

# The 50 Most Dangerous Cities in the World: Mainly Roman Catholic



Crime scene in Philadelphia, one of the most Catholic cities in the USA. Philadelphia consistently ranks above the national average in terms of crime, especially violent offenses.

It's been 10 years since I first posted this article. A Catholic priest read it and didn't like it! He wrote a comment in the comments section. Please read what he wrote and my reply. Just scroll down until you see it.

In the list below, 41 (82%) of the 50 most dangerous cities in the world are in Roman Catholic Latin America areas. The 5 American cities in the list all have a heavy Roman Catholic influence. New Orleans, St. Louis, and Baltimore were founded by Roman Catholics. So if you add the 5 American cities to the 41 Latin American areas, it results in 92% of the most violent cities in the world under Roman Catholic influence.

And why are these cities so violent? They are all economically depressed. Consider the fact that Roman Catholic nations tend to be poor whereas Protestant nations have prospered economically. Protestant Northern Europe is definitely better off than Southern Europe which has a stronger Roman Catholic influence.

Of all the Roman Catholic countries I know of, only Poland is doing well economically. The reason I think is because Poles are hard-working people. All of my grandparents were born in Poland! I had the opportunity to visit Poland for 3 weeks, and I loved it. It's a clean and beautiful country and its infrastructure was much better than Russia at the time (1997) in spite of the fact it was dominated by Soviet Communism from 1945 to 1989.

The United States of America was strongest economically when Protestantism was strongest. Can you see the relationship? Since a flood of Roman Catholic immigrants from Ireland, Poland, and Latin America, Roman Catholic influence in the American government is stronger, and the economy is weaker!

Is the Roman Catholic church secretly controlling American politics? If you don't think so, explain why President Obama wants to change the immigration laws to give citizenship to illegal Mexican immigrants. They are mostly Roman Catholics! The more Catholics in America, the more the Roman Catholic Church

gets to influence the vote!

The source of this list was taken from

<http://www.businessinsider.com/the-most-violent-cities-in-the-world-2013-11?p=1>

1. San Pedro Sula, Honduras had 169.30 homicides per 100,000 residents.
2. Acapulco, Mexico had 142.88 homicides per 100,000 residents.
3. Caracas, Venezuela had 118.89 homicides per 100,000 residents.
4. Distrito Central, Honduras had 101.99 homicides per 100,000 residents.
5. Torreón, Mexico had 94.72 homicides per 100,000 residents.
6. Maceió, Brazil had 85.88 homicides per 100,000 residents.
7. Cali, Colombia had 79.27 homicides per 100,000 residents.
8. Nuevo Laredo, Mexico had 72.85 homicides per 100,000 residents.
9. Barquisimeto, Venezuela had 71.74 homicides per 100,000 residents.
10. João Pessoa, Brazil had 71.59 homicides per 100,000 residents.
11. Manaus, Brazil had 70.37 homicides per 100,000 residents.
12. Guatemala, Guatemala had 67.36 homicides per 100,000 residents.
13. Fortaleza, Brazil had 66.39 homicides per 100,000 residents.
14. Salvador (and RMS), Brazil had 65.64 homicides per 100,000 residents.
15. Culiacán, Mexico had 62.06 homicides per 100,000 residents.
16. Vitoria, Brazil had 60.40 homicides per 100,000 residents.
17. New Orleans, United States had 56.13 homicides per 100,000 residents.  
36% Catholic
18. Cuernavaca, Mexico had 56.08 homicides per 100,000 residents.
19. Juárez, Mexico had 55.91 homicides per 100,000 residents.
20. Ciudad Guayana, Venezuela had 55.03 homicides per 100,000 residents.
21. Detroit, United States had 54.63 homicides per 100,000 residents. 32.5% Catholic
22. Cúcuta, Colombia had 54.29 homicides per 100,000 residents.
23. São Luís, Brazil had 50.16 homicides per 100,000 residents.
24. Medellín, Colombia had 49.10 homicides per 100,000 residents.
25. Kingston, Jamaica had 48.48 homicides per 100,000 residents.
26. Belém, Brazil had 48.23 homicides per 100,000 residents.
27. Cape Town, South Africa had 46.04 homicides per 100,000 residents.
28. Cuiabá, Brazil had 45.28 homicides per 100,000 residents.
29. Santa Marta, Colombia had 45.26 homicides per 100,000 residents.
30. Recife, Brazil had 44.54 homicides per 100,000 residents.
31. Valencia, Venezuela had 43.87 homicides per 100,000 residents.
32. Chihuahua, Mexico had 43.49 homicides per 100,000 residents.
33. San Juan, Puerto Rico had 43.25 homicides per 100,000 residents.
34. Goiânia, Brazil had 42.01 homicides per 100,000 residents.
35. Port-au-Prince, Haiti had 40.10 homicides per 100,000 residents.
36. Victoria, Mexico had 37.78 homicides per 100,000 residents.
37. Pereira, Colombia had 36.13 homicides per 100,000 residents.
38. Nelson Mandela Bay, South Africa had 36.02 homicides per 100,000 residents.
39. Maracaibo, Venezuela had 35.44 homicides per 100,000 residents.
40. St. Louis, United States had 35.39 homicides per 100,000 residents.
41. Baltimore, United States had 35.03 homicides per 100,000 residents.
42. Curitiba, Brazil had 34.08 homicides per 100,000 residents.

43. Oakland, United States had 33.10 homicides per 100,000 residents.
44. San Salvador, El Salvador had 32.48 homicides per 100,000 residents.
45. Macapá, Brazil had 32.06 homicides per 100,000 residents.
46. Durban, South Africa had 30.94 homicides per 100,000 residents.
47. Monterrey, Mexico had 30.85 homicides per 100,000 residents.
48. Belo Horizonte, Brazil had 29.74 homicides per 100,000 residents.
49. Brasilia, Brazil had 29.73 homicides per 100,000 residents.
50. Barranquilla, Colombia had 29.41 homicides per 100,000 residents.

The Philippines is a Roman Catholic nation and I hear crime is higher in the capital, Manila, but crime in the provinces (countryside) is thankfully low. Businesses that make a significant amount of money all have armed guards who act as greeters at the entrances. Armed guards are certainly a good deterrent against crime! Since moving from Guam to the province of Northern Samar Philippines in June 2023, I have yet to hear of any robberies or any theft at all! Crime in Guam is not as high as in the mainland USA, but higher than here. People leave their motorbikes on the streets with the keys in the ignition, and they're still there when they return. My nice bike in Guam was stolen though it was tied to a tree with a cable. The thief cut the cable with a cable cutter and left the cut cable on the ground. ☐ Guam is also predominately Roman Catholic due to Spanish influence. All of the Micronesian islands are Catholic with a few Protestant churches.

---


## [Quotations by Jesuits From Jesuit Publications](#)



The Catholic Church has the right and duty to kill heretics because it is by fire and sword that heresy can be extirpated.” – JESUIT Marianus de Luce, 1901.

---

## [Quotations Dealing with the History of the Jesuits](#)



It is impossible to read history except in the context of an army of JESUITS, masters of deceit, treachery, treason, infiltration, subversion, assassination, insurrection, civil war and coercion, plotting for the good of the papacy, and the defeat of all the Pope's foes anywhere in the world.

---

## [The Jesuit Order – The Society of Jesus – By Darryl Eberhart](#)



Information about the Jesuit order most Christians today are woefully ignorant of. If you don't know who your enemy is, you will be subject to his deceptions.

---

## [The British Monarchy In Peril](#)



The departure of the British royal family from their constitutional identity and duty as faithful Protestants had been seen in their fraternising with Roman Catholics. Prince Charles had attended Mass on more than one occasion with Catholic friends and was prevented from participating in the Pope's private Mass only by command of the Queen.

---

## [The Foundations Under Attack The Roots of Apostasy](#)



The apostasy in the twentieth century; the compromise on essentials and the attack on the fundamentals actually have their roots in the nineteenth century.

---


## [Papal Rome and the European Union – by Richard Bennett and Michael de Semlyen](#)



The Vatican has been the most powerful institution in Europe, and although its influence declined at the time of the Reformation it made a significant recovery in the past two centuries

---

## Catholicism and Arminianism in England and France During the Sixteenth and Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries



The Arminians can find their cherished semi-Pelagian views on free will enshrined in the decrees of the notorious Council of Trent.

---

## The Significance to the USA of Mexico's Cinco de Mayo Celebration



The significance of the Mexican celebration of Cinco de Mayo in regards to thwarting Jesuit plans to take over the United States of America.

---

# Bible Verse Comparisons

BIBLE TRANSLATION COMPARISON		
John 3:16 (NASB)	John 3:16 (NLT)	John 3:16 (MSG)
"For God so loved the world, that He gave His only Son, so that everyone who believes in Him will not perish, but have eternal life.	"For this is how God loved the world; He gave his one and only Son, so that everyone who believes in him will not perish but have eternal life.	This is how much God loved the world: He gave his Son, his one and only Son. And this is why: so that no one need be destroyed; by believing in him, anyone can have a whole and lasting life

Modern Bible translations diminish the divinity of Christ & undermine the Atonement. They are also markedly ecumenical, lending support to Roman Catholic dogma.

---

## The Palestinians, a Brief Historical Sketch – by Reinhard Schaap



My good friend Reinhard from the Netherlands sent this to me. He says it's part of a seminar he gave to the college of his Baptist Congregation about Jacob and Esau. I value history and this article certainly has information I was not aware of!

The emphasis in underline are mine.

---

*"Behold, your house is left desolate for you,"* Matt. 23:38.

*"If they build, I will destroy"* Mal. 1:4.

*"The voice is Jacob's voice, but the hands are Esau's hands."* Gen. 27:22.

### **The destruction!**

According to the Word of the Lord, the Roman legions came to put down the Jewish uprising and destroy Jerusalem in 70 AD. The army commander Titus did not originally intend to demolish the temple, but was ordered to do so by the emperor and his soldiers were so enraged by the fierce resistance of the Jewish fighters that they left no stone unturned in the sanctuary.

The Jews also received a treacherous dagger in the back. The Jewish historian **Flavius Josephus** mentions the following in "The Fall of Jerusalem": "Just before all the approaches to the city had been closed by the besiegers, the Romans, the Jews had admitted a large crowd of Idumeans (Edomites), who joined the defense would help." However, when one reads how suddenly these "allies", once they were inside the wall, changed their face and – quite unexpectedly – proceeded to massacre tens of thousands of civilians in the most brutal manner. An act with which they contributed not a little to the fall of the city.

It is also interesting what **Rabbi Maimonides** (A.D. 1135-1204) mentions about the destruction of Jerusalem and the Temple in particular. In his tract Taanith, c. 4, he says: "The foundations of the temple were excavated according to Roman custom." He further said, "On the ninth day of the month Ab, the fatal vengeance was executed by the wicked Turnus Rufus, of the children of Edom, who plowed the temple and the places round about, that the word of Isaiah might be fulfilled." that Zion shall be plowed into a field" (Isaiah 64:10). This Turnus, or rather Terentius Rufus, was the left-handed general in the army of Titus, with orders, as the Jews assume, to destroy the city and the temple.

### **Not all expelled.**

The Jews were not all taken into captivity in 70 AD. Roughly a third of the population was killed, a third taken captive and a third remained in Palestine. This became apparent in 132-135 AD at the time of the Bar Kokhba rebellion. Afterwards many were expelled from Judea who settled in Galilee and the spiritual center (Sanhedrin) initially resided in Jawneh on the coast and later elsewhere in the north of the country until the year 425 AD. Then the center was moved abroad to Baghdad. But many Jews remained in their homeland for centuries.

Under Byzantine (i.e. Eastern Roman) rule, they led a fairly quiet existence. When the Arabs conquered the country in the 7th century, they were not exterminated, but, probably forced, they converted to Islam and so these Jews became Palestinians! There may have been a mixture with the Arabs, but there is an interesting DNA study on this.

### **Sons of Abraham.**

A frequently heard claim is that the Jewish settlers in the 19th century ended up in an uninhabited, empty country, which is not entirely true, to say the least. The priest **Elias Chacour** wrote in his book "Sons of Abraham" what he had experienced in the last century, before the Jewish state was founded in 1948.

Fighters of the Jewish Hagana, who wanted to liberate Palestine from British rule, had expelled the "Arabs" from their villages in Galilee. However, they were lucky, because some other villages had been massacred. The victims had been hastily buried 'sloppily', while arms and other limbs were still sticking out of the sand!



Jews exterminating other Jews? That would be pure fratricide!

### **DNA research among Jews, Israelis and Palestinians:**

The first scientist to conduct such a study was **Dr. Ariella Oppenheim**, biologist at the Hebrew Yeshiva University, who published her findings in a voluminous work in 2001. Her conclusion was that some Palestinians had Jewish DNA and that there was even a group that had a DNA chromosome showing that they were descendants of the "Cohens", priests of the ancient temple and synagogues of the Jews.

This study was confirmed several years later by **Dr. Eran Elhaik** and his associates at the McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine (John Hopkins University, School of Genetic Medicine), although he was primarily concerned with the Khazar ancestry of many Azkenazi Jews. Khazaria was a large empire in southern Russia that converted to Judaism in the eighth century.

That finding is also supported by Tel Aviv University professor of History **Shlomo Sand** in his 2007 book, "The Invention of the Jewish People," which caused a stir in Israel.

In this book, Sand quotes **David Ben Gurion**, the first Prime Minister of modern Israel, who publicly and in writing acknowledged that the original Jews of Palestine had converted to Islam in the 7th and 8th centuries and had never left their homeland.

Ben-Gurion then stated: "The Fellahin (the Palestinian people) are not descendants of the Arab conquerors who took over 'Eretz Israel' (the Land of Israel) and Syria in the 7th century (AD). The Arabs did not exterminate the ordinary population they found in the country. They only expelled the foreign Byzantine rulers, but left the local population untouched."

An article from the Daily Mail on May 4, 2013 reported that a documentary by Jewish producer **Ilan Ziv**, in which he also exposed these matters, was narrowly canceled by the BBC in the United Kingdom. This information is apparently too sensitive and puts a damper on Zionist efforts to "repatriate" the world's expelled Jews to modern Israel.

Those who want to know more about the "Palestinian cause" could read the English-language book by Israeli author **Ilan Pappé**, entitled "The Ethnic Cleansing of Palestine." Pappé currently holds a chair in History at the University of Exeter in England and has written several books about the peoples of the Middle East.

It is clear that both DNA research and the historical findings of scientists show that there are Jews in the Palestinian people and an infusion of Khazars among the Israelis. You can only wonder whether the percentage of Chazars in Azkenazi Jewry that they claim is indeed 90% or (much) less, is still the question in my opinion. It is notable that at the beginning of the last century there were only 500,000 Sephardic Jews, while the number of Azkenazi Jews exceeded 11,000,000, which is considerably more.


## [“Who Are the Greatest ‘Haters’ in the World?” – By Darryl Eberhart](#)



The greatest haters (also the greatest torturers and mass murderers) in the world are the prelates (i.e., the high-ranking clergy) of the Roman Catholic Church-State

---


## [Chuck Baldwin’s Jesuit Preterism Debunked](#)



Chuck Baldwin abandoned the Protestant Historicist interpretation of the book of Revelation for the Jesuit based preterist view which aids and abets Rome!

---

# Futurism – Leapfrogging History – The Wiles of the Devil



Futurism denies that the dynasty of Popes is the Antichrist and points instead to a future individual world ruler at the end of the age. It thus postpones most of the prophetic predictions of Scripture including almost all the Book of Revelation into a fragment of time in the indefinite future.

---

## The Historical View of Prophecy and Antichrist

*"It is the bounden duty of every Christian to pray against Antichrist and as to what Antichrist is no sane man ought to raise a question. If it be not the Popery in the Church of Rome, there is nothing in the world that can be called by that name."*  
-- Charles Spurgeon



Two great truths that stand out in the preaching that brought about the Protestant Reformation—the “just shall live by faith” and the Papacy is the Antichrist.

---

## Is the Great Tribulation of Matthew 24


## an End-time Event?



I've covered in other articles how the Olivet Discourse in Matthew 24 has been falsely interpreted to be an End-time event by most evangelicals today. However, because I kept hearing false interpretations about it from people close to me, I felt the need to write something short and thought-provoking to try to lead my fellow brothers and sisters to a deeper and correct understanding of what Jesus was saying to His disciples. I hope to convince you from the Scriptures alone that the Great Tribulation He spoke of in Matthew 24 is *NOT* an End-time event.

The Olivet Discourse is the prophecy Jesus gave His disciples about the destruction of the Temple and Jerusalem in Matthew 24, Mark 13, and Luke 21. Evangelicals today interpret Matthew 24 to be an End-time event. And why? Because the Scofield Reference Bible says so! They don't compare Matthew 24 with Mark 13 or Luke 21, for if they did, they might understand that *most* of Matthew 24 is talking about the destruction of the Temple and Jerusalem by the Roman army in 70 AD.

In case you are a new babe in Christ and are unfamiliar with what evangelicals teach about Matthew 24, just do a Google search with the words *Matthew 24 End time prophecy* and see the number of hits.



I would say 12,200,000 hits indicate that most Christians today believe the Olivet Discourse in Matthew 24 is an End-time event.

I want to make it clear I didn't come to a good understanding of the Olivet Discourse of Matthew 24 on my own. Commentaries by other men of God, especially by Bible scholars who lived in the 18th century and earlier helped me greatly. They were men who lived before John Nelson Darby taught Jesuit

futurist eschatology in the 19th century which was promoted by the Scofield Reference Bible and the Dallas Theological Seminary in the 20th century.

Let's start this condensed study of Matthew chapter 24 with verse 15:

Matthew 24:15 When ye therefore shall see the **abomination of desolation**, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place, (whoso readeth, let him understand:)

What Scripture spoken of by Daniel was Jesus referring to? The second half of Daniel 9:27 says:

... and for the **overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate**, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.

What is this talking about? Who uses abominations to make what desolate? Luke who wrote his Gospel to the Greek Gentiles who had not read the prophecy in the book of Daniel defines the abomination of desolation in Luke 21:20 as:

And when ye shall see *Jerusalem compassed with armies*, then know that the desolation thereof is nigh.

The Roman army was an abomination to the Jews! What do armies do to their enemies' territory? They desolate it! They destroy as much as they can to defeat their enemy. That's what happened when the Jews rebelled against the Roman government. By 70 AD the Roman army destroyed the Temple and Jerusalem and killed over one million Jews in a relatively short time.

Matthew 24:1-2 And Jesus went out, and departed from the temple: and his disciples came to him for to shew him the buildings of the temple.

And Jesus said unto them, See ye not all these things? verily I say unto you, There shall not be left here one stone upon another, that shall not be thrown down.

Do you see how the beginning of Matthew 24 is clearly referring to the destruction of the Temple? History tells us the "he" of the second half of Daniel 9:27 was General Titus who led the Roman army. According to Josephus, he didn't want to destroy the Temple at first, but his soldiers were so angry toward the Jews Titus couldn't control them.

Matthew 24:16 Then let them which be in Judaea flee into the mountains:

Just ask yourself, if Matthew 24 is supposed to be an End-time prophecy of great worldwide tribulation of all the peoples of the earth (who according to Scofield missed the rapture) *why* would Jesus specify Judaea? And why would Jesus use the verb “flee”? Flee from what? Flee from the End-time Beast who controls the entire earth? Flee where? If you live in the Great Plains of the USA there are no mountains to flee to. I submit to you therefore this prophecy only makes sense when applied to the followers of Christ who saw the [armies of Rome invade their homeland of Judea in 66 AD when the armies of Rome first approached to stop the Jewish revolt against the empire.](#)

Matthew 24:20 But pray ye that your flight be not in the winter, neither on the sabbath day:

If this is an End-time prophecy that will affect the entire world, *why* would Jesus tell his disciples that? If it’s winter in the Northern Hemisphere, it’s summer in the Southern Hemisphere. And why pray their flight from danger is not on the sabbath day? Because the gates of Jerusalem and other cities in Judea are *closed* on the Sabbath day! The believers wouldn’t be able to leave the cities they are living in on the Sabbath day! I submit to you therefore the prophecy of not talking about the End-time but was specific to the followers of Jesus living in Jerusalem and Judea just before the invasion of the Roman armies the time of the [first Jewish revolt that started in 66 AD.](#)

Matthew 24:21 For *then* shall be **great tribulation**, such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time, no, nor ever shall be.

Verse 21 is where evangelicals get the words, “great tribulation.” But is that what the other two synoptic Gospels call it?

Mark 13:19 For in **those days shall be affliction**, such as was not from the beginning of the creation which God created unto this time, neither shall be.

Luke 21:22 For these be **the days of vengeance**, that all things which are written may be fulfilled.

Days of affliction on whom? Days of vengeance on whom? On the Jesus Christ-rejecting Jews who did *NOT* flee Jerusalem and Judea! The followers of Christ who believed the prophecy Jesus gave on the Mount of Olives obeyed Him and were not in Jerusalem or Judea at the time the Roman armies were crucifying the Jews. They left and camped out somewhere in the mountains where they were safe from attack.

Matthew 24:22 And except those days should be shortened, there

should no flesh be saved: but for the elect's sake those days shall be shortened.

What can this mean if the followers of Jesus were safe from attack by the Romans? I think it's logical to say they were fighting for survival in the mountains with limited resources. The Lord therefore shortened the days of the Roman attack so they could go back home. What do you think? It sure makes sense to me.

This is not to say Bible believing followers of Jesus Christ will not face tribulation and persecution in the End-time. No matter who wins the US presidential elections this coming November, half of the country is going to be mad! The US may erupt in a civil war. Insiders like [Bill Gates even predicted one!](#)

Jesus did promise His followers tribulation.

John 16:33 These things I have spoken unto you, that in me ye might have peace. *In the world ye shall have tribulation:* but be of good cheer; I have overcome the world.

I was taught we can KNOW EXACTLY how long that End-time tribulation will last, and I don't believe that anymore. My Bible teachers were influenced by the doctrines of dispensationalism that Darby and Scofield taught, doctrines that put Israel, not Jesus Christ, at the center of End-time prophecy. Dispensationalism AKA Futurism says that a future Antichrist will tribulate the world for 7 years just before the return of Christ. Some say only 3 and a half years. But both these doctrines are based on a false interpretation of the [70th Week of Daniel, something I wrote extensively about](#) on this website.

Christians from the very beginning have suffered persecution and tribulation by the Roman Beast (government) and yet many American Christians think they will be raptured out to Heaven just before the Antichrist rises! The Antichrist has been around for a long time now! How long? I would say from the time Constantine made Christianity the State Church. That was the Devil's change of plans. He saw persecution by pagan Rome only made the Church grow. By making Rome officially Christian, when the government persecutes believers, it's no longer called persecution of Christians, it's called persecution of *heretics!*

So what will happen? One researcher I know of says Donald Trump will win in November, defeat the evil Left, and bring to America a new era of peace and prosperity based on Christian principles, what some people call "Christian Nationalism." It will seem very good at first, but the Jesuits will be controlling it at the top and lead the government to persecute anybody they don't like, especially the Bible believers. This can't happen under the present US Constitution. Will there be a civil war that leads to the Constitution being revoked or amended? We shall see.