

Dr. John Coleman Debunked



John Coleman

This article is mainly for those who know about Dr. John Coleman who claimed to be a former MI6 agent.

I read Dr. John Coleman's book, "CONSPIRATORS' HIERARCHY: THE STORY OF THE COMMITTEE OF 300" sometime in the late 1990s. This was before I first heard of the Jesuit / Vatican conspiracy. John Coleman made a lot of sense to me then, but over the years I started having doubts about him.

According to Coleman, the world is run by a committee of 300 men with the British monarch at the head. The book sounded good and right when I first read it, but after 20 more years of research, I now believe it to be disinformation.

A MAJOR red flag for me about Coleman's book, The Story of the Committee of the 300, is the fact that it's on the official cia.gov website!

https://www.cia.gov/library/abbottabad-compound/4A/4A92FD2FB4DAE3F773DB0B7742CF0F65_Coleman.-.CONSPIRATORS.HIERARCHY.-.THE.STORY.OF.THE.COMMITTEE.OF.300.R.pdf

Just click on the URL and you will see it's true. WHY would an intelligence agency that is arguably working for the global elite / Jesuit General / Vatican post Coleman's work on its website if what Coleman is saying is true? It doesn't make sense.

According to John Coleman, the Committee of 300 is the ultimate ruling body of the world and the now deceased Queen Elizabeth II was the head of it. I definitely do not think so! Dr. Coleman does not talk about the power of the Roman Catholic Church, nor the Vatican, nor the Jesuits. If you are not familiar with my worldview about the Roman Catholic Church and the Jesuits, please read [my article about it](#).

Moreover, John Coleman refuses to disclose much about his background. There

is no evidence he was a MI6 agent. Why does he use the title of Dr.? He has a doctorate in what? He doesn't tell us. The name John Coleman may be a psudeonym. According to <https://www.truthcontrol.com/articles/eustace-mullins-exposed-dr-john-coleman-1992> his name may really be John Clarke.

Because of my doubts about John Coleman, I removed two articles from him I posted in 2013 from this website, the Story of the Committee of the 300 article and what he said about Tavistock. I don't want to be guilty of spreading disinformation.

Can we trust *anyone* who claims to be a former intelligence agent? I used to think that former CIA agent Mark Phillips was legit. He rescued MKULTRA victim Cathy O'Brien. Or did he? Some believed that Phillips was Cathy O'Brien's new handler. I have doubts about Phillips because he tried to debunk the testimonial of another MKULTRA victim, Brice Taylor, AKA Sue Ford, who wrote, "[Thanks for the Memories.](#)" I find her testimonial very credible.

Does it make sense to trust the testimonial of a former intelligence agent? He may be mixing fact with fiction to intentionally sow disinformation because he is still on the agency's payroll! His motivation may be monetary. I think the Story of the Committee of 300 must have made a lot of money for Dr. Coleman. To me the mark of a true whistleblower is persecution. Did John Coleman experience anything like what Julian Assange or Edward Snowden went through? I sure haven't hear about it. Coleman is only famous among conspiracy researchers. He hasn't come close to the fame of Assange or Snowden. Often an insider with highly classified information gets taken out or neutralized by the people he used to work for.

Of course all of the above are only my opinions. You can decide for yourself. The critique of the Committee of 300 by Col Barry Turner makes a lot of sense to me.

Col Barry Turner – Committee of 300 John Coleman – Critique

If after listening to what Barry Turner has to say and you don't agree with him or me, please post comments in the comment section and I will reconsider. The Bible says we see through a glass darkly. Nobody's perfect, right? I'm the first to admit that about myself.