a blessing to him.

Now, I want to move forward two chapters. Genesis 14. This is where we hear about Melchizedek. Some of you may know who I'm talking about. This is the picture of Christ without father and mother, without descent. Abram goes and gets Lot back. Melchizedek, king of Salem, brought forth bread and wine. He was the priest of the Most High God. And he blessed him and said, Blessed be Abram of the Most High God.

So here again, we see Abram being blessed, this time here by Melchizedek. This is kind of an awesome picture, foreshadowing what we would see, the Lord Jesus Christ in His coming, and the blessing of the forgiveness of sins that's been promised to all people of all time. This promise is for all the nations.

So this is a really, just a really cool, really encouraging thing to see. So this actually happened in the time of Abraham, and yet it was foreshadowing the coming of Christ.

Now, I want to talk about dispensationalism for a minute. I want to pull this screen up here. Let's do it this way. Dispensationalism is a new doctrine.

Now, I've recently preached some sermons about it, showing how it was *Jesuit-influenced*. Dispensationalism is *not* the origin of the premillennial view. The historic premillennial view, or classic premillennial view, was the majority of the beliefs during the early Christians, those that Paul taught, those that John instructed, believed in what is now commonly categorized as a historic premillennial view. And with that, they believed that there will be three and a half years of tribulation, and then the Lord returns. (**Note:** I wrote this pastor through his YouTube channel and asked him on what scriptures he bases that doctrine.) So premillennial has always been the most popular until the Catholic Church came along and hijacked Christianity. With that also, you know, Reformed theology.

It's Reformed Catholicism. I'm not a Reformed Catholic. I'm a Christian.

There's always been a clear difference between the Christians and the Reformers. Many of the Reformers came up with Christian ideas because they were persecuting them, stealing their books, burning them, reading them. And many of the priests came along, justification by faith. Hey, I think we're saved without works. And they were getting some of these ideas, but they were still baptizing babies. And they were saying that there's this covenant that gives us salvation. It's not by faith. And so they believed in this baptismal covenant or a generational covenant for salvation to enter in. And that's just simply not true. They don't understand covenant. They don't understand faith. They say that faith was given to us by God. So there's a lot of confusion in the Reformed camp.

Well, the historic premillennial view would also reject replacement theology. It's not that Israel has always existed and that the church now replaces Israel. Quite the opposite. There was a church before Israel. Israel had the church. The true believers were the real Israel, the spiritual Israel. Your lineage doesn't get you into heaven. Your church attendance doesn't get you into heaven. Your zip code in Palestine or in Israel doesn't get you to heaven. Your bloodline cannot save you and get you into heaven. God's not a racist.

So it's always been by faith to be God's children. And so when I pull this up, dispensationalism, this is just a Wikipedia page. And I understand the problems with Wikipedia, CIA operation. People can change it and all like that. But let's just look at what the common teachings are here. I'm not going any deeper than that. There's more you can go into, and we will.

It talks about the church age and dispensationalism. It interprets the Bible into multiple ages called dispensations. And he interacts with his chosen people in different ways. I've got to say this. You that are watching this right now, God's dealing with you differently than he is with me. God deals with everybody differently. Now, were there new things revealed at different times? *Of course*. Was there ever a difference in salvation? *No, never*.

And that's the big deal. That's where dispensationalism was designed. It was specifically created to teach that there were salvation, multiple ways, with works before the church and after the church, as they say.

And if you notice here on the screen, this is where we get the difference, a distinction between Israel and the church. And maintain the premillennial view, which has always existed. But then you get into Christian Zionism, which is actually an oxymoron.

Zionism is a political movement to build the third temple for the Antichrist. And they're going to claim to be Israel. They're going to claim to be Jewish. They're going to claim to be Christ. So Christian Zionism does exist. Many famous preachers, John Hagee and even Baptist conservative preachers, would claim to be Zionist. And that's blasphemy to say that you want to help Israel build their third temple.

They believe the rapture is before the second coming, which we don't see that anywhere in the Bible. In fact, quite the opposite. We see that at the Lord's



coming, it is after the tribulation.

Dispensationalism was systematized and promoted by John Nelson Darby of the Plymouth Brethren. And so let's take a look at John Nelson Darby.

This is John Nelson Darby. He made many charts and writings on this. And he traveled about the 1830s. And he was giving speeches about it. And he preached a false gospel. John Nelson Darby, he actually was a fatalist. He's a Calvinist that did not believe in free will.

So then Cyrus Scofield picked up on it. Now Cyrus Scofield, C.I. Scofield, he had several writings. And then the Oxford Press began to publish his book, the Scofield [Reference] Bible. Now this guy has a very bad history. He was not qualified to be a preacher. He preached a false gospel.

In the Scofield reference Bible, and I've shown this recently in some sermons, he literally taught that Jesus read from the Talmud and that Jesus adopted from the Talmud on page 1099. If you have a reference Bible, you can look that up. And he also taught that there were works. Everybody had to work their way to heaven before the cross. And so he's a damnable heretic. The Scofield notes cannot be trusted. They are a lie.

And this brings me to another topic. This man, Eleazar Ben Yehuda. And actually I'll skip ahead to the Zionist Congress because he's directly related to the Star of David, the nation of Israel. And the Zionist Congress was to establish a new nation, to call it Israel. Originally they said they were going to call it Judea, and they changed and they ended up calling it Israel. So backing up two steps, Eleazar Ben Yehuda.

Eleazar Ben Yehuda, he and ten other rabbis restored the language of Hebrew. Now here's what's interesting. Hebrew was a dead language. It was an unspoken language even in the time of Christ. Christ would have understood some Hebrew terms. Many of the men did at that time. But they predominantly spoke in Aramaic. The tribes that were sent into captivity into Babylon adopted their language of Aramaic. But the trade language, the world language at the time was in Greek. So they would have spoken Greek and Aramaic. But Hebrew was not a commonly used language. There's Old Testament scriptures in Aramaic at that time and even in Greek at that time. And so they had the preservation of the scriptures throughout time and throughout languages just as we do today.

But this guy said we're going to restore Hebrew. Now I want to show you some facts about this guy. He, let's see here, his native tongue was Yiddish. Yiddish is a Germanic-influenced or Ukrainian-influenced version of Hebrew. They took the Ukrainian and the German tongue to interpret Hebrew.

So this guy created a new Hebrew. They originally called it Restored Hebrew. Now they call it Modern Hebrew. It is not Biblical Hebrew. I bring this up to help you understand. What they speak in Israel today is not Biblical Hebrew. They say things totally different with a Yiddish influence. Not Israel, not Jewish, Yiddish influence. And that Yiddish influence is why you have many Hebrew roots movements trying to tell you don't say Jesus, say Yahoshua. And why they say don't say Jehovah, say Yahweh. Which, by the way, Morals and

Dogma, the Satanic Masonic book, which is directly tied to Judaism, they actually teach this same concept. They say that Yahweh is Satan.

So make sure that you're saying God's name in English, okay? You don't need to say His name in German. You don't need to say His name in Yiddish. You don't need to say it in Spanish. If you speak English, say it in English. These name changers are very dangerous because they also begin to attack doctrine, and usually the deity of Christ is right behind.

I want to talk about the Star of David. This is called a hexagram in magic. It is the most powerful magic sign. They put a hex on somebody. This is what witchcraft is all about, that they put the hex on you. The Star of David, as it's commonly called, has nothing to do with David.

They tell you from Kabbalism, which, again, Kabbalism, the Kabbalah, the Talmud, we see it also in Freemasonry. The secret societies of the world worship Satan along with mystical Judaism of today. So they call it the Seal of Solomon. It is mystical, magical, occult. This is literally occultism.

That six-pointed star is to put a hex on people. And I believe that Satan hates those that identify as Jews today, and I believe that he hates the nation of Israel, just as he hates Christians and everybody else, and he wants to take as many as he can to hell.

Kabbalism is Satanic sex magic. It's very perverse. All of your Hollywood elite practice it, and it serves them well.

But I want to point out here that the Shield of David, we see it in Hinduism, we see it in Buddhism, we see it in Islam. This is actually an Islamic symbol. And again, you can pull all of this up, the hexagram. I'm trying to find a certain spot here. Well, Shalom, here we go. Also found in Hinduism, Lakshmi, Buddhism.

I want you to understand many Christians embrace this star because they say that they don't like the Muslims, but it's actually a Muslim [symbol].

Gershom Sholom writes that the term Seal of Solomon was adopted by Jews from Islamic magic literature. While he cannot assert with certainty whether the term the Shield of David originated in Islamic or Jewish mysticism.

Christians, I want you to wake up. The Jews adopted Islamic magic literature with that shield, that Star of David. This is a horribly wicked thing.

Judaism today is known as rabbinical Judaism, and the rabbinical Judaism, they claim their origins from the Pharisees of Jesus' time. The Pharisees wrote down their tradition. It was codified into law, a written law, about 600 years after Jesus. And in it, they say it's okay to blaspheme, steal, murder, cheat, especially against the goyim, which are those that are not Jewish in practice because they say they have no soul. They're like a cattle. It says they should decapitate somebody, literally cut off their head, for those that don't believe in their Christ, that believe in another Christ.

This brings in the Noahide laws. The Babylonian Talmud is the handbook. It is

the religion of Judaism today, and Jesus warned about it. He literally warned about their oral tradition, and in it, it also says, the Babylonian Talmud, you can look this up, that it's okay to sodomize a child nine years and under. This is bizarre. What a bizarre religion that makes any excuse to hurt children, any excuse to hurt the innocent, to murder those that don't believe like them.

Biblical Christianity, we believe that it's our job to convert with the Word, not the sword. It's the sword of the Lord that we use, which is the Word of God. We don't hold you at gunpoint. We don't drop bombs on you to make you Christians. Quite the opposite. Jesus said to turn the other cheek. In fact, Jesus said, blessed be the peacemakers. And either you're a peacemaker or you're a warmonger. I know that's quite an extreme, and maybe you're in the middle, and you say, yeah, but shouldn't we go get them before they come get us? I'd be very careful with that.

We are making generations of other nations, of Palestinians, Muslims, Iran, Afghanistan, Iraq. You just look at the mess that we've made, and there are generations that hate us because of our military action, and they think that that's the Christian agenda. The Catholics are not Christians. Zionists are not biblical Christians. They don't represent us, and it's time for biblical Christians to just stand up for what's right and do what's right, to, blessed be the peacemakers. We as Christians, we don't drop bombs. We drop Bibles. We literally drop in tracts and Bibles into foreign occupied nations.

And there's quite a stir these days with World War III on the horizon as Israel is attacking Iran, and as Christians, we don't go to war for Israel. We don't go to war for Ukraine. Now look, self-defense, clearly in the Bible, **but our government has been hijacked by a political campaign for the Zionists, which are pro-Israeli.** Do you understand that our American government, the majority of our senators and congressmen have received money from foreign entities, from Israelis, donate through AIPAC to give to American lawmakers so that American lawmakers will make laws saying we should go to war for Israel, we should uphold Israel above all else.

Now what's really interesting, even here in Florida where we're at, our governor, Ron DeSantis, boy, he did a lot of good things when all the lockdowns were happening. He did some things right, and I'm very thankful for the freedom that we have in Florida. It's still very free compared to other states, but he actually went to Israel to pass a law about hate speech, and I want to be clear. Saying you don't support another religion, that's not hate speech.

Now racism, yeah, that's hate. Bible doesn't advocate for that. Christians should not say, I hate the Arabs, I hate the Palestinians. You shouldn't say that. That would be a wicked statement. God wants them to be saved. But Ron DeSantis passed a law saying if you criticize Israel or political Zionism, that you're an anti-Semite.

And I want to deal with this. What is a Semite? I want to show you what the Bible says. Let's start with Wikipedia. Semite, the Semitic peoples. It's a term for ethnic or cultural or racial groups. So now they've defined it

multiple ways. They have changed this definition over time to use it for their agenda.

That statement, anti-Semite, they've now weaponized it against anybody that would disagree with them politically. And it tells you right away, they're in Africa, the Middle East, the Assyrians, that's not Israel, the Arabs, that's not Israel, the Armenians, the Canaanites, that's definitely not Israel, Phoenicians, do you follow what I'm getting at here? They're the sons of Shem. There was Shem, Ham, and Japheth, and the sons of Shem are many, many nations.

Now, if you say I don't support the war in Ukraine, I wouldn't call you a racist because there's many bloodlines represented in Ukraine. But what happens is anytime you criticize this one nation, Israel, anytime you criticize this political agenda called Zionism, they instantly call you a racist. That is anti-Semitic.

Well, to be anti-Semitic would be wrong. I preached a sermon, I'll put it in the description, I showed from the description to be Semitic means to prefer the race of the Semites. Now, that is racism.

We've had a problem in America with reversed racism where there were many African Americans, black population, islanders that were mistreated. Even Hispanics and Mexicans and Puerto Ricans and Costa Ricans mistreated in America. And so we had a knee-jerk reaction. It's like they jerked the wheel into another ditch and now there's a reversed racism. We're going to prefer you over somebody that's white and or Caucasian or European. And now you get into this whole spectrum of color and race and blood.

And to me, it's all very wrong. I don't believe anybody has more rights than another. So Semitism is racism and it needs to be avoided, especially by Christians.

Anti-Semitism is racism. It needs to be avoided by Christians. But to say, well, the Israel over there, they don't have the bloodline because there are many Europeans over there which aren't of the 12 tribes. And they say, oh, you're a racist. You see how bizarre it is, how this is one of those protected groups.

They say, if you want to know who secretly rules you, who is it illegal to speak against, right? So if it's wrong, everybody calls you a racist as soon as you say we shouldn't defend Israel.

And then they try to tell you that Genesis 12 tells us, God said, bless them. Well, that's not what it said. God said, Abram would be blessed and that he would bring Christ. Abram would be blessed and that he would bring Christ. The Bible does not say, bless Israel and God will bless you. Not at all.

The religion of the Jews is something the Bible actually warns about. And I want to show you that. I want to go to the scriptures here and I want you to see where I'm going so that you can do this yourself.

In Romans chapter two, first of all, at the end of the chapter, he says, for

he is not a Jew, which is one outwardly. In other words, what's outwardly? Well, that's your flesh. You're not a Jew if you're one outwardly. He says, but he is a Jew, which is one inwardly. And circumcision is that of the heart, in the spirit, not of the letter, whose praise is not of men, but of God. Who is a Jew? One that's circumcised in the heart.

So God's people were those that were circumcised in the heart. If you continue through Romans, and there are many dispensationalists or Zionists that, oh, Romans nine through 11, brother. Well, that's not actually what it says.

I want to show you this in context. He says in verse number six, Not as though the word of God hath taken none effect. For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel:

This is a really profound statement. Most people don't know what this means. They think, "Well, God's going to restore them in the endtimes. He's going to miraculously save all of them." That's not what it says, not at all. In fact, quite the opposite. He literally says that they are not Israel. Why? Look at verse 8. "That is, They which are the children of the flesh, these are *not* the children of God:..." So to say that the 12 tribes are only the children of God, well, that's wrong, and it's blasphemous.

In Revelation chapter 2:9 "I know thy works, and tribulation, and poverty, (but thou art rich) and I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan."

If you are teaching that the Jews are God's chosen people, you are blaspheming Jesus Christ! If you're saying that they can be saved any other way, by their own works, by the old Covenant – it's literally blasphemy that they would say that. So I want to challenge you, I want to encourage you, what does the Bible say? If you go back and look at Genesis 12, it does not support the narrative that Christians should support the political nation of Israel.