The Development of the Papal System



The Great Schism In the Christian Church in 1054 AD is the true beginning of the papacy and the Roman Catholic Church.

<u>Pastor Chuck Baldwin's Views of the Attempted Trump Assassination</u>



Chuck Baldwin's analysis of the attempted assassination of Donald J. Trump.

<u>Martin Luther's Reply to the Papal</u> <u>Bull of Leo X</u>



Exsurge Domine (Latin: Arise O Lord) is a papal bull issued on 15 June 1520 by Pope Leo X. It was written in response to the teachings of Martin Luther which opposed the views of the papacy. It censured forty one propositions extracted from Luther's 95 theses and subsequent writings, and threatened him with excommunication unless he recanted within a sixty day period commencing upon the publication of the bull in Saxony and its neighboring regions. Luther refused to recant and responded instead by composing polemical tracts lashing out at the papacy and by publicly burning a copy of the bull on 10 December 1520. (From Wikipedia http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exsurge_Domine

I have heard that a bull against me has gone through the whole earth before it came to me, because being a daughter of darkness it feared the light of my face. For this reason and also because it condemns manifestly the Christian articles I had my doubts whether it really came from Rome and was not rather the progeny of that man of lies, dissimulation, errors, and heresy, that monster John Eck. The suspicion was further increased when it was said that Eck was the apostle of the bull. Indeed the style and the spittle all point to Eck. True, it is not impossible that where Eck is the apostle there one should find the kingdom of Antichrist. Nevertheless in the meantime I will act as if I thought Leo not responsible, not that I may honor the Roman name, but because I do not consider myself worthy to suffer such high things for the truth of God. For who before God would be happier than Luther if he were condemned from so great and high a source for such manifest truth? But the cause seeks a worthier martyr. I with my sins merit other things. But whoever wrote this bull, he is Antichrist. I protest before God, our Lord Jesus, his sacred angels, and the whole world that with my whole heart I dissent from the damnation of this bull, that I curse and execrate it as sacrilege and blasphemy of Christ, God's Son and our Lord. This be my recantation, Oh bull, thou daughter of bulls.

Having given my testimony I proceed to take up the bull. Peter said that you should give a reason for the faith that is in you, but this bull condemns me from its own word without any proof from Scripture, whereas I back up all my assertions from the Bible. I ask thee, ignorant Antichrist, dost thou think that with thy naked words thou canst prevail against the armor of Scripture? Hast thou learned this from Cologne and Louvain? If this is all it takes,

just to say, "I dissent, I deny," what fool, what ass, what mole, what log could not condemn? Does not thy meretricious brow blush that with thine inane smoke thou withstandest the lightning of the divine Word? Why do we not believe the Turks? Why do we not admit the Jews? Why do we not honor the heretic if damning is all that it takes? But Luther, who is used to bellum, is not afraid of bullam . I can distinguish between inane paper and the omnipotent Word of God.

They show their ignorance and bad conscience by inventing the adverb "respectively." My articles are called "respectively some heretical, some erroneous, some scandalous," which is as much as to say, "We don't know which are which." Oh meticulous ignorance! I wish to be instructed, not respectively, but absolutely and certainly. I demand that they show absolutely, not respectively, distinctly and not confusedly, certainly and not probably, clearly and not obscurely, point by point and not in a lump, just what is heretical. Let them show where I am a heretic, or dry up their spittle. They say that some articles are heretical, some erroneous, some scandalous, some offensive. The implication is that those which are heretical are not erroneous, those which are erroneous are not scandalous, and those which are scandalous are not offensive. What then is this, to say that something is not heretical, not scandalous, not false, but yet is offensive? So then, you impious and insensate papists, write soberly if you want to write. Whether this bull is by Eck or by the pope, it is the sum of all impiety, blasphemy, ignorance, impudence, hypocrisy, lying — in a word, it is Satan and his Antichrist.

Where are you now, most excellent Charles the Emperor, kings, and Christian princes? You were baptized into the name of Christ, and can you suffer these Tartar voices of Antichrist? Where are you, bishops? Where, doctors? Where are you who confess Christ? Woe to all who live in these times. The wrath of God is coming upon the papists, the enemies Of the cross of Christ, that all men should resist them. You then, Leo X, you cardinals and the rest of you at Rome, I tell you to your faces: "If this bull has come out in your name, then I will use the power which has been given me in baptism whereby I became a son of God and co-heir with Christ, established upon the rock against which the gates of hell cannot prevail. I call upon you to renounce your diabolical blasphemy and audacious impiety, and, if you will not, we shall all hold your seat as possessed and oppressed by Satan, the damned seat of Antichrist; in the name of Jesus Christ, whom you persecute. But my zeal carries me away. I am not yet persuaded that the bull is by the pope but rather by that apostle of impiety, John Eck....

If anyone despises my fraternal warning, I am free from his blood in the last judgment. It is better that I should die a thousand times than that I should retract one syllable of the condemned articles. And as they excommunicated me for the sacrilege of heresy, so I excommunicate them in the name of the sacred truth of God. Christ will judge whose excommunication will stand. Amen.


Source: Roland H. Bainton, Here I Stand: A Life of Martin Luther (Hendrickson Classic, 1950)(pp. 153-155).

<u>The Papacy and Civil Power - Chapter</u> <u>II. The Pope and Civil Affairs</u>




Preparations to make the Pope Infallible.—The Bishop's Oath.—Their Theory of Government.—Defense of the Ancient Rights of the Papacy.

<u>The Papacy and Civil Power - Chapter</u> I. Introductory




Roman Catholics in the United States. Their Schools under Foreign Priests and Jesuits. They Accept the Pope's Infallibility. The Hierarchy and Laymen. The Government of the United States.

<u>The Papacy and Civil Power - R. W. Thompson</u>




The Roman Catholic Church demands not only spiritual authority over a nation, but civil authority as well.

Church, State, and Democracy, Chapter III of American Freedom and Catholic Power




The Roman Catholic Church as an institution in this world is not only religious, it's also a political organization.

<u>How the Hierarchy Works — Chapter 2 of</u> American Freedom and Catholic Power



In two hundred years the Roman Catholic Church in the United States has increased from the smallest to the largest church in the nation.

American Freedom and Catholic Power



The Roman Catholic Church is an organization that is a state within a state, a state above a state, and a foreign-controlled society within American society.

<u>The Great Red dragon. Part I. The</u> Roman Catholics' Auricular Confession



Auricular confession being one of the five commandments of the Roman Catholic church, and a condition necessarily required in one of their sacraments;

Jesuit Attempts to Destabilize Popular

Government



This is from The Secret Terrorists by Bill Hughes. If the Jesuits were that powerful in the 19th century, just think how much power they have now in the 21st! But they also failed many times. God foiled their plans and will continue to do so. As the scripture says, "What shall we then say to these things? If God be for us, who can be against us?" — Romans 8:31

CHAPTER 3 PRESIDENTS HARRISON, TAYLOR, AND BUCHANAN

William Henry Harrison was elected to the Presidency of the United States in the year 1841. He was already well up in years at 67, but he was very healthy and robust. All who knew him felt that he would have no problem going through his full four years in office. However, just thirty-five days after taking the oath of office, President Harrison was dead on April 4, 1841. Most, if not all, encyclopedias will tell you that he died of pneumonia after giving his inaugural address in the severe cold of Washington, D.C., but that is not correct. He did not die of pneumonia.

When Harrison came to office a very tense situation existed in the country. Trouble was brewing between the North and the South over the issue of slavery. There was contention over the annexation of Texas, whether it would be admitted free or slave. An attempt had been made on President Jackson's life just six years before. Harrison took office a short twenty years before the Civil War. The influence of the Jesuits was weighing heavily upon America.

As we have already seen, the Congresses at Vienna, Verona, and Chieri, were determined to destroy popular government wherever it was found. The prime target was the United States and the destruction of every Protestant principle. The despicable Jesuits were ordered to carry out this destruction.

Andrew Jackson faced the onslaught of the Jesuits via the political mine fields of John C. Calhoun and the financial wizardry of Nicholas Biddle. William Henry Harrison had also refused to go along with the Jesuits' goals for America. In his inaugural address he made these comments:

"We admit of no government by divine right, believing that so far as power is concerned, the beneficent Creator has made no distinction among men; that all are upon an equality, and that the only legitimate right to govern, is upon the expressed grant of power from the governed." — Burke McCarty, *The Suppressed Truth About the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln*, Arya Varta

Publishing, p. 44.

By that statement, President Harrison had just incurred the deadly wrath of the Jesuits.

"With these unmistakable words President Harrison made his position clear; he hurled defiance to the Divine Right enemies of our Popular Government. [Burke McCarty is talking about Rome when she says that.] Aye, he did more — for those were the words that signed his death warrant. Just one month and five days from that day, President Harrison lay a corpse in the White House. He died from arsenic poisoning, administered by the tools of Rome. The Jesuit oath had been swiftly carried out: "

"I do further promise and declare that I will, when opportunity presents, make and wage, relentless war, secretly or openly, against all heretics, Protestants and Liberals, as I am directed to do, to extirpate them and exterminate them from the face of the earth.... That when the same cannot be done openly, I will secretly use the poison cup regardless of the honor, rank, dignity or authority of the person or persons... whatsoever may be their condition in life, either public or private, as I at any time may be directed so to do by an agent of the Pope or Superior of the Brotherhood of the Holy Faith of the Society of Jesus." — Ibid. pp. 44, 46.

For nearly a thousand years, the Roman Catholic popes felt that they ruled by divine right, that their power had come directly from God, and that all men were to bow to their authority and control. If a ruler would not submit his position and the country he ruled into the hands of the Pope, then that person had no right to rule. When Harrison stated that, "we admit of no government by divine right," he was declaring that he and the United States were in no way going to submit to the pope's control. To the pope and his heinous Jesuits, this was a slap in the face that they felt must be dealt with immediately.

It was not Harrison alone that had rejected Rome's authority, for he was simply stating what the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution had declared before him. Our Republic totally refused the control that the pope and the Jesuits were trying to apply. When a nation, church, or individual, refuses to submit to the authority of the papacy, they are finished. Unless God intervenes, the lives of those opposing the papacy will be terminated.

This concept is completely foreign to the thinking of people who have lived under a free, constitutional government. The inalienable rights to worship God according to the dictates of one's own conscience and a government without a king, are taken for granted in the United States today. We don't realize that Harrison's statement was a dagger aimed at the heart of the papacy's existence. Another ruler who refused to be dictated to by the papacy was Queen Elizabeth of England. She was one of Henry the Eighth's daughters and ruled England from 1558 to 1603. She ascended the throne following the death of her half-sister, 'Bloody Mary,' who ruled England from 1553 to 1558. Mary had been a Catholic sovereign, but Elizabeth was a Protestant.

"After her accession, Elizabeth wrote to Sir Richard Crane, the English ambassador in Rome, to notify the people of her accession. But she was

informed by 'His Holiness' that England was a fief [servant or slave] of the 'Holy See,' that Elizabeth had no right to assume the crown without his permission, that she was not born in lawful wedlock, and could not therefore reign over England; that her safest course was to renounce all claims to the throne, and submit herself entirely to his will; then he would treat her as tenderly as possible. But, if she refused his 'advice,' he would not spare her! She declined the pope's advice, and the hatred of Pius and his successors was assured." — J.E.C. Shepherd, The Babington Plot, Wittenburg Publications, p. 46.

Queen Elizabeth wisely rejected the assumed 'Divine Right' of the papacy to rule over and control the throne of England. Because of this **there were at least five attempts to assassinate her**. These attempts all failed because she had a superb secret service group, and her life was saved.

When the papacy realized that all their efforts to assassinate Elizabeth had failed, they turned to one of their Catholic sons, Phillip the Second of Spain. In 1580 the papacy arranged for Spain to invade England.

"Later on it was Pope Sixtus X who promised Philip of Spain a million scudi to assist in equipping his 'Invincible Armada' to destroy the throne of Elizabeth, and the only condition the pope made in bestowment of his gift: 'he should have the nomination of the English sovereign, and that the kingdom should become a fief of the church.'" — Ibid, p. 47.

The famous Spanish Armada was sent to crush England because Elizabeth would not give her throne and kingdom to the pope. For thirty years, the Jesuits tried to kill Elizabeth, but failed. Finally, they conspired with Phillip the Second of Spain to annihilate her with the Armada.

"We charge the popes of the 'succession' with being the prime movers in the entire adult life of Elizabeth to deliberately destroy her and her kingdom, forcing England's return to the domination of their evil, enslaving system, called the 'Roman Catholic Church.' Not only was the pope the prime mover of the seditious intrigues in England, but he was the mainspring of the ongoing treachery.

The pope insisted on exercising absolute authority and sovereignty over all kings and princes, and dared to assume **the prerogatives of Deity** in wielding his 'spiritual' and 'temporal' swords." — Ibid, pp. 98, 99. (emphasis added).

Likewise, as William Henry Harrison took his oath to become the President of the United States, the Jesuits saw a man that openly opposed them and their plans. Unfortunately, President Harrison was poisoned just thirty five days into his term of office.

"General Harrison did not die of natural disease — no failure of health or strength existed — but something sudden and fatal. He did not die of Apoplexy; that is a disease. But arsenic would produce a sudden effect, and it would also be fatal from the commencement. This is the chief weapon of the medical assassin. Oxalic acid, prucic acid, or salts of strychnine, would be almost instant death, and would give but little advantage for escape to the murderer. Therefore his was not a case of acute poisoning, when death takes

place almost instantaneously, but of chronic, where the patient dies slowly. He lived about six days after he received the drug." — John Smith Dye, *The Adder's Den*, p. 37.

United States Senator Thomas Benton concurs.

"There was no failure of health or strength to indicate such an event, or to excite apprehension that he would not go through his term with the same vigor with which he commenced it. His attack was sudden and evidently fatal from the commencement." — Senator Thomas Benton, *Thirty Years View*, volume II, p. 21. (quoted in John Smith Dye's book, *The Adder's Den*, page 36).

William Henry Harrison became the first president to fall a victim of the Jesuits in their attempt to take over the United States, destroy the Constitution, and install the papacy as the supreme ruler in America. If any U.S. President or any other leader refused to take orders from the Jesuits, they too, would be targets of assassination. Zachary Taylor refused to go along with the destruction of America and he was the next to fall.

Taylor was known as a great military man. His friends called him 'Old Rough and Ready.' He came to the White House in 1848 and sixteen months later, he was dead.

".... they used the invasion of Cuba as the test for President Taylor, and had their plans ready to launch their nefarious scheme in the early part of his administration, but from the very beginning President Taylor snuffed out all hope of its consummation during his term." — Burke McCarty, *The Suppressed Truth About the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln*, Arya Varta Publishing, p. 47.

Here is what would have happened if Zachary Taylor had invaded Cuba. There was Catholic Austria, Catholic Spain, Catholic France and England all waiting, ready to do battle with the United States of America if he had invaded Cuba. What chance would this young republic have had against the united powers of Catholic Europe at that time? The papacy well understood this and that is why they pushed Taylor so hard to invade.

Taylor committed another 'crime' against Rome. He spoke passionately about the preservation of the Union. The Jesuits were striving hard to split the nation in two, and the President was trying hard to keep it together. Jesuit agent, John C. Calhoun, visited the Department of State, and requested the president to say nothing in his forthcoming message about the Union. But Calhoun had little influence over Taylor, for after his visit the following remarkable passage was added to Taylor's speech,

"Attachment to the Union of States should be fostered in every American heart. For more than half a century during which kingdoms and empires have fallen, this Union has stood unshaken.... In my judgment its dissolution would be the greatest of calamities and to avert that should be the steady aim of every American. Upon its preservation must depend our own happiness and that of generations to come. Whatever dangers may threaten it, I shall stand by it and maintain it in its integrity to the full extent of the obligations

imposed, and power conferred upon me by the Constitution. — John Smith Dye, *The Adder's Den*, pp. 51, 52.

McCarty picks up the story from here,

"There was no quibbling in this. The pro slavery leaders had nothing to count on in Taylor, therefore they decided on his assassination...

"The arch-plotters, fearing that suspicion might be aroused by the death of the President early in his administration, as in the case of President Harrison, permitted him to serve one year and four months, when on the fourth of July, arsenic was administered to him during a celebration in Washington at which he was invited to deliver the address. He went in perfect health in the morning and was taken ill in the afternoon about five o'clock and died on the Monday following, having been sick the same number of days and with precisely the same symptoms as was his predecessor, President Harrison. — Burke McCarty, The Suppressed Truth About the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln, Arya Varta Publishing, p. 48.

"The slave power [the Jesuits] had now sufficient reason to count him as an enemy, and his history gave them to understand that he never surrendered. Those having slavery politically committed to their care had long before sworn that no person should ever occupy the Presidential chair that opposed their schemes in the interest of slavery. They resolved to take his life.... "This the slave power [the Jesuits] understood, and they determined to serve him as they had previously served General Harrison; and only waited a favorable opportunity to carry out their hellish intent. The celebration of the 4th of July was near at hand; and it was resolved to take advantage of that day, and give him the fatal drug." — John Smith Dye, The Adder's Den, pp. 52,53.

Six years later James Buchanan, a Pennsylvania Democrat, was elected president. James Buchanan had wined and dined with the Southerners and it appeared as though he would go along with their desires.

"The new president proved himself a decided 'Trimmer.' (a person who modifies a policy or position especially out of expediency) Although he was a Northern man, he had strongly courted the Southern leaders and given them to understand that he was 'With them heart and soul,' in short, he double-crossed them...

"The gentleman had had his ear to the ground evidently and had heard the rumble of the Abolitionists' wheels.... He coolly informed them that he was President of the North, as well as of the South. This change of attitude was indicated by his very decided stand against Jefferson Davis and his party, and he made known his intention of settling the question of Slavery in the Free States to the satisfaction of the people in those States." — Burke McCarty, The Suppressed Truth About the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln, Arya Varta Publishing, p. 50.

James Buchanan didn't have to wait long to find out what the Jesuits would do to him for double-crossing them.

"On Washington's birthday, Buchanan's stand became known and the next day he was poisoned. The plot was deep and planned with skill. Mr. Buchanan, as was

customary with men in his station, had a table and chairs reserved for himself and friends in the dining room at the National Hotel. The President was known to be an inveterate tea drinker; in fact, Northern people rarely drink anything else in the evening. Southern men prefer coffee. Thus, to make sure of Buchanan and his Northern friends, arsenic was sprinkled in the bowls containing the tea and lump sugar and set on the table where he was to sit. The pulverized sugar in the bowls used for coffee on the other tables was kept free from the poison. Not a single Southern man was affected or harmed. Fifty or sixty persons dined at the table that evening, and as nearly as can be learned, about thirty-eight died from the effects of the poison. President Buchanan was poisoned, and with great difficulty his life was saved. His physicians treated him understandingly from instructions given by himself as to the cause of the illness, for he understood well what was the matter. "Since the appearance of the epidemic, the tables at the National Hotel have been almost empty.

"Have the proprietors of the Hotel, or clerks, or servants, suffered from it? If not, in what respect did their diet and accommodations differ from those of the guests?

"There is more in this calamity than meets the eye. It's a matter that should not be trifled with. — The New York Post, March 18, 1857.

James Buchanan was poisoned and almost died. He lived because he knew that he had been given arsenic poisoning and so informed his doctors. He knew that the Jesuits poisoned Harrison and Taylor.

The Jesuit Order fulfilled their oath again that they would poison, kill, or do whatever was necessary to remove those who opposed their plans. From 1841 to 1857, we saw that three Presidents were attacked by the Jesuits as outlined in the Congresses of Vienna, Verona, and Chieri. Two died and one barely escaped. They allow nothing to stand in their way of total domination of America, and the destruction of the Constitution. As they look at America the priests of Rome have stated,

"We are also determined to take possession of the United States; but we must proceed with the utmost secrecy.

"Silently and patiently, we must mass our Roman Catholics in the great cities of the United States, remembering that the vote of a poor journeyman, though he be covered with rags, has as much weight in the scale of powers as the millionaire Astor, and that if we have two votes against his one, he will become as powerless as an oyster. Let us then multiply our votes; let us call our poor but faithful Irish Catholics from every corner of the world, and gather them into the very hearts of the cities of Washington, New York, Boston, Chicago, Buffalo, Albany, Troy, Cincinnati.

"Under the shadows of those great cities, the Americans consider themselves a giant unconquerable race. They look upon the poor Irish Catholics with supreme contempt, as only fit to dig their canals, sweep their streets and work in their kitchens. Let no one awake those sleeping lions, today. Let us pray God that they continue to sleep a few years longer, waking only to find their votes outnumbered as we will turn them forever, out of every position of honor, power and profit!... What will those so-called giants think when not a single senator or member of Congress will be chosen, unless he has

submitted to our holy father the pope!

"We will not only elect the president, but fill and command the armies, man the navies, and hold the keys of the public treasury!...

"Then, yes! then, we will rule the United States and lay them at the feet of the Vicar of Jesus Christ, that he may put an end to their godless system of education and impious laws of liberty of conscience, which are an insult to God and man!" — Charles Chiniquy, Fifty Years in the Church of Rome, Chick Publications, pp. 281,282.

When they say "Vicar of Jesus Christ" they mean the pope.

Who Was Pope John Paul II? — By Darryl Eberhart




Pope John Paul II participated in the coverup of the murder of John Paul I & presided over the one of the greatest sex scandals in Roman Catholic Church history!

"Who Were Dominic de Guzman and Sir
Thomas More?" - By Darryl Eberhart



History of the people who founded the Inquisition and murdered Christians because of their faith in the Bible which was contrary to Roman Catholic doctrine.

Who Are the International Banksters — and Who Are the Greatest Accumulators of Wealth in the World? — By Darryl Eberhart




"International Banksters" is an expression to describe the Jesuit-controlled financial cabal that controls the leaders and governments of many nations on Earth.

"The Holy Inquisition" - By Darryl Eberhart



In some Catholic countries where the State is controlled by the church, a court or tribunal was established for the examination and punishment of 'heretics'.

<u>A Warning from U.S. Army Brigadier</u> <u>General Thomas M. Harris — By Darryl</u> <u>Eberhart</u>



U.S. Army Brigadier General Thomas M. Harris was a member of the 12-man military commission that tried eight of the conspirators in the Lincoln assassination.