

The KJV teaches the Trinity



Yes, there is no word “trinity” found anywhere in the Bible. Instead the word, “Godhead” is used in the King James Version and a few other versions. It’s interesting that the word “Godhead” appears **3 times** in the KJV! Significant, don’t you think?

Acts 17:29 Forasmuch then as we are the offspring of God, we ought not to think that **the Godhead** is like unto gold, or silver, or stone, graven by art and man’s device.

Romans 1:20 For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and **Godhead**; so that they are without excuse:

Colossians 2:9 For in him dwelleth all the fulness of the **Godhead** bodily.

Godhead is not found in most modern translations. Other words are substituted for Godhead. Examples.

- “divine being” – New International Version
- “Deity” – Revised Standard Version
- “the deity” – New Revised Standard Version
- “his nature” – Good News Translation
- “divine being” – English Standard Standard Translation
- “Divine Nature” – New King James Version
- “Divine Nature” – New American Standard Bible

Of the 7 examples, the translators of 4 of them didn’t even want to capitalize the words referring to Godhead.

“Divine Nature” of the NKJV and the NASB is not the same meaning as Godhead! It’s a meaning change. The NKJV people went further than their mission statement. They were supposed to only use modern words to replace archaic ones in the KJV. They weren’t supposed to change the meaning.

Most of these translations also omit “**the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost**” in 1 John chapter 5.

1 John 5:7 For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one.

Instead, Why? Because the translators did not believe that Jesus was God!

Notice the article “the” precedes Father, Word and Holy Ghost? This is to denote they are unique!

the
/T̩Hē/
Adjective

Used to refer to a person, place, or thing that is unique: “the Queen”; “the Mona Lisa”; “the Nile”.

Jesus was THE Christ, not “a christ”. He was The anointed one. Anybody else to claims to be Christ is a liar. There was only ONE CHRIST, and that was Jesus!

This post was inspired by listening to a talk from Pastor Mike Hoggard of <http://watchmanvideobroadcast.com/>

Did God really tell Abraham to Sacrifice Isaac?



The answer is NO! Not according to the 1611 King James version of the English Bible!

Genesis 22:2 And he said, Take now thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou lovest, and get thee into the land of Moriah; and **offer** him there for a burnt offering upon one of the mountains which I will tell thee of.

The KJV says only to “offer” Isaac as a sacrifice, not to actually *do* it. Abraham obeyed God and did exactly what He said, He offered Isaac *for a burnt offering, for a sacrifice.*

Genesis 22:9 And they came to the place which God had told him of; and Abraham built an altar there, and laid the wood in order, and bound Isaac his son, and *laid him on the altar upon the wood.*

Abraham apparently *thought*, meaning *assumed* God meant for him to kill his son also, but that is not exactly what God said. Rather than actually draw a knife on Isaac, He could have told God.

“OK Lord, I offered up Isaac as a sacrifice. Here he is lying tied up on the wood on the altar I made. Now what?”

But according to the New International Version, (NIV), the answer to the question in the title of this article is YES, God commanded Abraham to *sacrifice* Isaac.

Genesis 22:2 Then God said, “Take your son, your only son, whom you love—Isaac—and go to the region of Moriah. **Sacrifice him** there as a burnt offering on a mountain I will show you.” New International Version (NIV)

The NIV is the only translation I found so far that actually says to do it, to sacrifice Isaac. The Good News Bible doesn’t say that, not even the RSV says it.

Think this is splitting hairs? Isn’t there a difference between merely offering something as a sacrifice, and actually sacrificing it? I think there is.

I’m writing this because the NIV has surpassed the KJV as the most popular English Bible though it is full of **errors!**

Examples of errors in the NIV

In Isaiah 14:12, the NIV omits the name Lucifer and refers to him as “morning star” which is a title the Book of Revelation attributes to Jesus Christ!

How you have fallen from heaven, **morning star**, son of the dawn! You have been cast down to the earth, you who once laid low the nations!

How art thou fallen from heaven, **0 Lucifer, son of the morning!** how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!

Revelation 22:16 says that **Jesus** is the morning star!

Revelation 22:16 I **Jesus** have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, and the bright and **morning star**. -KJV

The NIV advocates striking your body to hurt it.

1 Corinthians 9:27 No, I **strike a blow to my body and make it my slave** so that after I have preached to others, I myself will not be disqualified for the prize.

This is what some cloistered Roman Catholic monks do, and what some Islamic people do. They flagellate themselves thinking this will bring them righteousness. Martin Luther, before God's Word enlightened him about the Grace and Righteousness of Christ, used to also flagellate himself. But does the Bible really tell believers to do that? The same Scripture in the KJV doesn't say so!

1 Corinthians 9:27 But I keep under my body, and bring it into subjection: lest that by any means, when I have preached to others, I myself should be a castaway. – KJV

I'm grateful to [Pastor Mike Hoggard](#) for pointing out the difference between the KJV and NIV in Genesis 22:2 and 1 Corinthians 9:27, and for teaching the importance of the KJV as the only trustworthy translation of God's Word in the English language.