
<u>What early Protestants had to say</u> <u>about the 70th Week of Daniel</u>



Most Protestant and Baptist leaders up to sometime in the 19th century did not hold the view that Daniel 9:27 will be fulfilled by a future Antichrist, but that it was *already fulfilled* by Jesus Christ!

<u>What is the Holy Covenant of the Book</u> <u>of Daniel?</u>



The "holy covenant" of the Book of Daniel is God's covenant with His people and not an Endtime treaty the Antichrist makes with the Jews.

Exegesis vs Eisegesis of Interpretation of Bible Prophecy



EXEGESIS IS DIGGING INTO THE BIBLE TO DISCOVER ITS REAL MEANING.





Did you know that most evangelicals today believe interpretations of the prophecies of Daniel, Matthew 24, and the Book of Revelation that are based not on what the Bible says, but what John Nelson Darby (18 November 1800 – 29 April 1882) and C.I. Scofield (August 19, 1843 – July 24, 1921) says it means?

<u>Geneva Bible Footnotes Refute Popular</u> <u>Contemporary Evangelical Endtime</u> <u>Doctrines</u>



My wife and I attend a local Baptist church. We like the pastor. He's a humble man who teaches the Word of God directly from the King James Version of the Bible. He preaches the true doctrine of salvation by faith alone, through grace alone, through Christ alone. He teaches us good practical lessons on how to avoid sin in our lives. But we also think Baptist eschatology is wrong because it was influenced by C.I. Scofield and his reference Bible. We are thankful that our pastor doesn't preach these false Endtime doctrines of Scofield. He himself confessed to being weak in eschatological doctrines. That's fine with me and my wife. Better not to teach them at all than to teach false doctrines. And what are these false doctrines that are held as truth by many evangelicals today?

- 1. Pre-tribulation rapture
- 2. Secret rapture of the saints
- 3. The 70th Week of Daniel being an Endtime event of the seven-year reign of the Antichrist
- 4. Israel is the time-clock of the Endtime.
- 5. The creation of the modern state of Israel in 1948 was fulfilment of prophecy
- 6. The Temple of Solomon will be rebuilt in the Endtime so that the Antichrist will sit in it and declare himself to be God.

The most commonly held Southern Baptist eschatology is known as "pretribulational premillennialism." In this view, Jesus will return to earth but not stay. He will rapture believers, removing them from Earth. Southern Baptists believe that a seven-year-long tribulation period will follow the rapture. They believe during the tribulation period that the Antichrist will conquer Earth, and they believe that Jesus will return again after the seven years and judge the living and the dead. Finally, they believe a 1,000-yearlong Kingdom of God on Earth will follow. (From <u>Southern Baptist</u> <u>Eschatology Beliefs</u>

Where do the Baptists get the idea of a seven-year-long tribulation person after the rapture? Not from the Bible! They got it from a false interpretation of Daniel 9:27 promoted by John Nelson Darby and C.I. Scofield.

The Geneva Bible was published in 1560, which is 51 years before the King James Version. It was translated by men of God in Geneva Switzerland who were not under any political / government pressure that influenced their translation. The translators included footnotes to help make difficult passages clearer. Those footnotes are all based on what all Protestants in general held to be true. King James of England did not like some of those footnotes. He wanted a Bible that didn't include them. Hence we have the King James Version which is very similar to the Geneva Bible but without the footnotes. Let's see how the footnotes interpret certain scriptures.

Daniel 9:27 is probably the most misinterpreted prophecy in the entire Bible! Let's see how the Geneva Bible interprets it:

Daniel 9:27 And he {a} shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to {b} cease, {c} and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make [it]

desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.

(a) By the preaching of the Gospel he affirmed his promise, first to the Jews, and after to the Gentiles.(b) Christ accomplished this by his death and resurrection.(c) Meaning that Jerusalem and the sanctuary would be utterly destroyed because of their rebellion against God, and their idolatry: or as some read, that the plague will be so great, that they will all be astonished at them.

And how does modern evangelical eschatology interpret it? They replace the "he" which is Christ with Antichrist and say the Antichrist will make a 7year covenant with the Jews in order that they can rebuild a third Temple of Solomon to renew their animal sacrifices to God. Just ask yourself, would a rebuilt Temple Solomon honor God? Absolutely not! And why? Because it would represent a further rejection of the Blood of the Lamb, the Blood of Jesus Christ for our sins, and substitute animal blood instead! Would such a Temple be therefore the "holy place" of Matthew 24:15? Again, absolutely not for the reason stated above. There is no prophecy anywhere in the Bible of a third Temple of a Solomon. And not only does Daniel 9:27 not talk about a rebuilt Temple, it's a prophecy about the *destruction* of the Temple and Jerusalem in 70 AD! It's only *speculation* that the Jews need to rebuild the Temple in the Endtime so that the Antichrist can sit in it to proclaim himself to be God! And why did they speculate that? From a false interpretation of 2 Thessalonians 2:3-4)

2:3 Let no man deceive you by any means: {3} for [that day shall not come], except there come a falling away first, and {e} that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition;

(3) The apostle foretells that before the coming of the Lord, there will be a throne set up completely contrary to Christ's glory, in which that wicked man will sit, and transfer all things that appertain to God to himself: and many will fall away from God to him.(e) By speaking of one, he singles out the person of the tyrannous and persecuting antichrist.

2:4 Who opposeth and {f} exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; {4} so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, shewing himself that he is God.

(f) All men know who he is that says he can shut up heaven and open it at his pleasure, and takes upon himself to be lord and master above all kings and princes, before whom kings and princes fall down and worship, honouring that antichrist as a god.

(4) He foretells that the antichrist (that is, whoever he is that will occupy that seat that falls away from God) will not reign outside of the Church, but in the very bosom of the Church.

Any Protestant reading the Geneva Bible footnote of verse 4 would know for certain it is talking about the Popes of Rome. Evangelicals today do not interpret it that way. They say 2 Thess 2:4 is talking about the Endtime

Antichrist sitting in a rebuilt Temple of Solomon. What does the New Testament call the Temple?

1 Corinthians 3:16 ¶Know ye not that ye are the temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in you?

The Church, the saints of God, is the Temple of God, not a physical temple made with hands. The early Protestants knew that which is why they believed the identity of the Antichrist is the popes of Rome. It was the Pope who set himself above the kings and princes of Europe. It was the Pope who claimed to be the head of the Church and the vicar of Christ. Does Pope Francis today say the same thing? He does.

Here is the crux of the matter and the reason for false Endtime teaching: They were cooked up by Jesuit priests who lead the Counter-Reformation to get the Protestants to get their eyes off the Popes of Roman as the biblical Antichrist! They sure did a bang-up job, didn't they? But thankfully there are Christians today who know the truth, and they are mostly Christians who still remember what Protestants used to teach up to the 18th century. The Baptists do not identify with Protestanism because they claim to be descended from the Anabaptists who predate the Protestant Reformation. This is true. It's just sad that Baptists and other evangelicals such as Pentecostals were influenced by C.I. Scofield's doctrines while the Presbyterians and other mainstream Protestant churches were not.

<u>The New Testament Jesus Referred to is</u> the Covenant with Many of Daniel 9:27

Matthew 26:28 NASB

for this is My blood of the <u>covenant</u>, which is poured out <u>for many</u> for forgiveness of sins.

Daniel 9:27a NASB

And he will make a firm **covenant with the many** for one week, but in the middle of the week he will put a stop to sacrifice and grain offering;

I was impressed by this meme but I don't like the NASB translation. This post is to show that the KJV teaches the same things.

In the verses below, the words "testament" and "covenant" are translations of the same Greek word, $\delta_1 \alpha \theta_1 \kappa_1$, transliteration: diathēkē, pronunciation: dee-ath-ay'-kay. In Strong's concordance, it is numbered G1242.

Matthew 26:28 For this is my blood of the new **testament**, which is shed for many for the remission of sins.

Mark 14:24 And he said unto them, This is my blood of the new **testament**, which is shed for many.

Luke 1:72 To perform the mercy promised to our fathers, and to remember his holy covenant;

Luke 22:20 Likewise also the cup after supper, saying, This cup is the new **testament** in my blood, which is shed for you.

Acts 3:25 Ye are the children of the prophets, and of the **covenant** which God made with our fathers, saying unto Abraham, And in thy seed shall all the kindreds of the earth be blessed.

Acts 7:8 And he gave him the **covenant** of circumcision: and so Abraham begat Isaac, and circumcised him the eighth day; and Isaac begat Jacob; and Jacob begat the twelve patriarchs.

The Greek word diathēkē is translated as

- covenants in Romans 9:4
- covenant in Romans 11:27
- testament in 1 Corinthians 11:25
- testament in 2 Corinthians 3:6
- testament in 2 Corinthians 3:14
- covenant in Galatians 3:15
- covenant in Galatians 3:17
- covenants in Galatians 4:24
- covenants in Ephesians 2:12
- testament in Hebrews 7:22
- covenant in Hebrews 8:6
- covenant in Hebrews 8:8
- covenant in Hebrews 8:9 (two occurrences)
- covenant in Hebrews 8:10
- covenant in Hebrews 9:4 (two occurrences)
- testament in Hebrews 9:15 (two occurrences)
- testament in Hebrews 9:16
- testament in Hebrews 9:17
- testament in Hebrews 9:18
- testament in Hebrews 9:20
- covenant in Hebrews 10:16
- covenant in Hebrews 10:29
- covenant in Hebrews 12:24
- covenant in Hebrews 13:20
- testament in Revelation 11:19

I hope I have proved to you that the words "covenant" and "testament" are translated from the same Greek word and used interchangeably. They are used interchangeably especially in the Book of Hebrews which uses insightful adjectives to modify the words covenant and testament.

- Hebrews 7:22 better testament
- Hebrews 8:6 better covenant
- Hebrews 8:8 new covenant
- Hebrews 9:15 new testament
- Hebrews 10:29 the blood of the covenant
- Hebrews 12:24 new covenant
- Hebrews 13:20 everlasting covenant

I, therefore, believe it to be absolutely correct to change the meme that appears at the beginning of this article by using the KJV translation of God's Word. And though the word covenant of Daniel 9:27 is not translated from Greek but from Hebrew, I think the Book of Hebrews clearly shows that both the Hebrew word and the Greek word have the exact same meaning because it refers to the Old Testament Covenant many times.

The New Testament words "testament" and "covenant" are translations of the <u>same Greek word</u>, $\delta\iota\alpha\theta\eta\kappa\eta$, transliteration: *diathēkē*, and are used interchangeably in the New Testament. The Book of Hebrews connects the Old Testament covenant with the new covenant made by Christ.

Matthew 26:28

For this is my blood of the new <u>testament</u>, which is shed <u>for many</u> for the remission of sins.

Daniel 9:27a


And he (the Messiah of verse 26) shall confirm the <u>covenant with many</u> for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease... (By Jesus' death on the Cross)

The Folly of Misinterpreting Fulfilled Bible Prophecy as Yet Unfulfilled



It's a Jesuit's trick to interpret fulfilled prophecies as if they are still future. The rise of the Antichrist is one of them. The Popes ARE the Antichrist!

<u>The 70th Week of Daniel – Fulfilled</u> 2000 Years Ago



In January 1971, thanks to the ministry of a Christian group called the Navigators, I came to know Jesus Christ as my Lord and Savior. The Navigators fed me with the milk of the Word of God. As an organization they didn't get into eschatology. Their motto was and still is, "To know Christ and make Him known." I think this is indeed a worthy motto to live ones life by as a Christian! I was in the U.S. Air Force at the time. My Navigator buddy and I would go door to door in the barracks and talk to young airmen about Christ. Most of them were willing to talk. Most of them were single and had a lot of time on their hands when not performing their military duties. I know that was true of me, only 21 years old then.

From 1974 after I was discharged from the Air Force, I began to fellowship with other believers, Christians who had more of an emphasis on Endtime doctrines, eschatology, the science of last things. It was then I studied the prophecies of Daniel, specifically Daniel chapter 2 and 7-12. I learned about the 70 Weeks of Daniel.

Daniel 9:24 Seventy weeks are determined upon thy people and upon thy holy city, to finish the transgression, and to make an end of sins, and to make reconciliation for iniquity, and to bring in everlasting righteousness, and to seal up the vision and prophecy, and to anoint the most Holy.

I was told this was a Messianic prophecy except for the final week which is about the Antichrist making a treaty with the Jews and world religions, some kind of peace pact with the Muslims, in order to rebuild the Temple of Solomon to resume animal sacrifices for the Jewish religion. I was told that the Antichrist would after three and a half years decide to break his treaty, enter the Temple, and declare himself to be god!

There are variations of this scenario. Some believe that Christians will be raptured out before the Antichrist rises, others believe we will be raptured at the midway 3.5 year point, and still others believe the rapture won't come till the very end of the tribulation just before the Wrath of God descends. The latter is what I used to believe. This is what the pastor who I loved used to teach. Why should I doubt it? I didn't learn a different view of the 70th Week of Daniel until 40 years later.

It was on December 13th, 2014, after 40 some years of study of eschatology, that I finally realized the 70th Week of Daniel is *not* part of the Endtime scenario!

Daniel 9:24 Seventy weeks are determined upon thy people and upon thy holy city, to finish the transgression, and to make an end of sins, and to make reconciliation for iniquity, and to bring in everlasting righteousness, and to seal up the vision and prophecy, and to anoint the most Holy.

25 Know therefore and understand, that from the going forth of the commandment to restore and to build Jerusalem unto the **Messiah the Prince** shall be seven weeks, and threescore and two weeks: the street shall be built again, and the wall, even in troublous times.

26 And after threescore and two weeks shall **Messiah** be cut off, but not for himself: and the people of the prince that shall come shall destroy the city and the sanctuary; and the end thereof shall be with a flood, and unto the end of the war desolations are determined.

27 And *he* shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease, and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.

The "he" of verse 27 has been interpreted by most fundamental Bible teachers as being the Antichrist and the "covenant" as some kind of Endtime religious agreement the Antichrist makes with the various religions of the world to ensure world peace. But a pronoun is only understood when the noun or name of the person is first identified. That name is clearly written in verses 25 and 26 as "Messiah"! It is **Jesus Christ who confirmed the Covenant**, and that Covenant was the Covenant God made with Abraham! This is the very same Covenant in verse 4 of the same chapter of Daniel 9!

Daniel 9:4 ¶And I prayed unto the LORD my God, and made my confession, and said, O Lord, the great and dreadful God, keeping **the covenant** and mercy to them that love him, and to them that keep his commandments;

In the New Testament, the Apostle Paul clearly says that Covenant was confirmed by Christ!

Galatians 3:17 And this I say, that the covenant, that was confirmed before of God in Christ,...

Why would Christians today believe the 70th Week of Daniel is something that will be fulfilled in the Endtime? I'll tell you why: It was a false doctrine planted into the Church by a Jesuit named Francisco Ribera to get the Protestants' eyes off the Pope as being the Antichrist! It is one of the doctrines of Futurism as opposed to Historism. Allow me to paraphrase and simplify the teaching from http://www.champs-of-truth.com/books/3schools.htm

There are three methods of interpreting prophecy —the Praeterist, the Futurist and the Historical (or continuous).

The Praeterist maintains that the prophecies in Revelation (and Daniel) have already been fulfilled.

The Futurist interpreters refer to events which are yet to come.

The Historical or Continuous expositors believe the Revelation a progressive history of the church from the first century to the end of time.

So great a hold did the conviction that the Papacy was the Antichrist gain upon the minds of men (who held the historicist view), that Rome at last saw she must bestir herself, and try, by putting forth other systems of interpretation, to counteract the identification of the Papacy with the Antichrist.

Accordingly, toward the close of the century of the Reformation, two of the most learned (Jesuit) doctors set themselves to the task, each endeavoring by different means to accomplish the same end, namely, that of diverting men's minds from perceiving the fulfillment of the prophecies of the Antichrist in the papal system. The Jesuit Alcazar devoted himself to bring into prominence the preterist method of interpretation,...and thus endeavored to show that the prophecies of Antichrist were fulfilled before the popes ever ruled in Rome, and therefore could not apply to the Papacy.

"On the other hand, the **Jesuit Ribera** tried to set aside the application of these prophecies to the papal power by bringing out the **futurist system**, which asserts that these prophecies refer properly, not to the career of the Papacy, but to some future supernatural individual, who is yet to appear, and continue in power for three and a half years. Thus, as Alford says, the Jesuit Ribera, about A.D. 1580, may be regarded as the founder of the futurist system of modern times.

...It is a matter for deep regret that those who advocate the futurist system at the present day, Protestants as they are for the most part, are really **playing into the hands of Rome, and helping to screen the Papacy from detection as the Antichrist**." Rev. Joseph Tanner, Daniel and the Revelation, pp. 16, 17.

I learned about Jesuit Ribera and his Futurist view from 2 or 3 years before the final revelation on December 13, 2014, and I knew the reason he created this view was to get people's eyes off of the Pope and the Papacy as being Antichrist, but until December 13, 2014 for some reason, I never connected it to the 70th Week of Daniel. It was thanks to my new friends, Michael Adams, Walt Stickel, and especially to <u>David Nikao's article</u>, "The 70th Week Of Daniel Prince Deception" that opened my eyes to the truth!

Because Jesus fulfilled the 70th Week of Daniel, several things I've held as truth about the last 7 years before Jesus returns fall flat.

- There is no more need for a rebuilt Temple of Solomon and the Antichrist desecrating it.
- There is no more need for the Antichrist to make some kind of 7 year religious covenant, pact or agreement.
- There is no more need for a 7 year final reign of the Antichrist with 3.5 years of tribulation after he breaks the so called covenant.

Wow! What a difference from my former mindset!

Christians have already had **two thousand years of tribulation** ever since the stoning of Stephen in Acts chapter 7! The Devil has always tried to kill God's children from the time of Cain killing Abel!

Christians have always lived in the time of Antichrist. First John 2:18 makes that very clear.

1 John 2:18 ¶Little children, it is the last time: and as ye have heard that antichrist shall come, **even now are there many antichrists**; whereby we know that it is the last time.

The Abomination of Desolation

Update on Dec. 19th, 2014: Today I saw something for the first time in the Word that thrills me to pieces! If we compare the 3 synoptic Gospels of Matthew Mark and Luke which talks about the "the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate" of Daniel 9:27, Luke clearly identifies what the Abomination of Desolation is!

Matthew 24:15 When ye therefore shall see the **abomination of desolation**, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place, (whoso readeth, let him understand:)

Mark 13:14 **(**But when ye shall see the **abomination of desolation**, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, standing where it ought not, (let him that readeth understand,) then let **them that be in Judaea** flee to the mountains:

Luke 21:20 ¶And when ye shall see **Jerusalem compassed with armies**, then know that the **desolation** thereof is nigh.

Luke chapter 21 is talking about the exact same events as Matthew 24 and Mark 13. The abomination was the Roman army (abomination to the Jews) and the desolation was what the Roman army did to Jerusalem! God's very Word tells us exactly what the abomination of desolation is!

I hear that it was only the Christians in Jerusalem who escaped the wrath of the Romans in 70 A.D. when the Roman Army destroyed Jerusalem and the Temple. Why were the Christians the only ones who fled from Jerusalem and Judaea? Because they heeded **Jesus' warning** written in Luke 21:21, and fled into the mountains! "then let them that be in Judaea flee to the mountains:" – Luke 21:21. Notice it says "Judaea"? I never saw the meaning of this verse so clearly before!


A big thank you to David Nikao who pointed this out to me in his article <u>The</u> <u>Abomination Of Desolation Deception</u>

I now see the Endtime scenario as a world getting more and more darker in deception. It's pretty dark now considering that evangelical Christians think the person who confirms the covenant with many for one week is the Antichrist when it's actually Jesus Christ! Talk about delusion! And folks, it originated from a Jesuit by the name of Francesco Ribera circa 1580 a.d. See also http://amazingdiscoveries.org/RT_encyclopedia_Futurism_Jesuit_Ribera

The information on this page may be hard for a Christian to accept without knowing more background information. A really great article that encapusulates all the important information you need to know is <u>The Evil</u> <u>Empire of Jesuit Futurism</u> If that link ever gets broken, here is a <u>text file</u> <u>you can download</u>.

The Timeline of Daniel 9:24-27 Illustrated

Ten Shemitah cycles of forty-nine years, make up the 490-year prophecy. It starts with the Jewish captives being released from captivity, and it ends with the gentiles being released from their spiritual captivity.




This meme is courtesy of David Nikao Wilcoxson 70thweekofdaniel.com

For much more articles about the 70th Week of Daniel:

- The 70th Week of Daniel Delusion
- Daniel 9:27 Grossly Mistranslated in Modern English Bible Translations
- What is "The Covenant" of the Book of Daniel?
- Daniel 9:27 The Most Misinterpreted Prophecy in the Bible!
- What early Protestants had to say about the 70th Week of Daniel
- What John Nelson Darby Taught About Daniel 9 vs. Prominent Bible Commentators
- <u>Comparison of the top 7 Popular Bible translations of Daniel 9 verses 4</u> and 27 to the KJV

<u>The King James Version compared to the</u> <u>Geneva Bible</u>



The Geneva Bible preceded the King James translation by 51 years. It was the primary Bible of 16th century Protestantism and was the Bible used by William Shakespeare, Oliver Cromwell, John Knox, John Donne, and John Bunyan, author of Pilgrim's Progress. It was the first Bible ever that included chapter and verse numbers! All subsequent Bibles followed suit.

In this post I am not saying I think the Geneva Bible translation is better than the KJV. I just think it's interesting to compare the two translations.

I have been using the King James version of the Bible for my own personal Bible studies for the past 40 years. It's only in the past year or so I learned about the Geneva Bible, the Bible of the Protestant Reformers before the KJV was translated. Some people have attacked the KJV saying it was based on the Roman Catholic Vultage and / or changed according to the whims of King James of England. I was therefore intrigued to see what the Geneva Bible has to say. Using my Google Android Tablet PC I found a Bible app in which I downloaded the Geneva Bible and started to read from the Gospel of Matthew. It's been absolutely fascinating! The spellings are different but still understandable. In words in modern English that contain the letter V, the letter U is often substituted. Example: "lives" = "liues". Different words are sometimes used in the Geneva Bible which, in my opinion, seem to make the meaning of some verses clearer than the KJV!

This list is by no means comprehensive. I am comparing only the changes I personally find interesting. And I hope to disprove the notion that KJV is doctrinally different from the Geneva Bible. I have not found a significant difference in wording in the Geneva Bible that conflicts in doctrine with the KJV.

Verse	KJV	Geneva
Matthew 4:17:	From that time Jesus began to preach, and to say, Repent : for the kingdom of heaven is at hand.	Amende your liues: for the

But I say unto you, Love But I say vnto vou, Loue your enemies, bless them your enemies: blesse them that curse you, do good to that curse you: doe good to Matthew 5:44 them that hate you, and them that hate you, and pray pray for them which for them which hurt you, and despitefully use you, and persecute you, persecute you; Matthew 5:47 And if ye salute your And if ye **be friendly** to brethren only, your brethren onely, But when ye pray, use not Also when ye pray, vse no vain repetitions, as the vaine repetitions as the heathen do: for they think Heathen: for they thinke to Matthew 6:7 that they shall be heard be heard for their much for their much **speaking**. babbling. Ye cannot serve God and Ye cannot serue God and Matthew 6:24b mammon. riches. Take therefore no thought Care not then for the for the morrow: for the morowe: for the morowe shall morrow shall take thought Matthew 6:34 care for it selfe: the day for the things of itself. hath ynough with his owne Sufficient unto the day is griefe. the evil thereof. Then Peter took him, and Then Peter tooke him aside, began to rebuke him, and began to rebuke him, Matthew saving, **Be it far from** saying, Master, pitie thy 16:22 thee, Lord: this shall not selfe: this shall not be be unto thee. vnto thee. ¶Then said Jesus unto his Iesus then saide to his disciples, "If any man disciples, If any man will will come after me, let Matthew follow me, let him forsake 16:24 him **deny** himself, and take himselfe: and take vp his up his cross, and follow crosse, and follow me. me." And honour not his father Though hee honour not his or his mother, he shall be father, or his mother, free. Thus have ve made shalbe free: thus haue ve Matthew 15:6 the commandment of God of made the commandement of God none effect by your of **no aucthoritie** by your tradition. tradition. Matthew Hosanna thou which art in Hosanna in the highest. 21:9b the highest heauens. Come unto me, all ye that Come vnto me, all ye that labour and are heavy Matthew are wearie and laden, and I 11:28 laden, and I will give you will ease you. rest. Again, the kingdom of Againe, the kingdom of heaven is like unto a net. heauen is like vnto a drawe Matthew that was cast into the net cast into the sea, that 13:47 sea, and gathered of every gathereth of all kindes of kind: things.

The Son of man came eating The sonne of man came eating and drinking, and they and drinking, and they say, say, Behold a man Beholde a glutton and a Matthew gluttonous, and a drinker of wine, a friend 11:19winebibber, a friend of vnto Publicanes and sinners: publicans and sinners. But but wisedome is iustified of wisdom is justified of her her children. children. And forthwith he came to And forthwith he came to Matthew Iesus, and sayd, God saue Jesus, and said, Hail, 26:49 thee, Master, and kissed master; and kissed him. him. Then began hee to curse Then began he to curse and **himselfe**, and to sweare, to swear, saying, I know Matthew saying, I knowe not the man. 26:74 not the man. And And immediately the cocke immediately the cock crew. crewe. But as many as received But as many as received him, him, to them gave he power to them he gaue prerogatiue John 1:12 to become the sons of God, to be the sonnes of God, even to them that believe euen to them that beleeue in on his name: his Name. This is he of whom I said, This is he of whom I saide, After me commeth a man, After me cometh a man John 1:30 which is preferred before which was before me: for he me: for he was before me. was better then I. For God so loved the or God so loued the worlde, world, that he gave his that hee **hath giuen** his only begotten Son, that onely begotten Sonne, that John 3:16 whosoever believeth in him whosoeuer beleeueth in him, should not perish, but should not perish, but haue have everlasting life. euerlasting life. He that believeth on the Hee that beleeueth in the Son hath everlasting life: Sonne, hath euerlasting and he that believeth not life, and hee that obeyeth John 3:36 the Son shall not see **not** the Sonne, shall not see life; but the wrath of God life, but the wrath of God abideth on him. abideth on him. Jesus answered and said Iesus answered and saide unto her, "If thou knewest vnto her, If thou knewest the gift of God, and who that gift of God, and who it it is that saith to thee, is that saieth to thee, Giue John 4:10 Give me to drink; thou mee drinke, thou wouldest wouldest have asked of haue asked of him, and hee woulde haue giuen thee, him, and he would have water of life. given thee **living water**. But the hour cometh, and But the houre commeth, and now is, when the true nowe is, when the true worshippers shall worship worshippers shall worship John 4:23 the Father in spirit and the Father in spirit, and in truth: for the Father trueth: for the Father **seeketh** such to worship requireth euen such to him. worship him.

John 5:35	He was a burning and a shining light : and ye were willing for a season to rejoice in his light.	He was a burning, and a shining candle : and ye would for a season haue reioyced in his light.
John 6:71	He spake of Judas Iscariot the son of Simon: for he it was that should betray him, being one of the twelve.	Now he spake it of Iudas Iscariot the sonne of Simon: for hee it was that shoulde betraie him, though he was one of the twelue
John 7:4	For there is no man that doeth any thing in secret, and he himself seeketh to be known openly . If thou do these things, shew thyself to the world.	For there is no man that doeth any thing secretely, and hee himselfe seeketh to be famous . If thou doest these things, shewe thy selfe to the worlde.
John 7:5	For neither did his brethren believe in him.	For as yet his brethren beleeued not in him.
John 7:38	He that believeth on me, as the scripture hath said, out of his belly shall flow rivers of living water .	Hee that beleeueth in mee, as saith the Scripture, out of his bellie shall flowe riuers of water of life .
John 8:50	And I seek not mine own glory : there is one that seeketh and judgeth.	And I seeke not mine owne praise : but there is one that seeketh it, and iudgeth.
John 9:30	The man answered and said unto them, Why herein is a marvellous thing, that ye know not from whence he is, and yet he hath opened mine eyes.	The man answered, and sayde vnto them, Doutlesse , this is a marueilous thing, that ye know not whence he is, and yet he hath opened mine eyes.
John 11:12	Then said his disciples, Lord, if he sleep, he shall do well .	Then said his disciples, Lord, if he sleepe, he shalbe safe .
John 11:13	Howbeit Jesus spake of his death: but they thought that he had spoken of taking of rest in sleep.	Howbeit, Iesus spake of his death: but they thought that he had spoken of the naturall sleepe.
John 11:35	Jesus wept.	And Iesus wept.
John 12:48	He that rejecteth me, and receiveth not my words, hath one that judgeth him: the word that I have spoken, the same shall	He that refuseth me, and receiueth not my wordes, hath one that iudgeth him: the worde that I haue spoken, it shall iudge him
	judge him in the last day.	in the last day. Verely, verely I say vnto

John 13:17	If ye know these things, happy are ye if ye do them.	If ye know these things, blessed are ye, if ye doe them.
John 14:2	In my Father's house are many mansions : if it were not so, I would have told you. I go to prepare a place for you.	In my Fathers house are many dwelling places: if it were not so, I would haue tolde you: I go to prepare a place for you.
John 14:18	I will not leave you comfortless : I will come to you.	I will not leaue you fatherles : but I will come to you.
John 14:23	Jesus answered and said unto him, "If a man love me, he will keep my words: and my Father will love him, and we will come unto him, and make our abode with him.	Iesus answered, and sayd vnto him, If any man loue me, he will keepe my worde, and my Father will loue him, and we wil come vnto him, and wil dwell with him.
John 14:27	Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you: not as the world giveth, give I unto you. Let not your heart be troubled, neither let it be afraid .	Peace I leaue with you: my peace I giue vnto you: not as the worlde giueth, giue I vnto you. Let not your heart be troubled, nor feare .
John 15:8	Herein is my Father glorified, that ye bear much fruit; so shall ye be my disciples.	Herein is my Father glorified, that ye beare much fruite, and be made my disciples.
John 15:13	Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends.	Greater loue then this hath no man, when any man bestoweth his life for his friendes.
John 16:2	They shall put you out of the synagogues : yea, the time cometh, that whosoever killeth you will think that he doeth God service.	They shall excommunicate you : yea, the time shall come, that whosoeuer killeth you, will thinke that he doeth God seruice.
John 18:22	And when he had thus spoken, one of the officers which stood by struck Jesus with the palm of his hand, saying, Answerest thou the high priest so?	When he had spoken these thinges, one of the officers which stoode by, smote Iesus with his rod, saying, Answerest thou the hie Priest so?
John 18:40	Then cried they all again, saying, Not this man, but Barabbas. Now Barabbas was a robber .	Then cried they all againe, saying, Not him, but Barabbas: nowe this Barabbas was a murtherer .
John 19:3	And said, Hail, King of the Jews! and they smote him with their hands .	And saide, Haile, King of the Iewes. And they smote him with their roddes .

John	20:28	And Thomas answered and said unto him, My Lord and my God.	Then Thomas answered, and said vnto him, Thou art my Lord, and my God.
John	21:5	Then Jesus saith unto them, " Children , have ye any meat?" They answered him, No.	Iesus then said vnto them, Syrs , haue ye any meate? They answered him, No.
Acts	2:25	For David speaketh concerning him, I foresaw the Lord always before my face, for he is on my right hand, that I should not be moved :	For Dauid sayeth concerning him, I beheld the Lord alwaies before me: for hee is at my right hand, that I should not be shaken .
Acts	2:27	Because thou wilt not leave my soul in hell , neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see corruption.	Because thou wilt not leaue my soule in graue , neither wilt suffer thine Holy one to see corruption.
Acts	4:13	<pre>¶Now when they saw the boldness of Peter and John, and perceived that they were unlearned and ignorant men, they marvelled; and they took knowledge of them, that they had been with Jesus.</pre>	Now when they sawe the boldnes of Peter and Iohn, and vnderstoode that they were vnlearned men and without knowledge , they marueiled, and knew them, that they had bin with Iesus:
Acts	4:16	Saying, What shall we do to these men? for that indeed a notable miracle hath been done by them is manifest to all them that dwell in Jerusalem; and we cannot deny it.	Saying, What shall we doe to these men? for surely a manifest signe is done by them, and it is openly knowen to all them that dwell in Hierusalem: and we cannot denie it.
Acts	5:33	<pre>¶When they heard that, they were cut to the heart, and took counsel to slay them.</pre>	Now when they heard it, they brast for anger , and consulted to slay them.
Acts	5:39	But if it be of God, ye cannot overthrow it; lest haply ye be found even to fight against God.	But if it be of God, ye can not destroy it, lest ye be found euen fighters against God.
Acts	12:19	And when Herod had sought for him, and found him not, he examined the keepers, and commanded that they should be put to death . And he went down from Judæa to Cæsarea, and there abode.	And when Herod had sought for him, and found him not, he examined the keepers, and commanded them to be led to be punished . And he went downe from Iudea to Cesarea, and there abode.

And said, 0 full of all And sayde, 0 full of all subtilty and all mischief, subtiltie and all mischiefe, the childe of the deuill, thou child of the devil, Acts 13:10 thou enemy of all and enemie of all righteousness, wilt thou righteousnesse, wilt thou not cease to peruert the not cease to pervert the right ways of the Lord? straight waies of the Lord? But the unbelieving Jews And the vnbeleeuing Iewes stirred up the Gentiles, stirred vp, and corrupted Acts 14:2 and made their minds evil the mindes of the Gentiles affected against the against the brethren. brethren. But that we write unto But that we send vnto them, them, that they abstain that they abstaine from **pollutions** of idols, themselues from **filthinesse** Acts 15:20 of idoles, and fornication, and from fornication, and from things strangled, and and that that is strangled, from blood. and from blood. And the multitude rose up The people also rose vp together against them: and together against them, and Acts 16:22 the magistrates rent off the gouernours rent their their clothes, and clothes, and commanded them commanded to beat them. to be beaten with roddes. And they said, Believe on And they saide, Beleeue in the Lord Jesus Christ, and the Lord Iesus Christ, and Acts 16:31 thou shalt be saved, and thou shalt be saued, and thy house. thine houshold. And when they found them But when they found them not, they drew Jason and not, they drew Iason and certain brethren unto the certaine brethren vnto the Acts 17:6 rulers of the city, heads of the citie, crying, crying, These that have These are they which haue turned the world upside subuerted the state of the **down** are come hither also; world, and here they are, Therefore many of them Therefore many of them believed; also of beleeued, and of honest Acts 17:12 honourable women which women, which were Grecians, were Greeks, and of men, and men not a fewe. not a few. Saying, This fellow Saying, This fellow persuadeth me to worship God Acts 18:13 persuadeth men to worship otherwise then the Lawe God contrary to the law. appointeth. For he mightily convinced For mightily hee confuted publikely the Iewes, with the Jews, and that Acts 18:28 publickly, shewing by the great vehemencie, shewing by scriptures that Jesus was the Scriptures, that Iesus Christ. was that Christ.

But when divers were hardened, and **believed not**, but spake evil of that way before the Acts 19:9 multitude, he departed from them, and separated the disciples, disputing daily in the school of one Tyrannus. And now, brethren, I commend you to God, and to you to God, and to the worde the word of his grace, Acts 20:32 which is able to **build you** to **build further**, and to up, and to give you an inheritance among all them among all them, which are which are sanctified. sanctified. And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; Romans 1:27 men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet. meete. For all have sinned, and Romans 3:23 come short of the glory of God: God, For we know that the whole creation groaneth and Romans 8:22 travaileth in pain together until now. present. And we know that all things work together for **good** to them that love Romans 8:28 God, to them who are the called according to his purpose. I say then, Have they stumbled that they should fall? God forbid: but Romans 11:11 rather through their fall salvation is come unto the Gentiles, for to provoke them to jealousy. follow them.

But when certaine were hardened, and disobeyed, speaking euill of the way of God before the multitude, hee departed from them, and separated the disciples, and disputed dayly in the schoole of one Tyrannus.

And nowe brethren, I commend of his grace, which is able giue you an inheritance,

And likewise also the men left the naturall vse of the woman, and burned in their lust one toward another, and man with man wrought filthinesse, and received in themselues such recompence of their errour, as was

For there is no difference: for all haue sinned, and are **depriued** of the glorie of

For we knowe that every creature groneth with vs **also**, and trauaileth in paine together vnto this

Also we knowe that all thinges worke together for the best vnto them that loue God, euen to them that are called of his purpose.

I demaund then, Haue they stumbled, that they should fall? God forbid: but through their fall, saluation commeth vnto the Gentiles, to prouoke them to

Romans 12:1	I beseech you therefore, brethren, by the mercies of God, that ye present your bodies a living sacrifice, holy, acceptable unto God, which is your reasonable service .	I Beseech you therefore brethren, by the mercies of God, that yee giue vp your bodies a liuing sacrifice, holy, acceptable vnto God, which is your reasonable seruing of God .
Romans 12:3	For I say, through the grace given unto me, to every man that is among you, not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think ; but to think soberly, according as God hath dealt to every man the measure of faith.	For I say through the grace that is giuen vnto me, to euery one that is among you, that no man presume to vnderstande aboue that which is meete to vnderstand , but that he vnderstande according to sobrietie, as God hath dealt to euery man the measure of faith.
Romans 13:13	Let us walk honestly, as in the day; not in rioting and drunkenness, not in chambering and wantonness, not in strife and envying.	So that wee walke honestly, as in the day: not in gluttonie , and drunkennesse, neither in chambering and wantonnes, nor in strife and enuying.
Romans 14:1	Him that is weak in the faith receive ye, but not to doubtful disputations.	Him that is weake in the faith, receiue vnto you, but not for controuersies of disputations.
I Corinthians 2:14	But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.	But the naturall man perceiueth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishnesse vnto him: neither can hee knowe them, because they are spiritually discerned.
I Corinthians 4:1	Let a man so account of us, as of the ministers of Christ, and stewards of the mysteries of God.	Let a man so thinke of vs, as of the ministers of Christ, and disposers of the secrets of God:
I Corinthians 4:5	Therefore judge nothing before the time, until the Lord come, who both will bring to light the hidden things of darkness , and will make manifest the counsels of the hearts: and then shall every man have praise of God.	Therefore iudge nothing before the time, vntill the Lord come, who will lighten things that are hid in darkenesse , and make the counsels of the hearts manifest: and then shall euery man haue praise of God.

I Corinthians 6:9	Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind,	Knowe yee not that the vnrighteous shall not inherite the kingdome of God? Be not deceiued: neither fornicatours, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor wantons, nor buggerers,
I Corinthians 6:16	What? know ye not that he which is joined to an harlot is one body? for two, saith he, shall be one flesh.	Doe ye not knowe, that he which coupleth himselfe with an harlot, is one body? for two, sayeth he, shalbe one flesh.
I Corinthians 10:13	There hath no temptation taken you but such as is common to man: but God is faithful, who will not suffer you to be tempted above that ye are able; but will with the temptation also make a way to escape, that ye may be able to bear it.	There hath no tentation taken you, but such as appertaine to man: and God is faithfull, which will not suffer you to be tempted aboue that you be able, but wil euen giue the issue with the tentation, that ye may be able to beare it.
I Corinthians 12:3	Wherefore I give you to understand, that no man speaking by the Spirit of God calleth Jesus accursed : and that no man can say that Jesus is the Lord, but by the Holy Ghost.	Wherefore, I declare vnto you, that no man speaking by the Spirit of God calleth Iesus execrable : also no man can say that Iesus is the Lord, but by the holy Ghost.
I Corinthians 13:1	Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, and have not charity , I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal.	Though I speake with the tongues of men and Angels, and haue not loue , I am as sounding brasse, or a tinkling cymbal.
I Corinthians 13:4	Charity suffereth long, and is kind ; charity envieth not; charity vaunteth not itself, is not puffed up,	Loue suffreth long: it is bountifull : loue enuieth not: loue doeth not boast it selfe: it is not puffed vp:
I Corinthians 13:5	Doth not behave itself unseemly, seeketh not her own, is not easily provoked, thinketh no evil;	It doeth no vncomely thing: it seeketh not her owne things: it is not prouoked to anger : it thinketh not euill:
I Corinthians 13:13	And now abideth faith, hope, charity, these three; but the greatest of these is charity.	And nowe abideth faith, hope and loue, euen these three: but the chiefest of these is loue.

Lest Satan should get an II Lest Satan should circumuent advantage of us: for we Corinthians vs: for we are not ignorant are not ignorant of his 2:11 of his enterprises. devices. For we are not as many, For wee are not as many, which make marchandise of which corrupt the word of ΤT the woorde of God: but as of God: but as of sincerity, Corinthians sinceritie, but as of God in but as of God, in the 2:17 sight of God speak we in ye sight of God speake we in Christ. Christ. Do we begin again to Doe we begin to praise our **commend** ourselves? or need selues againe? or neede we II we, as some others, as some other, epistles of Corinthians epistles of commendation recommendation vnto you, or 3:1 letters of recommendation to you, or letters of commendation from you? from you? But have renounced the But haue cast from vs ye hidden things of clokes of shame, and walke dishonesty, not walking in not in craftines, neither craftiness, nor handling II handle we the worde of God the word of God deceitfully: but in Corinthians deceitfully; but by 4:2 declaration of the trueth we manifestation of the truth approve our selues to every commending ourselves to mans conscience in the sight every man's conscience in of God the sight of God. In whom the god of this In whom the God of this world hath blinded the world hath blinded the minds of them which mindes, that is, of the ΤT **believe not**, **lest** the **infidels**, that the light of Corinthians light of the glorious the glorious Gospell of 4:4Christ, which is the image gospel of Christ, who is the image of God, should of God, should not shine shine unto them. vnto them. Wherefore also we couet. IΤ Wherefore we **labour**, that, that both dwelling at home, Corinthians whether present or absent, and removing from home, we 5:9 we may be accepted of him. may be acceptable to him. Therefore if any man be in Therefore if any man be in II Christ, he **is** a new Christ, let him be a newe creature: old things are creature. Olde things are Corinthians passed away; behold, all passed away: beholde, all 5:17 things are become new. things are become newe.

Conclusion

Though this was an interesting study, I feel the need to move on to other subjects for now. And though I felt some of the translations of the Geneva Bible added more clarity to the verse, I cannot say it is an overall better translation than the King James Version. Here are two examples:

Verse

Geneva

None of you shall approach to any None shall come neere to anyLeviticusthat is near of kin to him, toof ye kinred of his flesh to18:6uncover their nakedness: I am the vncouer her shame: I am the
LordLord.

For sure it's incorrect to call nakedness "shame". Adam and Eve were naked in the Garden and they were NOT ashamed!

KJV Verse Geneva And there was again a battle in And there was yet another battel Gob with the Philistines, where in Gob with the Philistims, II Elhanan the son of Jaare-oregim, a where Elhanah the sonne of Samuel Beth-lehemite, **slew the brother of** Iaare-oregim, a Bethlehemite Goliath the Gittite, the staff of slewe Goliath the Gittite: the 21:19 whose spear was like a weaver's staffe of whose speare was like beam. a weauers beame.

So the Geneva Bible makes the same mistake as do many modern translations. Those who know the Bible even a little should know that Elhanan could not possibly have killed Goliath because David already killed him! And both the KJV and the Geneva Bible name the brother of Goliath that Elhanan killed as Lahmi in I Chronicles 20:5

VerseKJVGenevaAnd there was war again with
the Philistines; and ElhananAnd there was yet another
battell with the Philistims: and
Elhanan the sonne of Iair slewe
Lahmi, the brother of Goliath
the Gittite, whose spear staff was
like a weaver's beam.And there was
battell with the Philistims: and
battell with the Sonne of Iair slewe
Lahmi, the brother of Goliath
the Gittite, whose spear staff was
like a weauers beame.

Update to article

I first posted this article on Feb 23, 2014 and have a renewed interest in updating it since I regained possession of the Geneva Bible which <u>Dr. John G.</u> <u>Hartnett</u> gave me as a present. I sent it with other books from Japan to Guam in April 2018 but because the address I sent it to couldn't receive mail, it was sent back to Japan via the US mainland!

The Protestant Bible at the time of King James of England was the Geneva Bible. King James didn't like it because it included footnotes, some of which seemed to question his authority! He ordered a new translation of the English Bible, one that didn't include footnotes. He ordered the KJV to be translated purely for *political* reasons. Thus the footnotes Protestants used to read were no longer available after the KJV became popular. Protestants began to use the KJV more than the Geneva Bible from the middle of the 17th century. The Geneva Bible thus went out of print and remained out of print for centuries! It was finally reprinted by the Tolle Lege Press in January 2004.

I often wondered what evangelical Christianity today would be like if the King James Version was never translated. Some of the footnotes in the Geneva Bible contain correct interpretations of prophetic scripture that have been misinterpreted since the KJV, and especially so since the **Scofield Bible** became popular. Scofield included footnotes in his edition of the KJV, some of which mislead the reader into false doctrine.

The greatest example of a mistranslated prophecy that I know of is Daniel 9:27.

And he shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease, and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.

The footnotes of the Geneva Bible about the first part of Daniel 9:27 say:

By the preaching of the Gospel he confirmed his promise first to the Jews, and after to the Gentiles.

You see that the early Protestants considered Daniel 9:27 to be a messianic prophecy, not something fufilled in the future by the Antichrist!

A fundamental Baptist preacher I met on Guam doesn't agree with the eschatology of the Protestant reformers as stated above. He believes that Bible prophecy should be interpreted with a dispensationalist view in mind. One noted preacher, Chuck Baldwin, doesn't agree with him! He thinks the commentaries of the early Protestant reformers such as Matthew Henry are of value. So do I.

For the record, though I mainly use the KJV, I do not think it's a perfect transition. No translation can be perfect! I worked as a professional translator from English to Japanese and am very familiar with the fact that cultural differences add to the difficulties involved in translating from one language to another. A translator can only hope to get the translation as close as possible to the meaning of the author. It's far easier to translate one European language to another European language than it is to translate a European language to an Asian language such as Japanese because European nations are closer in culture to each other than they are to Asian nations. Not only are the words different, but the way of expressing ideas is also different! One has to be very familiar with both languages to do a good job. It's never 100% perfect.

I see no reason why archaic words in the KJV cannot be updated to modern English words. That said, I still think the old words thee, thou, thine, and ye are pretty cool because it expresses the second person in both singular and plural.

John 3:7 Marvel not that I said unto thee, (singular you) Ye (plural you) must be born again.

You by itself in older English is always plural.

Most European languages still use a singular and plural you. English dropped the singular you because it can sound offensive when used by an authoritarian person when speaking to someone under him.

The 70th Week of Daniel Delusion



{Originally posted in December, 2014)

It was on December 13th, 2014, after 40 some years of study of eschatology, that I finally realized the 70th Week of Daniel is *not* part of the Endtime scenario! I am talking about Daniel 9:24-27 and *especially* the interpretation of verse 27.

Daniel 9:24 Seventy weeks are determined upon thy people and upon thy holy city, to finish the transgression, and to make an end of sins, and to make reconciliation for iniquity, and to bring in everlasting righteousness, and to seal up the vision and prophecy, and to anoint the most Holy.

25 Know therefore and understand, that from the going forth of the commandment to restore and to build Jerusalem unto the **Messiah the Prince** shall be seven weeks, and threescore and two weeks: the street shall be built again, and the wall, even in troublous times.

26 And after threescore and two weeks shall **Messiah** be cut off, but not for himself: and the people of the prince that shall come shall destroy the city and the sanctuary; and the end thereof shall be with a flood, and unto the end of the war desolations are determined.

27 And *he* shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease, and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.

The "he" of verse 27 has been interpreted by most fundamental Bible teachers as being the Antichrist and the "covenant" as some kind of Endtime religious agreement the Antichrist makes with the various religions of the world to ensure world peace. But a pronoun is only understood when the noun or name of the person is first identified. That name is clearly written in verses 25 and 26 as "Messiah"! It is **Jesus Christ who confirmed the Covenant**, and that Covenant was the Covenant God made with Abraham! This is the very same Covenant in verse 4 of the same chapter of Daniel 9!

Daniel 9:4 ¶And I prayed unto the LORD my God, and made my confession, and said, O Lord, the great and dreadful God, keeping **the covenant** and mercy to them that love him, and to them that keep his commandments;

In the New Testament, the Apostle Paul clearly says that Covenant was confirmed by Christ!

Galatians 3:17 And this I say, that **the covenant, that was** confirmed before of God in Christ,...

Why would Christians today believe the 70th Week of Daniel is something that will be fulfilled in the Endtime? I'll tell you why: It was a false doctrine planted into the Church by a Jesuit named Francisco Ribera to get the Protestants' eyes off the Pope as being the Antichrist! It is one of the doctrines of Futurism as opposed to Historism. Allow me to paraphrase and simplify the teaching from http://www.champs-of-truth.com/books/3schools.htm

There are three methods of interpreting prophecy —the Praeterist, the Futurist and the Historical (or continuous).

The Praeterist maintains that the prophecies in Revelation (and Daniel) have already been fulfilled.

The Futurist interpreters refer to events which are yet to come.

The Historical or Continuous expositors believe the Revelation a progressive history of the church from the first century to the end of time.

So great a hold did the conviction that the Papacy was the Antichrist gain upon the minds of men (who held the historicist view), that Rome at last saw she must bestir herself, and try, by putting forth other systems of interpretation, to counteract the identification of the Papacy with the Antichrist.

Accordingly, toward the close of the century of the Reformation, two of the most learned (Jesuit) doctors set themselves to the task, each endeavoring by different means to accomplish the same end, namely, that of diverting men's minds from perceiving the fulfillment of the prophecies of the Antichrist in the papal system. The Jesuit Alcazar devoted himself to bring into prominence the preterist method of interpretation, ... and thus endeavored to show that the prophecies of Antichrist were fulfilled before the popes ever ruled in Rome, and therefore could not apply to the Papacy.

"On the other hand, the **Jesuit Ribera** tried to set aside the application of these prophecies to the papal power by bringing out the **futurist system**, which asserts that these prophecies refer properly, not to the career of the Papacy, but to some future supernatural individual, who is yet to appear, and continue in power for three and a half years. Thus, as Alford says, the Jesuit Ribera, about A.D. 1580, may be regarded as the founder of the futurist system of modern times.

...It is a matter for deep regret that those who advocate the futurist system at the present day, Protestants as they are for the most part, are really **playing into the hands of Rome, and helping to screen the Papacy from detection as the Antichrist**." Rev. Joseph Tanner, Daniel and the Revelation, pp. 16, 17.

I learned about Jesuit Ribera and his Futurist view from 2 or 3 years before the final revelation on December 13, 2014, and I knew the reason he created this view was to get people's eyes off of the Pope and the Papacy as being Antichrist, but until December 13, 2014 for some reason, I never connected it to the 70th Week of Daniel. It was thanks to my new friends, Michael Adams, Walt Stickel, and especially to <u>David Nikao's article</u>, "The 70th Week Of Daniel Prince Deception" that opened my eyes to the truth!

Because Jesus fulfilled the 70th Week of Daniel, several things I've held as truth about the last 7 years before Jesus returns fall flat.

- There is no more need for a rebuilt Temple of Solomon and the Antichrist desecrating it.
- There is no more need for the Antichrist to make some kind of 7 year religious covenant, pact or agreement.
- There is no more need for a 7 year final reign of the Antichrist with 3.5 years of tribulation after he breaks the so called covenant.

Wow! What a difference from my former mindset!

Christians have already had **two thousand years of tribulation** ever since the stoning of Stephen in Acts chapter 7! The Devil has always tried to kill God's children from the time of Cain killing Abel!

Christians have always lived in the time of Antichrist. First John 2:18 makes that very clear.

1 John 2:18 ¶Little children, it is the last time: and as ye have heard that antichrist shall come, **even now are there many antichrists**; whereby we know that it is the last time.

The Abomination of Desolation

Update on Dec. 19th, 2014: Today I saw something for the first time in the Word that thrills me to pieces! If we compare the 3 synoptic Gospels of Matthew Mark and Luke which talks about the "the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate" of Daniel 9:27, Luke clearly identifies what the Abomination of Desolation is!

Matthew 24:15 When ye therefore shall see the **abomination of desolation**, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place, (whoso readeth, let him understand:)

Mark 13:14 **(**But when ye shall see the **abomination of desolation**, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, standing where it ought not, (let him that readeth understand,) then let **them that be in Judaea** flee to the mountains:

Luke 21:20 ¶And when ye shall see **Jerusalem compassed with armies**, then know that the **desolation** thereof is nigh.

Luke chapter 21 is talking about the exact same events as Matthew 24 and Mark 13. The abomination was the Roman army (abomination to the Jews) and the desolation was what the Roman army did to Jerusalem! God's very Word tells us exactly what the abomination of desolation is!

I hear that it was only the Christians in Jerusalem who escaped the wrath of the Romans in 70 A.D. when the Roman Army destroyed Jerusalem and the Temple. Why were the Christians the only ones who fled from Jerusalem and Judaea? Because they heeded **Jesus' warning** written in Luke 21:21, and fled into the mountains! "then let them that be in Judaea flee to the mountains:" – Luke 21:21. Notice it says "Judaea"? I never saw the meaning of this verse so clearly before!

A big thank you to David Nikao who pointed this out to me in his article <u>The</u> <u>Abomination Of Desolation Deception</u>

I now see the Endtime scenario as a world getting more and more darker in deception. It's pretty dark now considering that evangelical Christians think the person who confirms the covenant with many for one week is the Antichrist when it's actually Jesus Christ! Talk about delusion! And folks, it originated from a Jesuit by the name of Francesco Ribera circa 1580 a.d. See also http://amazingdiscoveries.org/RT_encyclopedia_Futurism_Jesuit_Ribera

The information on this page may be hard for a Christian to accept without knowing more background information. A really great article that encapusulates all the important information you need to know is <u>The Evil</u> <u>Empire of Jesuit Futurism</u> If that link ever gets broken, here is a <u>text file</u> <u>you can download</u>.

Oh my oh my! I think I been hearing incorrect teaching for 40 some years. I still do not believe my pastor <u>David Berg</u> was purposely trying to deceive me. He was the leader of the **REVOLUTION FOR JESUS** in America, which was also known as the Children of God. No matter what you have heard about David Berg


or the Children of God, later known as "The Family", now called "The Family International" (TFI), I can testify as a member of TFI that we have **NEVER** acknowledged the Roman Pontiff as our supreme leader. My leader is Jesus Christ of the King James Version of the New Testament, the Word of God who became flesh.

John 1:1 ¶In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.

14 And **the Word was made flesh**, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth.

The Timeline of Daniel 9:24-27 Illustrated

Ten Shemitah cycles of forty-nine years, make up the 490-year prophecy. It starts with the Jewish captives being released from captivity, and it ends with the gentiles being released from their spiritual captivity.




This meme is courtesy of David Nikao Wilcoxson 70thweekofdaniel.com

For much more information about the true meaning of the 70th Week of Daniel, please see <u>The 70th Week of Daniel Deception</u>.

The True Interpretation of Matthew 24

and Daniel 9:27



Prophecies wrongly interpreted to be yet future events. Matthew 24 is only about the destruction of Jerusalem and Daniel 9:27 was fulfilled in Christ.

Email to a friend who holds the correct interpretation of Daniel 9:27



Recently I learned that a Christian brother named Luke, a member of my fellowship, The Family International, came to the same conclusions as I did about Christ fulfilling the prophecy of Daniel 9:27. He shared it with other members and got many favorable responses. But it seems to me he may not know the complete background why the false doctrine of a future Antichrist making a 7 year covenant with the Jews came to be. And it seems he is not as convinced as I am that he may be correct. I thought my readers may be interested it reading what I shared with Luke.

The hypothesis in last paragraph came to me just this morning, March 18, 2015. It's a "what if" scenario. I'm not saying it will happen, just what if it does happen? I'm I nuts? You be the judge.

Dear Luke, Thank you for sharing those reactions with me. They are very encouraging!


You write: > _*If the Antichrist*_ should arrive on the world scene and make a > _"*seven-year*_ middle east peace agreement covenant" between Israel and the > Arab/Muslim world, enabling the Jews to _*rebuild their Third Temple*_, and > again *_resume_* their sacrificial blood offering of animals _for their > sins_, I will readily acknowledge that I was wrong. And though it may sound > contrary I would actually be happy I was wrong, knowing that we have another > three and a half years _*before*_ all hell breaks loose on planet earth. But > I don't believe that's going to happen, and I kinda wish it did.

Now this is what I think: I firmly believe if such a man does appear on the scene, a man who fits what most people today think the Antichrist is supposed to be -- a false idea which was given to them by the Jesuits -- and even though he DOES make a 7 year treaty with the Jews, and the Temple of Solomon IS rebuilt, and the Jews DO begin their animal sacrifices, and the man who people say is the Antichrist DOES stand in that rebuilt temple of Solomon proclaiming himself to be God, I FIRMLY BELIEVE WITH ALL MY HEART IT WILL BE ALL A FAKE TO DECEIVE THE WORLD!!! I will choose NOT to believe that man is the true Antichrist! And why? Because I stand with firm conviction the early Protestants got it right when they declared the Pope, the papacy, to be the Antichrist! And I stand with firm conviction on their interpretation of Bible prophecy which makes a whole lot of sense to me and is far simpler than the complex theory of a 7 year covenant or treaty with the Jews to rebuild their temple in Jerusalem so they could resume animal sacrifices. Jesus never taught that Solomon's temple would ever be rebuilt. Would such a temple be a "holy place"? It would be most UNholy for it would be further blasphemy against God because of further rejection of Jesus' death on the Cross as the ultimate "lamb of God" who was sacrificed for our sins!

Anyway, this is how I see it now. And I have good friends who agree with me. And I can say with some other people, "If I have seen further than most men, it's only because I have stood on the shoulders of giants." Giants of the faith, men like Martin Luther, John Calvin, John Huss, Isaac Newton, Charles Spurgeon, Samuel B. Morse,, I could go on and on.

And to take this a step further, let's say the Pope and the Vatican fight a literal war against this guy who people say is the Antichrist, and let's say the Pope and his forces actually WIN and defeat him! What then? That to me would be the ULTIMATE DECEPTION!!! If the armies led by the Pope actually did win, the Pope could say HE is the fulfillment of the prophecy of Christ leading God's people in the battle of Armageddon and defeating Satan and the Antichrist! That would make the Pope, Christ! Would YOU believe it? I would consider it the greatest lie ever!!!

<u>Summary of revised interpretations of</u> <u>some prophetic Scripture</u>



In December 2014, I learned the interpretation of Daniel 9:27 which is held by mainstream Protestant seminaries today was cooked up in 1585 by a Jesuit priest named Francesco Ribera! He was commissioned by the Pope to invent theology that would get the Protestants to stop looking at the papacy, the Pope, as Antichrist. Today most Christians think of Antichrist only as the ruler of the world for 7 years just before the return of Jesus Christ. This is exactly *how* the Vatican wants Protestants to think! I myself held that interpretation for 40 years. Now I see it is based on a school of interpretation known as *futurism* which Jesuit Ribera fabricated in order to deceive Protestants as to who the Antichrist is.

Are you willing to follow wherever the evidence leads and change your views on certain beliefs when you find out the Bible teaches otherwise? I was and still am. I don't claim to be smarter than others. The interpretations of prophecies on this page are in agreement with how the Protestants and Bible scholars through the ages *used to* see them. It was only from sometime in the 19th century when false Jesuit doctrines took root in Protestant theological circles.

I like to do to others as I would want them to do unto me. I don't like longwinded complicated explanations of Holy Scripture. I believe God's Word explains itself. Therefore the most solid interpretation one can get is from Scripture interpreting Scripture! I am trying to be as concise as possible.

The Seventieth Week of Daniel

Daniel 9:27 And he shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease,

and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.

• The "he" of Daniel 9:27 in all three times is the "Messiah the Prince" of verse 25 and the "Messiah" of verse 26. "He" is **Jesus** Christ, *not* the Antichrist.

"Daniel 9:25 Know therefore and understand, that from the going forth of the commandment to restore and to build Jerusalem unto the **Messiah the Prince**...

26 And after threescore and two weeks shall Messiah be cut off...

• The "covenant" is the same covenant of Daniel's prayer in verse 4.

Daniel 9:4 ¶And I prayed unto the LORD my God, and made my confession, and said, 0 Lord, the great and dreadful God, keeping **the covenant** ...

It's the covenant God made with Abraham: Genesis 15:18 In the same day the LORD made a **covenant** with Abram,...

• Christ confirmed the covenant!

Galatians 3:17 And this I say, that **the covenant**, **that was confirmed before of God in Christ**,

- "One week" was the 7 years of Christ and His Apostles' ministry to the Jews. Jesus was crucified 3 and a half years after He began to preach the Kingdom of God, and the first martyr, Stephen, was killed 3 and a half years later.
- The "with many" is referring to the people of Israel, Jesus and His disciples ministry to the Jews.

Matthew 15:24 But he answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel.

- The "in the midst of the week" is when Jesus was crucified approximately 3.5 years from the start of His ministry.
- The "he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease" means there is now no more need for daily animal sacrifices now that the Lamb of God was offered as the ultimate sacrifice for sins.

Hebrews 7:27 Who needeth not daily, as those high priests, to offer up sacrifice, first for his own sins, and then for the people's: for this **he did once**, when he offered up himself.

• The "overspreading of abominations" is the abomination of desolation Jesus talked about:

Matthew 24:15 When ye therefore shall see the abomination of desolation, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place,

Which is further defined in Luke 21:20 as the Roman armies that desolated Jerusalem and the Temple of Solomon: Luke 21:20 ¶And when ye shall see **Jerusalem compassed with armies**, then know that **the desolation thereof** is nigh.

I hope to add more to this post of other Scriptures later. If you disagree with any points on this article, I'm open to discuss it. And if you have any points to add or suggestions on to improve this article, they are most welcome.