

The Seventh Vial Chapter XXIV. The Kings Of The East–The Three Frogs



Ours is pre-eminently the age of *great secret societies*; and these societies are of three classes, corresponding in character, as they do in number, to the "three frogs" which were seen to go forth on their mission when the sixth vial was poured out. **Among these societies that of the Jesuits holds a first place.** Instituted about a quarter of a century after the Reformation, with the view of checking (hindering) the progress of the gospel, its numbers have prodigiously increased, and now they swarm in all the countries of Europe. Wider still, **there is not a land on earth where they are not busy, intriguing, and conspiring.**

The Jesuits: The Greatest Enemies Of Common Sense And Truth



The Jesuits Are The Most Implacable Enemies Of Human And Christian Liberty; They Want To Bring Man Down Below The Brute.

Jesuit Pope Francis and George Soros Funded Groups Helping Open Borders and Illegal Immigration



From their own deeds and words, Soros and the Jesuits are actively promoting a one world order where socialism, social justice and equality of outcome is promised instead of equality of opportunity. One globalist strategy is to overwhelm the American welfare system with illegal immigration from third world countries, establishing an unstoppable socialist voting base to erode U.S. sovereignty.


America's War on Terror Planned in the 19th Century



Having consolidated their financial grip on most of the European nations by the middle of the last century, the International Bankers worked feverishly to extend their sphere of influence to the ends of the earth in preparation

for their final assault on the United States- a nation which, through its unique Constitution, remained free.

[High Government Officials – The Traitors to the Constitution](#)




George Bush, the father, and George Bush, the son, have both attended Yale University and are both a part of the Skull and Bones Order. Both of these men are part of the Illuminati and the Jesuits. Both of these men, by their entrance into these groups, are disqualified from being President of the United States. Both men are working for the destruction of America and its Constitution rather than to defend the Protestant principles upon which it stands.

[Insights Behind the Conflict Between Israel and Islamic Nations](#)



There has been continual conflict in the Middle East. The bombing, bloodshed, crying, and the misery of war never seem to stop. Why do they continue fighting? What is the purpose of continuing this senseless killing?

The Main Promoter of the Vietnam War: The Catholic Church



The Catholic Church must be considered as a main promoter in the origin, escalation, and prosecution of the Vietnamese conflict. From the very beginning, this religious motivation helped set in motion the avalanche that was to cause endless agonies in the Asiatic and American continents.

Unmasking the Deep State in America



Most Americans have never heard of the Council on Foreign Relations. Although unseen and unknown, it has exerted tremendous power and control over the decision-making process in America throughout most of the 20th century.

The Unconstitutional Creation of a Central Bank in America




The [Jesuit] Illuminati interests wanted to create a Central Bank in America. They wanted to build the Federal Reserve. First, they needed a bunch of banking crisis' that would push public opinion towards a Federal Reserve system. These were provided by the Illuminati, including J.P. Morgan's Knickerbocker Panic of 1907. Second, they needed a favorable U.S. president in office.

The Jesuit – Illuminati – Jewish Connection



Some say the perpetrators behind the scenes are the Illuminati, and others say the Jews. The list of the conspiratorial organizations blamed includes the Communists, the Bilderbergers, the Trilateral Commission, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Committee of 300, the Mafia, the Round Table, the Club of Rome, the Free Masons, the CIA-FBI-Mossad, and other secret societies.

A Comparison of The Roman Empire to the United States of America



Chapter 1

DIVINE HAND OVER THE UNITED STATES

The United States of America is on the brink of total collapse. Once a great and powerful nation, the United States is now in a free fall to disaster from which she will never recover. A few more steps in its decline and it will be ruined. These are not easy words for a patriotic American to read, but, nevertheless, they are absolutely true. Tragically, it need not have come to this.

As one looks at the history of nations, from Babylon to Rome to America, it is evident that a Divine hand was protecting and guiding America. This country began as a few colonies on the Eastern seaboard of this vast land and became a great nation.

Alexis de Tocqueville, a young French philosopher of the last century, came to our shores to discover what magical quality enabled a handful of people to defeat the mighty British Empire twice in 35 years. He looked for the greatness of America in her fertile soil, her limitless forests and natural resources. He examined America's schools, her Congress and her unique Constitution without fully understanding the source of America's strength. I was not, he said later, until he went to the churches of America and found congregations 'afire with righteousness' that he began to comprehend the secret of this power. Upon his return to France, de Tocqueville wrote;

“America is great because America is good, and if America ever ceases to be good, America will cease to be great.” – Des Griffin, *Descent into Slavery?*, Emissary Publications, p. 267.

In her youth, the United States was very good. On her money, her trust in God was proclaimed, and the great blessing of God rested upon this nation. As the United States grew to greatness, she gradually abandoned the principles that made her great until today she is approaching a very tragic end. The process of the decline of America is similar to the decline and fall of the Roman Empire. Let us examine a little of the history of ancient Rome and notice the parallels.

As the early Roman Empire was beginning to develop, it was building on the solid premise that the family unit was the cornerstone of society. Morality and discipline were the cornerstones of the family unit. As the empire developed, liberalism crept in, and the morality and discipline that protected society began to disappear. By the beginning of the 2nd century AD, most families had given into the liberal trends, and their children were allowed to do pretty much as they pleased.

Having given up the habit of controlling their children, they let their children govern them and took pleasure in bleeding themselves white to gratify the expensive whims of their offspring. The result was that they were succeeded by a generation of idlers and wastrels, who had grown accustomed to luxury and lost all sense of discipline. –Jerome Carcopino, *Daily Life in Ancient Rome*, Yale University Press, pp. 78, 79.

While this was going on, Rome witnessed “an epidemic of divorces.” (ibid. p.97) From the same author, we find that a strong women’s rights movement developed in Roman society.

Some [wives] evaded the duties of maternity for fear of losing their good looks, some took pride in being behind their husbands in no sphere of activity, and vied with them in tests of strength which their sex would seem to forbid: some were not content to live their lives by their husband’s side, but carried on another life without him.... It is obvious that unhappy marriages must have been innumerable.–ibid. pp. 90, 93, 95.

Roman schools were in disarray.

They undermined Instead of strengthening the children’s morals, they mishandled the children’s bodies instead of developing them, and if they succeeded in furnishing their minds with a certain amount of information, they were not calculated to perform any loftier or nobler task.

The pupils left school with the heavy luggage of a few practical and commonplace notions laboriously acquired and of so little value that in the fourth century Vegetius [A Roman writer who wrote about the Roman military system.] could not take for granted that new recruits for the army would be literate enough to keep the books for the corps.–ibid. pp. 106,107.

This kind of education led to a continual decline in morality and discipline and also resulted in decreasing patriotism.

The Roman virtues – honesty, candor, frugality and patriotism – withered and

died. What was left was a people whom neither the vices of the rulers nor the increasingly bold attacks of foreign enemies could shake out of their apathy....In all the great cities of the provinces, the theater held the same place of bad preeminence in the social life of the inhabitants.

The Roman stage was gross and immoral. It was one of the main agencies to which must be attributed to the undermining of the originally sound moral life of Roman society. So absorbed did the people become in the indecent representations on the stage, that they lost all thought and care for the affairs of real life. –Philip Myers, *Rome; Its Rise and Fall*, Ginn & Company, pp. 515,516.

Another leading factor in the demise of Rome was that it became a welfare country. People were encouraged to be idle and receive money from the government rather than work to make their own way. The welfare system was a leading fact of Roman life. The evils that resulted from this misdirected state charity can hardly be overstated. Idleness and all its accompanying vices were fostered to such a degree that we shall probably not be wrong in enumerating the practice as one of the chief causes of the demoralization of society. –*ibid.* p.523.

It is obvious that the moral fabric of America today is where the morality of the Roman Empire was nearly 2,000 years ago. Do we not see the breakdown of the home, a strong women's rights movement, a deterioration in the school system, moral decay as espoused by the news and entertainment media and the schools, and welfare eating the heart out of the American economy? With regard to these problems, how are we any different from the Roman Empire during its decay? Why are these things happening in America? But, that's the wrong question. The question should be: Who has brought America to the brink of destruction?

As mentioned earlier, Providence had its eye on the United States. America was the land of opportunity. It was the place where those who were being persecuted for their faith could come and worship God according to the dictates of their own conscience. It was a land without a king where one could come and breathe the air of civil liberty. It was the place where one could come and earn a good living for himself and his family. America was the place where dreams came true. There once was a Divine Hand Over America.

In 1759, twenty-five years, more or less, stood between the thirteen colonies and freedom from the British. Twenty-five years and the greatest Protestant nation to ever exist in the history of nations would fly its flag. Twenty-five years and the groundwork for the Constitution – republicanism, inalienable rights, and a government of the people, by the people, and for the people – was in sight. An experiment in government never before tried in history was to become the greatest nation the world had ever seen.

Many said such a government would not work. The Roman Catholic Church had ruled the world under her dictatorial control. She was, and still is, deathly afraid of such an experiment. For over 200 years, the Protestant Reformation had challenged the papacy's authority. The papacy gradually began losing her power. An experiment like America was certainly not in the plans of the

Catholic Church; no nation would be allowed to espoused principles that would bring the papacy down.

What of the monarchs of Europe? They ruled by permission of the papacy and had wielded tyrannical control for ages with no one to oppose them. Were they now ready to watch the development of a government of the people, by the people, and for the people? A clearer rationale would be that they would unite with Rome to stop this American experiment with every weapon at their fingertips.

By the 1550s the Reformation had become so extensive in Europe that the papacy began to realize that they must do something to try to stop it. They realized that if it were not stopped, it would eventually undermine the position of the Catholic Church and destroy the absolute political power they had achieved. In order to accomplish the destruction of the Reformation, a new secret organization was formed within the Vatican called the Jesuits.

One of the major purposes of the Jesuits was to destroy every trace of Protestantism and its principles, including religious freedom, republicanism, representative government, and an economy built around a strong middle class. Another purpose of the Jesuits was to greatly expand the power and control of the papacy throughout the entire world.

I cannot too much impress upon the minds of my readers that the Jesuits, by their very calling, by the very essence of the institution, are bound to seek, by every means, right or wrong, the destruction of Protestantism. This is the condition of their existence, the duty they must fulfill, or cease to be Jesuits. Accordingly, we find them in this evil dilemma. Either the Jesuits fulfill the duties of their calling, or not. In the first instance, they must be considered as the bitterest enemies of the Protestant faith; in the second, as bad and unworthy priests; and in both cases, therefore, to be equally regarded with aversion and distrust. – G.B. Nicolini, History of the Jesuits: Their Origin, Progress, Doctrine, and Design, Henry G. Bohn, preface.

From their very beginning in the 1540s, the Jesuits did just that. They used any means they could devise to destroy Protestantism, including assassination to kill leaders who tried to bring freedom to their people. Two examples are William of Orange in 1584 and Henry IV in 1610. Both were slain by Jesuit assassins.

The Jesuits used deception in the extreme to bring about the St. Bartholomew's Day Massacre in 1572, where 70,000 Protestant Huguenots, including women and children, were slain in one night. They also created the 30 Years War from 1618-1648 in order to destroy the Lutherans of Europe. The blood that reddened European soil for centuries can all be traced back to the murderous Jesuits.

Starting in the 1600s, the Jesuits created a communist regime in Paraguay that eventually brought the Jesuits' demise in the 1700s.

The Jesuits, as is well known, held very large regions of Paraguay under

missionary control from 1650 to 1750. More than a quarter million natives worked under their direction, and no payment was made directly to them... They were educated, trained, housed, clothed, fed and, to some extent, amused, but what became of the surplus profits of their labours, and of the extensive trading that was carried on? Over two thousand boats are said to have been engaged in carrying merchandise and goods on the Parana River; and the economic value of the Reductions was beyond doubt very great: so great in deed as to have awakened the envy of Spanish and Portuguese traders. Robertson [contemporary historian] estimated that the reductions represented at least \$25,000,000 capital for the Society. – Boyd Barrett, *The Jesuit Enigma*, New York: Boni & Liveright, p. 211.

The Reductions were communist communes set up as manufacturing facilities using the Guarani Indians as slave laborers. The products they produced were sold in Europe and greatly enriched the Jesuit order. The Reductions produced herbs, hides, tallow, clocks, and other goods, which the Jesuits traded in Europe with their huge fleet of ships. The profits were used to finance wars against the Protestant nations they had sworn to destroy. But all this wealth and power was acquired in secret, as the kings of Portugal and Spain knew nothing about the Reductions. – Eric Phelps, *Vatican Assassins*, Halycon Unified Services, p. 189.

By the middle of the 1700s, the Jesuit Order had become the powerhouse of Europe. According to Barret, the Jesuit Order at last reached the pinnacle of its power and prestige in the early eighteenth century. It had become more influential and wealthier than any other organization in the world. It held a position in world affairs that no oath-bound group of man has ever held before of since... nearly all the Kings and Sovereigns of Europe had only Jesuits as directors of their consciences, so that the whole of Europe appeared to be governed by Jesuits only. – Boyd Barrett, *The Jesuit Enigma*, New York: Boni & Liveright, p. 209.

The Jesuits ruled the world. The monarchs of Europe, and the pope himself had Jesuits as their confessors. The plans and plots were all alike known to them. Besides this, they were amassing a vast amount of wealth that allowed the Jesuits to do whatever they chose. With them controlling the world, how could the little colonies of America have had a chance to stand against the Jesuit-controlled monarchs of Europe? In an instant, the Jesuits could utilize any army at its disposal and crush the colonies. It was at this juncture in 1759 that something strangely divine began to happen. It began in Portugal. The Portuguese king, Joseph I, banished the Jesuits from his realm.

In Portugal the culmination point was reached by an attempt to assassinate the king...the deed had been incited by the Jesuits, who had impressed ignorant and fanatical minds with the idea that no wrong was committed by killing a heretical king; that is one who did not submit to their dictation...Hence, as a measure absolutely essential to the life of the nation, the king issued a decree of banishment against the Jesuits as traitors, rebels, enemies to, and aggressors on, his person, his States, and the public peace and the general good of the people. The Jesuits were then seized, transported to the States of the Church (Italy)... – Richard Thompson, *The Footprints of the Jesuits*, Hunt & Eaton, p. 217, 218.

King Joseph's Portugal was the first Catholic kingdom of Europe to banish the Jesuits from their realm. With this first banishment, the dominoes began to fall rather quickly. Catholic France banished them in 1762. The decree of Louis XV and the French Parliament reads as follows:

"Whereupon, the investigation into the constitution and statutes of the society [of Jesuits] ...resulted in the enactment of a Parliamentary decree which shows the odium then attached to the society in France. It denounced their doctrines and practices 'as perverse, destructive of every principle of religion, and even of probity; as injurious to morality, pernicious to civil society, seditious, dangerous to rights of the persons of the sovereigns; as fit to excite the greatest troubles in States, to form and maintain the most profound corruption in the hearts of men...that the institutions of the Jesuits should forever cease to exist throughout the whole extent of the kingdom.'—ibid. p. 219

The third sovereign to drive the Jesuits from their realm was King Charles III of Spain. He banished the Jesuits in His [Charles III] greatest work, the expulsion of the Jesuits, would never have been carried out if he had not been persuaded of its political necessity. The [Jesuit] order had already been driven out by Pombal from Portugal and by Choiseul from France when Charles III was convinced that a riot in Madrid...had been promoted by the Jesuits. — Encyclopedia Britannica, The Werner company, vol. XVII, p. 341.

One year later, yet another nation banished this evil brood from their realm. Under the leadership of Fra Manuel Pinto de Fonseca, the Jesuits were forced to leave the island of Malta in 1768. Of this, we read:

In 1768 the Jesuits, having given much trouble, were expelled and their property confiscated. — ibid, vol. XV, p. 343.

The mightiest Catholic nations of Europe had banished the Jesuits from their realms. These Catholic monarchs demanded that the Catholic Church abolish the society forever. Clement XIII, the pope at that time, resisted the pressure of the European monarchs, but finally capitulated. The night before he planned to abolish the Jesuits, he was poisoned to death.

During the night preceding the day appointed for the public ceremony of announcing the abolition of the Jesuits, Clement XIII was suddenly seized with convulsions and died, leaving the act unperformed, and the Jesuits victorious. Cormenin...records this event in the terse and expressive words: 'The Jesuits had poisoned him.'

The Catholic Monarchies of Europe, however, insisted that the Jesuits be disbanded and threatened the Pope.

Clement XIII, after endless indecision, postponements, and unconvincing delays, finally decided to do what he had been advised he should do. He capitulated.

He made ready a proclamation announcing the suppression of the Jesuit order. It was said that the document was written and was waiting for the day when it

was to be made public. To the surprise of all, however, the Pope was suddenly attacked by a mysterious illness. He died on the 12th of February (a coincidence in dates) 1769 with agonizing, unexplained convulsions.

Rumors had it that he had been poisoned. The suddenness of his affliction and the convulsions both pointed to it. The suspicions, however, were never proved. It was suggested by those in the know that the Pope had been made to die before he could publish the announcement of the official suppression of the Jesuit order. – Avro Manhattan, *Murder in the Vatican*, Ozark Books, p. 74.

Four years later in 1773, three years before the Declaration of Independence, mark it well, Pope Clement XIV wrote an order, the purpose of which was to abolish the Jesuits forever. (Unfortunately, a later pope reestablished them in 1814.) Of this, we read:

Again, in July 1773, Pope Clement XIV wrote an order dissolving the Society of Jesus. This bull, *Dominus ac Redemptor*, was published 16th of August of that year. After issuing it, however, the Pope relented, in fear of the consequences, and tried to withdraw it. Too late. The Spanish ambassador had already dispatched the document by special courier direct to Madrid.

The papal brief annihilated the Jesuit order throughout the world, closed its schools and cancelled its statues. Its houses were occupied and its general and other dignitaries were imprisoned. – *ibid.*, pp. 74.75.

In a 14-year period, from 1759-1773, the Catholic monarchs of Europe and the pope, himself, were preoccupied with abolishing the Jesuits. Emmett McLaughlin's tremendous book, *An Inquiry into the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln*, summarizes these events very succinctly with these words.

Even their own Catholic countries finally became surfeited with Jesuit political intrigue and financial avarice and, in self-preservation, were forced to expel them, Portugal, Angola, Goa, and Brazil took the lead in 1759. France followed in 1754. Several Italian states such as Parma, Sicily and Naples followed suit. By sealed imperial orders sent to her colonies around the world, Spain threw out all Jesuits in 1767, This decree suppressed them in the Philippines, Argentina, New Granada (Columbia), Peru, Chile, Ecuador, Guatemala, Cuba, Puerto Rico, Mexico, New Mexico and Arizona. Austria did the same in 1773.

Finally, Pope Clement XIV in 1773 issued the document, *Dominus ac Redemptor*, abolishing the Jesuit Order altogether, listing eleven popes that tried to curb their excesses. Among them were Benedict XIV, Innocent XI, Innocent XIII and Clement XIII.

He cited the Jesuits for opposition to "other religious orders," for "revolts and intestine troubles in some of the Catholic states," and "persecutions against the church in Europe and Asia. There remained no other remedy to so great evils...and this step was necessary in order to prevent the Christians from rising one against the other and from massacring each other in the very bosom of our common mother, the holy church." Therefore, he wrote, "after a

mature deliberation, we do out of our certain knowledge and the fullness of our apostolic power, suppress and abolish the said company.” – Emmett McLaughlin, An Inquiry into the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln, Lyle Stuart, Inc., pp. 84, 85.

The timing of these events in Europe is fascinating. Catholic Europe was in disarray. The Catholic monarchs were pre-occupied with taking care of the problems with the Jesuit Order. The Jesuits were reeling as one Catholic country after another drove them from their realms. While Europe was shaking, thirteen colonies across the Atlantic were looking at the very real possibility of war with England. The thirteen colonies were instituting principles of government never before heard in the annals of human history. Documents would soon be written that would codify such things as inalienable rights, government of the people, by the people, and for the people, free exercise of religion, and the right to keep and bear arms. These documents would soon be the hope of mankind throughout the world longing to be free.

What if the Catholic monarchs were not distracted by their dealings with Jesuits? What if the Jesuits were not reeling by their banishment from Europe? The monarchs and the Jesuits would have utilized their wealth and military power to smash the American colonies in the New World, and the Protestant dream in America would have never been a reality. Without a doubt, there was a Divine Hand Over America!

Treason From Within the Government



Let me start this article with a quote from Marcus Tullius Cicero. He lived from January 3, 106 BC to December 7, 43 BC and was a Roman statesman, lawyer, scholar, philosopher, and writer.

A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But **it cannot survive treason from within**. An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and carries his banner openly. But the traitor moves amongst those within the gate freely, his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself.

This article is from [The Secret Terrorists by Bill Hughes](#). When you see emphasis with “(emphasis added)” following, they’re the author’s emphasis. In places where you see emphasis without “(emphasis added)” following, they are my emphasis.

CHAPTER 10

DESTRUCTION IN OKLAHOMA CITY

Explosions demolished the Alfred E. Murrah federal building in Oklahoma City on April 19, 1995. 168 Americans died as a result, including a number of little children attending a day-care center housed in the building. The United States government has declared and steadfastly maintains that it was a fertilizer bomb, inside a Ryder truck parked in front of the building that caused the damage. We have seen in previous chapters that in tragic situations like this, **what the government claims happened is totally unbelievable.**

Benton K. Partin, a retired Brigadier General and 31 year veteran of the United States Air Force, is a premiere expert on explosives. He served as commander of the Air Force Armament Technology Lab, and was responsible for munitions development for the armed services. He is a recognized expert as a major guiding force of our modern, precision, guided, weapons systems.

General Partin did an extensive analysis of the bombing of the Murrah Building. In his report, he declares,

“It is impossible that the destruction to the building could have resulted from such a bomb alone.

To cause the damage pattern that occurred to the Murrah Building, there would have to have been demolition charges at several supporting column bases, at locations not accessible from the street, to supplement the truck bomb damage. Indeed, a careful examination of photographs showing the collapsed column bases reveals a failure mode produced by demolition charges and not by a blast from the truck bomb...

Blast through air is a very inefficient energy coupling mechanism against heavily reinforced concrete beams and columns...

By contrast, heavily reinforced concrete structures can be destroyed effectively through detonation of explosives in contact with the reinforced concrete beams and columns... *The Murrah Federal Building was not destroyed by one sole truck bomb. The major factor in its destruction appears to have been detonation of explosives carefully placed at four critical junctures on supporting columns within the building.* The only possible reinforced concrete structural failure solely attributable to the truck bomb was the stripping out of the ceilings of the first and second floors in the ‘pit’ area behind columns B4 and B5. Even this may have been caused by a demolition charge at column B3. – Benton K. Partin, Bomb Damage Analysis Of Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building, July 30, 1995, (emphasis supplied).

Thus, we see that it was impossible for the truck bomb to have destroyed the Murrah Building. Other bombs were strategically placed at the bottoms of the structural columns to do the damage that was done. Somebody who had access to

the Murrah Building, who knew where the reinforced structural columns were, who had access to the building plans, placed the bombs that destroyed the building.

News reporters on the scene shortly after the building collapsed reported that workers were removing bombs that did not go off from within the building.

The bombs that did explode did not explode simultaneously. The bomb blasts were recorded on two seismometers, one at the Omniplex Museum, 4.34 miles northeast of the Building, and the other at the University of Oklahoma in Norman, 16.25 miles to the southeast. Both of these seismometers recorded two separate, closely spaced explosions of approximately equal intensity.

Also, several highly credible witnesses reported hearing separate explosions. Shortly after the explosions, the bomb squad defused one unexploded bomb inside the building, and were working on a second.

There is an emerging pattern here. When President Kennedy was killed, it was declared that a lone gunman, Lee Harvey Oswald, had committed the crime. As we saw in chapter eight, there is a tremendous amount of evidence that proves there were several gunmen. Lee Harvey Oswald took the rap, and many others went free.

When the Murrah Building blew up, it was declared that one man was primarily responsible, Timothy McVeigh. But Benton Partin, a military explosives expert, showed that it was impossible for the truck bomb to have done the damage. Others had access to the building plans and planted explosives around the columns. They were guiltier than McVeigh, but they went free. Who were the people really responsible for the Oklahoma City tragedy?

During a live-feed video interview, an Assistant Fire Chief on the scene stated that the bomb squad was at the Murrah building at seven clock that morning, two hours before the bombing took place. What were they doing there two hours before the bombing?

Immediately after the explosions, Mayor Ron Nordick, Dr. Randall Heather, Governor Frank Keating, and numerous news anchors stated that the FBI and the ATF had confirmed that high explosive bombs were taken out of the building. Now, the official story is that it was a fertilizer bomb. Were the governor, the mayor and the news anchors lying, or were they just not briefed in time for everyone to get their stories straight?

The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms had offices in the Murrah Building. On the day the Murrah building was bombed, none of the ATF agents came to work that morning. The ATF agents, who had children in the day-care center, did not drop their children off that day. There were no ATF agents or their children on the casualty list of the Oklahoma City bombing. – Freedom Network News, June/July 1996, pp. 5, 6.

This is amazing. A United States government agency, that had offices in the building, did not report to work that day or bring their children to the

daycare center. Do you suppose they knew what was going to happen to the building?

On a radio talk show ten days after the bombing,

[Mark] Boswell interviewed 28 year CIA veteran James Black and assistant Ron Jackson regarding sworn affidavits now in their possession, sworn by two Justice Department officials which state that they were part of a 'Committee of 10' who planned the Oklahoma bombing. – Martin O. de Brook, Cherith Chronicle, May-July, 1995, page 5.

In light of all the evidence, this is the only story that makes sense. As in the case of Kennedy's murder, so it is in the Oklahoma City bombing. **High-level agents of the U.S. government, claiming to love America and our freedom, were serving another master, carrying out his purposes.** As we will see, there was a distinct purpose for the Oklahoma City bombing. How sickening that so many lives were lost to answer the call of the papacy!

Like JFK, Waco, and the World Trade Center, the Oklahoma City bombing leaves a great many questions that demand answers, but none have been given. Consider some of these questions.

1. Why was U.S. Judge Wayne Alley, whose office was located in the Federal building, warned several weeks in advance in a Justice Department memo to be prepared for an unnamed terrorist act directed against the federal building?
2. Why did the director of the University of Oklahoma's geological survey, Dr. Charles Mankin, tell the media that according to two different seismographic records, there were two blasts?
3. Why has the information of Benton K. Partin not come to the light of day?
4. Why did the Clinton administration blame right wing radio talk shows for the incident, and demand the most draconian police state legislation ever proposed in the United States so quickly after the blasts? This proposed legislation was so well organized that it was obvious it had been prepared long before the destruction of the building.
5. Why was a blizzard of domestic terrorism bills rushed into Congress in a matter of days after the bombing? These laws include the banning of virtually all privately owned firearms. Remember Waco?

There were liberty-restricting measures in Congress just prior to the Oklahoma City bombing that were stalled. Right after the bombing they were immediately passed.

The Omnibus Counter Terrorism Act of 1995 was on a slow track in Congress and the subject of a lively debate as to whether it would violate some fundamental civil liberties, including the right to confront one's accuser. Now, after the Oklahoma City bombing, there are few surer legislative bets in

Washington. Democrats and Republicans issued news releases Thursday calling for the bill's quick passage. – Terror in the Heartland: Terrorism Bill Moves Very Fast, Orlando Sentinel, April 21st, 1995 (emphasis supplied).

President Clinton prodded Congress on Friday to move swiftly on his anti-terrorism legislation and avoid political 'endless quibbling' over details. 'We must not doddle or delay. Congress must act, and act promptly.' His 1.25 billion anti-terrorism package would expand law enforcement's investigative and enforcement powers and toughen penalties for certain crimes. Republicans have reacted favorably to the proposals Clinton put forward on Wednesday, one week after the Oklahoma City bombing. – Clinton Urges Swift Action on Anti-terrorism Legislation, Orlando Sentinel, April 29th, 1995.

The purpose of the Oklahoma City bombing was to get Congress to pass the anti-terrorism bill without debate. If a debate had taken place, the issues of constitutional liberties and the creation of a police state would have been raised. The Jesuits in Congress prefer that the police state be implemented without the public noticing by creating a climate of national hysteria using a staged terrorist attack. The bill sailed through with no debate or discussion.

One of the laws considered for passage after the Oklahoma City bombing was the gross destruction of the First Amendment advocated in Charles Schumer's bill, HR 2580. In this bill, a five-year prison sentence would be given for publicly engaging in unseemly speculation and publishing or transmitting by wire or electronic means baseless conspiracy theories regarding the federal government of the United States.

We have seen that in the aftermath of the Oklahoma City bombing, several liberty-restricting laws were passed by Congress very quickly. The bombing created a climate of fear in America. In this setting, laws passed with few dissenting voices. In the midst of the hysteria, the unconstitutional laws could be quickly passed. The people want comfort and security, and they did not object to the passing of these laws. **These laws seriously eroded the constitutional liberties** that have been the cornerstone of American prosperity for over 200 years. **It is amazing how quickly a normally slow and cumbersome Congress can act when the agenda is all set.**

A conditioning program got well under way after the Oklahoma City bombing. How many freedoms would Americans give away in order to feel safe? **Do we not see that the powers running our government want to destroy the Constitution?**

People do not realize that governmental power is extremely dangerous. **Down through history, peoples' worst enemy has been their own government. When constitutional freedoms are gone, there is nothing to restrict government from doing anything it wants, and deadly governmental persecution is the result.**

For some time to come Americans will be struggling with questions that were supposed to draw no closer than Jerusalem or Belfast or, at worst, Manhattan. Just how much can they do to make life safer from terrorist attacks? And to accomplish that, how much should they be willing to give up in convenience, money, *and the freedoms they take for granted?* – Time Magazine, May 1, 1995,

page 68, (emphasis supplied).

Americans just don't realize that as they give up their freedoms they are not increasing their security, but decreasing it. They are putting themselves at high risk for governmental persecution. Already the government confiscates many hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of personal property each year without a trial. Already human life is not considered sacred anymore. Remember Waco?

America would not be such a prominent terrorist target if the government did not make itself so obnoxious to nearly every country in the world. One hundred years ago, the people of the world loved Americans and wanted Americans to visit their countries. That certainly is not the case anymore.

Concrete and steel can help. But countering terrorism at home raises the hard question: how much should we spend in cash – and *civil liberties*? – Newsweek, May 1, 1995, page 56, (emphasis added).

In this article, Brent Scowcroft, former national security adviser said, "It's so easy to do; it takes so few people; the materials are so readily available. But to counter it is so expensive in dollars and, more importantly, in civil liberties."

Is it clear that terror was used, and is still being used, to condition Americans to give up their constitutional liberties? It was used successfully at Waco, Oklahoma City, and the World Trade Center. Doesn't it make you wonder what will be next? (The Covid-19 pandemic.)

Who is behind the scenes leading "American" politicians to destroy civil liberty in America? Who has despised and hated our liberties for over 200 years? Who hates liberty so much that they eliminate people who stand in their way like pawns in a chess game?

One of the popes stated,

The absurd and erroneous doctrines or ravings in defense of liberty of conscience are a most pestilential error – a pest, of all others, most to be dreaded in a state. – Pope Pius IX, Encyclical Letter, August 15th, 1854.

Liberty of conscience is thoroughly detested by the papacy. Liberty of conscience is guaranteed ONLY in the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, the Bill of Rights.

In 1864, in his encyclical letter, Pius IX anathematized "those who assert liberty of conscience and of religious worship." (Pope Pius IX, Encyclical Letter, December 8, 1864.) He is saying that anybody who believes that a person is entitled to freedom to worship God according to the dictates of their own conscience, should be anathematized. To anathematize somebody is to confine them to hell; to consider them to be a heretic worthy of damnation. In Pius' mind, the Constitution should burn in hell and anyone who

loves it should be burned too.

Liberty of conscience is proclaimed by the United States a most sacred principle, which every citizen must uphold... But liberty of conscience is declared by all the popes and councils of Rome, a most godless, unholy, and diabolical thing, which every good Catholic must abhor and destroy at any cost. – Charles Chiniquy, *Fifty Years in the Church of Rome*, Chick Publications, page 284.

British Broadcasting journalist Avro Manhattan reported:

The Vatican condemned the Declaration of Independence as 'wickedness'... and called the Constitution of the United States 'a Satanic document.' – Avro Manhattan, *The Dollar and the Vatican*, Ozark Book Publishers, page 26.

In the preface to Samuel B. Morse's great book, it is written,

The author undertakes to show that a conspiracy against the liberties of this Republic is now in full action, under the direction of the wily Prince Metternich of Austria, who knowing the impossibility of obliterating this troublesome example of a great and free nation by force of arms, *is attempting to accomplish his object through the agency of an army of Jesuits.* – Samuel B. Morse, *Foreign Conspiracy Against the United States*, Crocker and Brewster, volume 1, p. 4, preface. (emphasis supplied)

Samuel B. Morse and the man who wrote the preface to his great work understood that the Jesuits and the Holy Alliance were committed to destroying the freedoms of this great Republic of the United States of America.

A former priest has written,

We will rule the United States, and lay them at the feet of the Vicar of Jesus Christ [the pope], that he may put an end to their Godless system of education, and *impious laws of liberty of conscience which are an insult to God and man.* – Charles Chiniquy, *Fifty years in the Church of Rome*, Chick Publications, p. 282, (emphasis supplied).

William Jefferson Clinton, who attended Georgetown University, which is the Jesuit college in Washington, D.C. pushed Congress to pass the anti-terrorism bill that was to be a direct assault on the liberties that we enjoy as Americans. **The Oklahoma City bombing was planned, carried out, and fully known by the Jesuits, the government of the United States, and by the president.** The secret players behind them all, who have wanted to destroy the liberties of this great Republic for the last 200 plus years are the Jesuits of the Roman Catholic Church.

They have wanted to put an end to the laws that guarantee our liberties as United States citizens. In order to bring that about, they carried out the greatest terrorist bombing on U.S. soil, and in U.S. history before the World Trade Center, when they did it again.

More attacks will come. Freedoms will be attacked again and liberty will be

taken away. The Jesuits will continue to use many so-called "American" politicians, who are an integral part of the conspiracy of the papacy to totally demolish the Constitution and this great Republic. They are conditioning America and preparing the people for the inevitable takeover.

[The Jesuit Plot to Murder Union Leaders After the Civil War](#)



When you remember the Council of Vienna, Metternicht, the Pope, and the Jesuit Order's plans to destroy this country, to destroy its freedom, to destroy Protestantism and to kill Presidents, what does that tell you about the evil, vicious, malicious character of the Jesuits? When you remember their attempts on Andrew Jackson's life, the assassination of William Henry Harrison, the assassination of Zachary Taylor, the attempted assassination of James Buchanan, the attempted assassination of Abraham Lincoln and then finally his assassination, what does that tell you about the Catholic Church?

[Opposition to the Federal Reserve Eliminated by Mass Murder](#)



When we think of events that have transpired in history over the last one hundred to two hundred years, there are certain events that stand out as ones

of great horror, great surprise and great sadness. Of the many that come to mind the most devastating have been the destruction of the the World Trade Center in New York City and the sinking of the Titanic.

[Jesuit Methods to Destroy American Sovereignty & Liberties](#)



The elitist groups have one goal in mind – to bring about the surrender of the sovereignty of the national independence of the United States.

[The Waco Massacre – Rome's Attack on an Evangelistic Sect](#)



The First Amendment guarantees the right to worship God according to one's own conscience. The government totally ignored this right at Waco.