

The Inventors of the Climate Change Scam: The Club of Rome



What is the Club of Rome?

"The Club of Rome is a platform of diverse thought leaders who identify holistic solutions to complex global issues and promote policy initiatives and action to enable humanity to emerge from multiple planetary emergencies." (Source: <https://www.clubofrome.org/about-us/> – on the official website of the Club of Rome

"The Club of Rome is **a Masonic lodge founded in 1968 on David Rockefeller's estate** in Bellagio, Italy. The Member Squadron is a traditional collection of grandiose reformers with heads of state, UN bureaucrats, leading politicians and government officials, diplomats, scientists, economists and big business leaders. **A collection from all over the world with a strong side of rich Western "elite"**. This political lodge also brings together multi-billionaires in the Rockefeller, Rothschild and Soros families along with political chameleons such as Anders Wijkman and industrial magnates such as the now deceased oil businessman Maurice Strong." (Source: [Club Of Rome: The Origin Of Climate And Population Alarmism](#))

The second definition is from Technocracy News which mediabiasfactcheck.com calls "Conspiracy-Pseudoscience." LOL!

I fact-checked mediabiasfactcheck.com and this is what I came up with:

"Mediabiasfactcheck has been repeatedly caught in lies and distortion of truth. Repeated claims that have proven false about Covid alone are enough to quit using them. Takes going to court to get them to correct lies they publish. It is a totally discredited, self-styled 'fact-checker' site that has been served with a 'cease and desist' legal notice for publishing unsubstantiated and defamatory claims against

Principia Scientific International (PSI).” (Source:
<https://www.sitejabber.com/reviews/mediabiasfactcheck.com>

David Icke and Dr. John Coleman talk a lot about the Club of Rome, but because I don't trust information from either of those guys, I won't use any of their materials. Coleman talked about the Club of Rome as a governing body giving orders, but I see it more as a think tank.

I consider the most reliable source of information about globalists' plans that do not have the good of the general public in mind to be right out of publications of the globalists themselves. The following quotes are from a PDF file of a Club of Rome publication entitled: "[The First Global Revolution – A Report by the Council of the Club of Rome](#) written in 1991 by Alexander King and Bertrand Schneider. You can click the link to download the PDF file.

Quotes from the publication of the Council of the Club of Rome

From page 108 of [the PDF file](#):

The need for enemies seems to be a common historical factor. States have striven to overcome domestic failure and internal contradictions by designating external enemies. The scapegoat practice is as old as mankind itself. When things become too difficult at home, divert attention by adventure abroad. Bring the divided nation together to face an outside enemy, **either a real one or else one invented for the purpose.**

From page 115 of [the PDF file](#):

The Common Enemy of Humanity Is Man

□
In searching for a new enemy to unite us, **we came □up with the idea** that pollution, the threat of global □warming (now called climate change), water shortages, famine and the like **would □fit the bill.** In their totality and in their interactions □these phenomena do constitute a common threat which demands the solidarity of all peoples.

Climate change is controversial even among scientists, but you probably didn't know that by listening to the mainstream media. One of my friends was surprised when I called Climate Change a scam and offered evidence from a scientist, Dr. John G. Hartnett, from his website. Please see [Climate change study on coral reef fish was '100 per cent wrong'](#)

Researching controversial organizations and topics such as the Club of Rome and Climate Change requires spiritual discernment, and that comes from knowing the written Word of God in the Bible and a good relationship with God through obedience to the Lord Jesus Christ.

Proverbs 1:7 The fear of the LORD is the beginning of knowledge:..

Also see: [Elitists have created the myth of climate change to eliminate national sovereignty](#)

[Dr. John Coleman Debunked](#)



John Coleman

This article is mainly for those who know about Dr. John Coleman who claimed to be a former MI6 agent.

I read Dr. John Coleman's book, "CONSPIRATORS' HIERARCHY: THE STORY OF THE COMMITTEE OF 300" sometime in the late 1990s. This was before I first heard of the Jesuit / Vatican conspiracy. John Coleman made a lot of sense to me then, but over the years I started having doubts about him.

According to Coleman, the world is run by a committee of 300 men with the British monarch at the head. The book sounded good and right when I first read it, but after 20 more years of research, I now believe it to be disinformation.

A MAJOR red flag for me about Coleman's book, The Story of the Committee of the 300, is the fact that it's on the official cia.gov website!
https://www.cia.gov/library/abbottabad-compound/4A/4A92FD2FB4DAE3F773DB0B7742CF0F65_Coleman.-.CONSPIRATORS.HIERARCHY.-.THE.STORY.OF.THE.COMMITTEE.OF.300.R.pdf

Just click on the URL and you will see it's true. WHY would an intelligence agency that is arguably working for the global elite / Jesuit General / Vatican post Coleman's work on its website if what Coleman is saying is true? It doesn't make sense.

According to John Coleman, the Committee of 300 is the ultimate ruling body of the world and the now deceased Queen Elizabeth II was the head of it. I definitely do not think so! Dr. Coleman does not talk about the power of the Roman Catholic Church, nor the Vatican, nor the Jesuits. If you are not familiar with my worldview about the Roman Catholic Church and the Jesuits, please read [my article about it](#).

Moreover, John Coleman refuses to disclose much about his background. There is no evidence he was a MI6 agent. Why does he use the title of Dr.? He has a doctorate in what? He doesn't tell us. The name John Coleman may be a pseudonym. According to <https://www.truthcontrol.com/articles/eustace-mullins-exposed-dr-john-coleman-1992> his name may really be John Clarke.

Because of my doubts about John Coleman, I removed two articles from him I posted in 2013 from this website, the Story of the Committee of the 300 article and what he said about Tavistock. I don't want to be guilty of spreading disinformation.

Can we trust *anyone* who claims to be a former intelligence agent? I used to think that former CIA agent Mark Phillips was legit. He rescued MKULTRA victim Cathy O'Brien. Or did he? Some believed that Phillips was Cathy O'Brien's new handler. I have doubts about Phillips because he tried to debunk the testimonial of another MKULTRA victim, Brice Taylor, AKA Sue Ford, who wrote, "[Thanks for the Memories](#)." I find her testimonial very credible.

Does it make sense to trust the testimonial of a former intelligence agent? He may be mixing fact with fiction to intentionally sow disinformation because he is still on the agency's payroll! His motivation may be monetary. I think the Story of the Committee of 300 must have made a lot of money for Dr. Coleman. To me the mark of a true whistleblower is persecution. Did John Coleman experience anything like what Julian Assange or Edward Snowden went through? I sure haven't hear about it. Coleman is only famous among conspiracy researchers. He hasn't come close to the fame of Assange or Snowden. Often an insider with highly classified information gets taken out or neutralized by the people he used to work for.

Of course all of the above are only my opinions. You can decide for yourself. The critique of the Committee of 300 by Col Barry Turner makes a lot of sense to me.

Col Barry Turner – Committee of 300 John Coleman – Critique

If after listening to what Barry Turner has to say and you don't agree with him or me, please post comments in the comment section and I will reconsider. The Bible says we see through a glass darkly. Nobody's perfect, right? I'm the first to admit that about myself.